**Injectional anthrax - new presentation of an old disease**
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*Bacillus anthracis* infection (anthrax) has three distinct clinical presentations depending on the route of exposure: cutaneous, gastrointestinal and inhalational anthrax. Each of these can lead to secondary bacteraemia and anthrax meningitis. Since 2009, anthrax has emerged among heroin users in Europe, presenting a novel clinical manifestation, 'injectional anthrax', which has been attributed to contaminated heroin distributed throughout Europe; before 2009 only one case was reported. During 2012 and 2013, new cases of injectional anthrax were diagnosed in Denmark, France, Germany, and the United Kingdom. Here we present a comprehensive review of the literature and information derived from different reporting systems until 31 December 2013. Overall 70 confirmed cases were reported, with 26 fatalities (37% case fatality rate). The latest two confirmed cases occurred in March 2013. Thirteen case reports have been published, describing 18 confirmed cases. Sixteen of these presented as a severe soft tissue infection that differed clinically from cutaneous anthrax, lacked the characteristic epidemiological history of animal contact and ten cases required complimentary surgical debridement. These unfamiliar characteristics have led to delays of three to 12 days in diagnosis, inadequate treatment and a high fatality rate. Clinicians’ awareness of this recently described clinical entity is key for early and successful management of patients.

**Introduction**

Anthrax is a worldwide endemic zoonotic disease, particularly of herbivores, caused by the bacterium *Bacillus anthracis*, a Gram-positive rod. The infective form is usually the spore, a stable form that can survive in certain environments for decades [1]. Humans usually acquire anthrax infection by occupational exposure to contaminated animals or animal products such as hides, wool, hair and bones or by ingesting contaminated meat. The spores may infect the host through different routes and lead to a variety of clinical presentations depending on their route of entrance: cutaneous (the most common form of infection), gastrointestinal and inhalational anthrax. Complications of these three infections are secondary bacteraemia and anthrax meningitis. Once the spores have penetrated the skin or mucosa, they germinate to the vegetative bacteria, which proliferate and produce the virulence factors, two exotoxins − lethal toxin and edema toxin –, causing the characteristic pathological findings: edema, haemorrhage and tissue necrosis with a relative lack of leukocytes in infected tissues [1,2].

Anthrax is a rare human infection in Europe and animal cases are sporadic and uncommon [3]. However, an outbreak of a fourth form of human anthrax infection, injectional anthrax, has been emerging among drug users in Europe since 2009 and has been attributed to contaminated heroin. Prior to 2009 only one case of injectional anthrax had been diagnosed, in Norway in 2000 [4]. The distribution of cases demonstrates a bimodal appearance of two clusters: over 100 cases were diagnosed between December 2009 and December 2010, the vast majority of them in Scotland [5-8], with no further cases documented until June 2012 when cases reemerged in England, Germany, Scotland and were diagnosed for the first time in Denmark, France and Wales [9,10].

Injectional anthrax infection is unnatural and more severe than cutaneous anthrax infection, distinctive in its clinical presentation, course and management. This has resulted in a delay in diagnosis, inadequate treatment and high fatality rate among reported cases [8-11].

This comprehensive literature review of all injectional anthrax cases reported so far, aims to present an inclusive view of this novel clinical entity in order to improve clinicians’ awareness and knowledge base.

**Search strategy and selection criteria**

References for this review were identified through searches of PubMed for articles published until 31 December 2013, by using the terms ‘anthrax AND heroin’, ‘*Bacillus anthracis* AND heroin’, ‘*Bacillus anthracis* AND drug user’, ‘anthrax AND drug user’ and ‘injectional anthrax’. Articles resulting from these searches and relevant references cited in those articles were
reviewed by TB starting with screening of abstracts followed by full text review where relevant. The Program for Monitoring Emerging Diseases (ProMED-mail) reporting system was searched by the terms ‘anthrax AND heroin’ until 31 December 2013 and by the term ‘anthrax’ from 1 December 2009 to 31 December 2013 and all relevant reports reviewed. ProMED-mail is an Internet-based reporting system, open to all sources, including media reports, official reports, online summaries, local observers, and other [10]. We also reviewed the Health Protection Scotland (HPS) ‘National Anthrax Outbreak Control Team’ report (‘HPS report’), published in December 2011, on the anthrax outbreak among drug users in Scotland between December 2009 and December 2010 [8]. The European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) website [11] and Public Health England (PHE) website [9] were reviewed until 31 December, 2013. The ECDC website was searched for relevant information using the words ‘anthrax AND heroin’ and by reviewing the material published under the website heading Health Topic ‘anthrax’. In addition, the ECDC’s 2010–2013 annual epidemiological reports on communicable diseases in Europe were reviewed [11]. We searched the PHE website for the topic ‘anthrax’. The findings under the topic were reviewed with the emphasis on information regarding the 2012–2013 outbreak. Articles, reports and data yielded by our search were included only if they were published in English.

**Results**

Overall 39 papers were retrieved through PubMed searches. Of those, 14 were not related to injectional anthrax and were excluded. Twenty five relevant papers, including references cited in these papers, were reviewed. Of those, 22 papers were included in the review and three papers excluded due to a lack of additional information.

A total of 70 laboratory-confirmed cases of injectional anthrax were reported among heroin users in Europe [4,6,8-11;14-26]. Apart from one case reported in the year 2000, all cases were reported starting as of December 2009. Incidents appeared during two distinct time periods, forming a bimodal distribution (Figure).

The first and largest cluster of cases took place during 2009–2010 and consisted of 126 cases (54 laboratory-confirmed cases, 35 probable cases and 37 possible cases). A majority of cases were recorded in Scotland. The main part of published data on injectional anthrax describe patients from this outbreak [6,8-11,16-22,24].

The second cluster of cases started with the reemergence of a total of 15 cases during June 2012 in six different European countries [9-11,14,15, 25,26]. The last case was reported in Scotland in March 2013 [10]. Only few case reports regarding five confirmed cases exist concerning this second cluster of cases. Hence, the information regarding the number of cases, their geographical distribution and demographic description (if present) mainly relies on a review of the ProMED-mail, ECDC and PHE websites.

**Course of events and epidemiological data**

Ringertz et al. were the first to coin the phrase ‘injectional anthrax’ in the year 2000. They described a confirmed case of anthrax in a 49 year-old, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-negative, heroin-injecting drug user in Norway [4]. The patient’s exceptional presentation resembled the effect of subcutaneous inoculation of *B. anthracis* in chimpanzees and shared similarities with an old case report of possible transmission of anthrax by injection [4].

The following cases of confirmed ‘injectional anthrax’ were only reported in December 2009 among heroin users in Scotland and Germany [5-7], marking the start of the 2009–2010 injectional anthrax outbreak, that eventually included 126 cases (54 laboratory-confirmed cases, 35 probable cases and 37 possible cases) in Europe. The outbreak centered in Scotland with 119 cases: 47 laboratory-confirmed cases (15 fatal), 35 probable cases (1 fatal) and 37 possible cases. Case categories used by HPS are described in Table 2 [8].

Few cases were laboratory-confirmed in England (5 cases, 4 fatal) and Germany (2 cases, 1 fatal). The case fatality rate among confirmed cases was 33% (Table 2). The vast majority of confirmed cases appeared between December 2009 and March 2010. The last case occurred in England in November 2010 (Figure 1). The outbreak was declared over in December 2010 and the HPS published its concluding report in December 2011 [8-11].

The average age of the 119 injectional anthrax cases in Scotland was 34 years (range 18-55 years), with more males than females in all case categories [8]. It appeared that all injection routes of heroin, as well as smoking or snorting had been implicated during the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Laboratory-confirmed cases</td>
<td>Fatality cases (case-fatality rate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wales</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>54</strong></td>
<td><strong>18 (33%)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: [8,10].

**Table 1**

Number of laboratory-confirmed anthrax cases in drug users and fatalities among them by country, Europe, 2009 to 2013 (n=69)
outbreak, though the mode of abuse was not well documented in most reports. Of the 47 confirmed cases of anthrax in drug users in Scotland, only two denied injecting at all, lacked visible injection sites and reported using heroin only by smoking. One of those cases developed systemic anthrax. The clinical presentation of the latter two was not described in detail in the HPS report [8]. The report however, describes a number of anthrax cases that were admitted with advanced systemic infection; some without any history of injecting heroin but with a history of taking heroin by other routes, principally smoking, leading to the conclusion that there is a potential risk for systemic disease caused by snorting or smoking heroin contaminated with B. anthracis [8].

A case-control study investigating risk factors among 82 confirmed and probable cases in the Scottish outbreak of 2009–2010 found increased risk of injectional anthrax to be associated with longer injecting history (adjusted odds ratio (AOR), 2.43; 95% confidence interval (CI), 1.31–4.52), receiving opioid substitution therapy (AOR, 2.74; 95% CI, 1.40–5.37) and alcohol abuse (P = 0.09; AOR, 1.77; 95% CI, 0.91–3.47). Smoking heroin was associated with lower risk of infection – individuals who only smoked heroin in the past month were less likely to be a case (AOR 0.42; 95% CI, 0.20–0.86). The authors did not find an association between sharing injecting equipment and anthrax infection, aligned with the hypothesis that the heroin itself was the source of contamination and explaining the higher risk among patients with longer injecting history [12].

During 2011 there were no reports on new injectional anthrax cases. Moreover, a retrospective serological pilot study in two German regions conducted in 2011 failed to discover additional anthrax cases among 288 heroin users [27]. However, since June 2012 new cases have reemerged in Europe, including Denmark, France,
Germany, and the United Kingdom (UK): England, Scotland, and Wales [9-11]. So far, 15 confirmed cases were reported, among them seven fatal, contributing to a 47% case fatality rate (Table 1, Figure 1). Less than half of case reports included epidemiological data such as age and sex, of those who did, the age range varied from 27 to 55 years [10,14,15,25,26].

To date, no person-to-person transmission was documented in injectional anthrax cases. There seems to be no risk for health workers or for the general population [4,6,8,10,14-26].

Source of infection
Since anthrax is rare in Europe and all cases occurred in drug users, it was assumed that the 2009–2010 outbreak was caused by a contaminated batch of heroin, even though microbiological analysis of heroin samples from a variety of sources in Europe failed to detect contamination with anthrax spores [8, 25]. The possibility of deliberate contamination was not eliminated but seemed unlikely due to the affected population [8]. Several recent studies [13-15,27,28] have conducted molecular analysis of B. anthracis isolates from the first reported case in Norway in 2000, from cases during the 2009–2010 outbreak and from cases in Denmark and Germany from the 2012–2013 outbreak. All isolates were closely related and it was concluded that they belong to the same B. anthracis strain despite small observed variations [13-15, 27]. This single anthrax strain was closely related to strains originating in Turkey. The findings suggested that the outbreak in Europe possibly derived from a single common source which was contaminated before the heroin was distributed. Accidental contamination with anthrax spores might have occurred along the drug manufacturing and trafficking route from soil, through an animal derived cutting agent (used to dilute the illicit drug) or animal hides used to smuggle heroin into Europe [13]. This is compatible with the forensic investigation findings of the 2009–2010 outbreak in the UK which estimated that 80 to 90% of heroin reaching the UK is supplied via criminal networks in Turkey and is being processed during the 2009–2010 outbreak and from cases in Denmark and Germany from the 2012–2013 outbreak. Accidental contamination with anthrax spores [8, 25]. The minority of reports provided patients’ history of past blood-borne infections or immunological status including HIV status (Table 3 and HPS report [8]), conditions which may also have contributed to the diminished inflammatory response.

Gastrointestinal, respiratory and neurological symptoms
According to the HPS report, 22 of 40 laboratory-confirmed cases in Scotland described non-specific gastrointestinal symptoms such as nausea, vomiting and abdominal pain [8]. There are two reports on an unusual presentation of acute abdominal pain and peritonitis due to groin heroin injection [21,25].

Presenting with respiratory symptoms such as dyspnoea and pleuritic chest pain was extremely rare and was attributed to disseminated disease and sepsis in two cases, according to the HPS report [8]. Nausea and dyspnoea were also evident in one patient from Germany during the 2012–2013 outbreak [15].

Fourteen of 42 Scottish laboratory-confirmed cases reported neurological symptoms of variable degree [8]. A small number of injectional anthrax patients presented with signs of progressive systemic infection and meningitis. This was described in one case report of a patient with prolonged headache, confusion and coma [23]. This case and four more cases were diagnosed with intracranial or subarachnoid haemorrhage [8], and from available data, at least four of these five cases deteriorated rapidly and later died [23,24].

Clinical information about cases diagnosed since June 2012, is limited to three published case reports regarding five confirmed cases [14,15,25] (Table 3) and a brief
### Table 3a

Summary of epidemiological and clinical data of published injectional anthrax cases, Europe, 2000–2013 (n=18)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Report from, Date</th>
<th>Clinical presentation</th>
<th>Clinical course and complications</th>
<th>Onset from injection</th>
<th>HIV, HBV, HCV status (+/-/NA)</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Radun [6]</td>
<td>42 M</td>
<td>Germany, 12/2009</td>
<td>Leg swelling following drug injection to the popliteal fossa (drug unknown). Temperature: normal</td>
<td>Multi-organ failure</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Anthrax was not clinically suspected, diagnosis post mortem by PCR from wound</td>
<td>Meropenem; surgical debridement</td>
<td>Deceased</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parcell [17]</td>
<td>28 F</td>
<td>Scotland, EP: 11/2010</td>
<td>Arm- and shoulder pain; massive swelling. No erythema or abscess. Compartment syndrome. Temperature 38°C, tachycardia, ↑ WBC.</td>
<td>Necrotising fasciitis, coagulopathy, bleeding, massive transfusions necessary</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Early diagnosis &amp; due to suspicion of anthrax (no details)</td>
<td>Benzylpenicillin, clindamycin, ciprofloxacin, metronidazole; two fasciotomies, six debridements, skin graft</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powell [18]</td>
<td>32 M</td>
<td>Scotland, P: 1/2011</td>
<td>Swollen leg and purulent discharge from a chronic sinus in the groin, no abscess. Tachycardia, temperature 38.2°C, normal BP. ↑ WBC.</td>
<td>Cellulitis over the thigh and lower abdomen</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Early diagnosis, positive blood cultures</td>
<td>Vancomycin, clindamycin, ciprofloxacin, gentamicin and metronidazole; thigh exploration; negative pressure wound therapy device</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jallali [19]</td>
<td>53 F</td>
<td>England, EP: 7/2010</td>
<td>Painful and swollen lower limb, malaise.</td>
<td>Septic shock, skin necrosis, operation room bleeding, massive transfusions</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>HIV+ HBV + HCV+</td>
<td>Positive blood cultures on day 5 post admission</td>
<td>No details regarding antibiotic treatment, limited debridement, fasciotomies, skin graft</td>
<td>Deceased</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jallali [19]</td>
<td>32 M</td>
<td>England, EP: 7/2010</td>
<td>Swollen scrotum and gluteus, skin necrosis over gluteal region.</td>
<td>Disproportionate operation room bleeding, pulmonary edema, pleural effusion</td>
<td>6 days</td>
<td>HIV – HBV + HCV+</td>
<td>Positive blood cultures and PCR of debrided tissues</td>
<td>No details regarding antibiotic treatment; i.e. AIG; debridement, skin graft</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AIG: anthrax immunoglobulins; BP: blood pressure; CRP: C-reactive protein; DIC: disseminated intravascular coagulation; EP: electronic publication; HBV: hepatitis B virus; HCV: hepatitis C virus; HIV: human immunodeficiency virus; i.v.: intravenous; MALDI TOF MS: matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionisation time-of-flight mass spectrometry; NA: not available; P: published; PCR: polymerase chain reaction; WBC: white blood count.

* Date: date of event, where not reported, date states the date of article publication (P) or electronic publication (EP).
* Accepted for publication on 8 May 2012 hence part of the 2009–2010 outbreak.
* Details taken also from ProMEDmail website [10] 21/6/2012 report.
* The treatment listed is the primary antibiotic regimen given to the patient. For some of the patients it was changed following confirmation of diagnosis, raising suspicion of anthrax infection or, when suspected, after receiving preliminary positive results of Gram-positive organisms in blood or tissue cultures. Also mentioned is the surgical treatment, if given along the admission.
* Early diagnosis is stated in cases diagnosed at admission due to clinical suspicion of injectional anthrax or preliminary positive results of Gram-positive organisms in cultures.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Report from, Date</th>
<th>Clinical presentation</th>
<th>Clinical course and complications</th>
<th>Onset from injection</th>
<th>HIV, HBV, HCV status (+/-/NA)</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Treatmentd</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grunow [10,15]</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Germany 6/2012</td>
<td>Purulent infection of neck. Second day: skin necrosis and blistersing of legs, thorax and arms reddening; neck swelling. Fever.</td>
<td>Pneumonia, pleural effusion (no evidence of bacillus dissemination)</td>
<td>2-3 days</td>
<td>HIV, HBV: NA HCV+</td>
<td>Early diagnosis, positive blood cultures, MALDI TOF MS, and PCR on tissues</td>
<td>Clindamycin, metronidazole, cefazolin, upgraded to include penicillin and ciprofloxacin; surgical debridement</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bannard-Smith [23]</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>England, P: 12/2012</td>
<td>Prolonged severe headache, confusion. On computed tomography scan: severe subarachnoid haemorrhage with mass effect and herniation.</td>
<td>Rapid deterioration to coma - intubation and ventilation</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Anthrax was not suspected, positive blood cultures and PCR post mortem</td>
<td>Supportive care in the intensive care unit</td>
<td>Deceased</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AIG: anthrax immunoglobulins; BP: blood pressure; CRP: C-reactive protein; DIC: disseminated intravascular coagulation; EP: electronic publication; HBV: hepatitis B virus; HCV: hepatitis C virus; HIV: human immunodeficiency virus; i.v.: intravenous; MALDI TOF MS: matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionisation time-of-flight mass spectrometry; NA: not available; P: published; PCR: polymerase chain reaction; WBC: white blood count.

a Date: date of event, where not reported, date states the date of article publication (P) or electronic publication (EP).
b Accepted for publication on 8 May 2012 hence part of the 2009–2010 outbreak.
c Details taken also from ProMEDmail website [10] 21/6/2012 report.
d The treatment listed is the primary antibiotic regimen given to the patient. For some of the patients it was changed following confirmation of diagnosis, raising suspicion of anthrax infection or, when suspected, after receiving preliminary positive results of Gram-positive organisms in blood or tissue cultures. Also mentioned is the surgical treatment, if given along the admission.

e Early diagnosis is stated in cases diagnosed at admission due to clinical suspicion of injectional anthrax or preliminary positive results of Gram-positive organisms in cultures

f Elevated.
Onset HIV, HBV, HCV status from, Date
Clinical presentation Clinical course and injection
Apyrexial throughout
HIV – flucloxacillin. Amended after
Restricted finger movements. No
compartment syndrome. No fever.
Normal WBC fluid and edema
fasciotomies; debridement
Early diagnosis from tissue
NA
HBV – Recovered
P: 3/2011
weeks post injection to
NA
treatment; i.v. AIG; repeated
Johns [21] 24 M Scotland,
Multi-organ failure 2 days NA Positive culture from
95/64, tachycardia. Peritoneal signs
peritoneal fluid on examination. Thigh swelling.
NA
exploration of the thigh;
post deterioration to include
stiffness of neck, dysphagia. Chest
inflammatory markers.
Admission, positive Gram
NA
culture NA
Deceased
50 NA Germany
Holzmann & Grunow [14,15]
Pneumonia, pleural
failure, DIC
leukocytosis.
Fever.
Prolonged severe headache,
Tomography scan: severe
coma – intubation and
ventilation
Swollen arm, fever, tachycardia, low
BP. Somnolent. Soft tissue swelling
infection NA
Russell [25] 55 M Denmark
P: 9/2013
Purulent infection of neck. Second
abscess on ultrasound.
In some cases anthrax bacilli were recovered from
symptoms was detected in ten of 41 patients reported.
B. anthracis
Diagnosis, management and outcome
Patient management was inconsistent. When reported,
most cases presenting with soft tissue infection were
We described the single case diagnosed in France [26].
It appears that the clinical presentation and course
were similar to those described in the 2009–2010 outbreak [9,11,14,15,25,26] (Table 3).
Clinical course and complications
Among 16 reported cases presenting with soft tissue infection, four patients demonstrated haemodynamic
stability [16,18,20], one of them despite evidence of B. anthracis bacteremia [18]. However, nine patients
showed progressive soft tissue infection and systemic complications such as coagulopathy, rapid deterioration
to septic shock, toxemia and multi-organ failure [4,6,14,15,17,19,20,22,25]. An important complication occurring intra- or post debridement procedures of soft tissue infections was disproportionate bleeding and oozing (at times, despite correction of coagulopathy) requiring massive transfusions [8]. This was documented in three of the reported cases [17,19].
Patients lacking signs of soft tissue infection, who presented with other signs of systemic infection, meningitis or peritonitis were usually misdiagnosed and rapidly deteriorated due to lack of appropriate treatment [21,23,24] (Table 3).
Following admission, two of the reported cases developed pleural effusions, one associated with pulmonary edema [19] and the other associated with pneumonia [15]. In both cases there was no evidence of B. anthracis in the pleural fluid cultures. Besides these two cases, diagnosed outside of Scotland, the HPS report found that a small pleural effusion which did not cause symptoms was detected in ten of 41 patients reported. In some cases anthrax bacilli were recovered from respiratory samples, either from pleural fluid or in two cases from bronchial tissues or exudate. The HPS report states this may be an evidence of smoking or snorting contaminated heroin [8]. Complications are presented in Table 3.

Diagnosis, management and outcome
Diagnosis of injectional anthrax was based on traditional microbiological methods as Gram stain and cultures of tissue, blood, peritoneal fluid or cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Evidence of scanty pus cells was a common finding in tissue histopathology [4,6,14-23].

In the first cases from Denmark and Germany in 2012, a matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionisation time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry method or serology testing for antibodies to the B. anthracis protective antigen were also utilised [14,15,25,26].

Patient management was inconsistent. When reported, most cases presenting with soft tissue infection were treated with supportive treatment and antibiotic regimens with or without surgical intervention. The antibiotic regimen varied among cases: anthrax infection was not clinically suspected in eight patients and treatment covered other, more common, causes of severe

---

**Table 3**

Summary of epidemiological and clinical data of published injectional anthrax cases, Europe, 2000–2013 (n=18)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Report from, Date</th>
<th>Onset date</th>
<th>Clinical course and complications</th>
<th>Detection</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Russell [25]</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Denmark P: 9/2013</td>
<td>Post complication; cellulitis, changed to intravenous, cramp-like pain in arm on day 3</td>
<td>Anthrax suspicion on day 2 with positive blood cultures and report of heroin injection</td>
<td>Anthrax immunoglobulins; BP: blood pressure; CRP: C-reactive protein; DIC: disseminated intravascular coagulation; EP: electronic publication; HBP: hepatitis B virus; HCV: hepatitis C virus; HIV: human immunodeficiency virus; v.i.: intravenous; MALDI-TOF MS: matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionisation time-of-flight mass spectrometry. NA: not available; P: published; PEC: polymerase chain reaction</td>
<td>Ceftriaxone amended to penicillin and ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell [25]</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Denmark P: 9/2013</td>
<td>Diffuse swelling and edema, fluctuating BP</td>
<td>Swollen arm, fever and shock, multi-organ failure, DIC</td>
<td>Elevated.</td>
<td>Ciprofloxacin, clindamycin, metronidazole, later on clindamycin was added</td>
<td>Deceased</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Infections over the 10-year period. Of these cases, 8
Clostridium botulinum, C. with contaminated heroin – four spore-forming bacteria that have been associated among IDUs in Europe, between 2000−2009, caused by estimated the differences in rates of severe infections injectional anthrax outbreak [30,31]. Hope et al. have sites was considered very rare before the 2009−2010 enteral drug use, though anthrax infection of injection oping diverse forms of infections, among those, soft Injecting drug users (IDUs) are at higher risk of devel -

Discussion

Infecting drug users (IDUs) are at higher risk of developing diverse forms of infections, among those, soft tissue infection is a well-known complication of par enteral drug use, though anthrax infection of injection sites was considered very rare before the 2009–2010 injectional anthrax outbreak [30,31]. Hope et al. have estimated the differences in rates of severe infections among IDUs in Europe, between 2000−2009, caused by four spore-forming bacteria that have been associated with contaminated heroin – Clostridium botulinum, C. tetani, C. novyi, and B. anthracis. They identified 367 infections over the 10-year period. Of these cases, 300 occurred in the UK; only 13 of those were caused by B. anthracis. These 300 infections led to an overall rate of 1.9 to 2.1 infections per 1,000 IDUs over 10 years (among those 0.083–0.09 anthrax infections per 1,000 IDUs). The rates of infection with spore-forming bacteria among IDUs in other European countries (Germany, Greece, Italy, the Netherlands and Norway) were much lower than those in the UK, with the exception of a higher infection rate in Ireland (3.9–6.6 infections/1,000 IDUs/10 years) [32].

Thus, although the 2009−2010 injectional anthrax outbreak has constituted the largest cluster of severe bacterial infection among IDUs in Scotland in a decade, with a rate of 1.96 confirmed anthrax infections per 1,000 heroin users in Scotland [8], overall B. anthracis is an uncommon cause of soft tissue infection among IDUs and other more common pathogens as staphylococci, streptococci and anaerobes [31] must be considered first in the differential diagnosis and management of patients. Nevertheless, injectional anthrax should be considered in the differential diagnosis of a heroin user presenting with severe soft tissue infection at an injection site, with a progressive course, that does not respond to customary antimicrobial agents aimed to treat most common pathogens causing soft tissue infections, especially in the context of epidemiological link to other injectional anthrax cases in the area.

Another unprecedented outbreak among injecting heroin users took place predominantly in Scotland between April and August 2000. This was mainly attributed to C. novyi type A infection, manifesting with a very similar presentation to injectional anthrax i.e. marked swelling and pain at the intramuscular injection site and/or multi-organ failure, presenting within 10 days of injection. As opposed to injectional anthrax infection, this outbreak had a higher case-fatality rate, of 87% (20 of 23 definite cases), and a higher number of cases had necrotising fasciitis (15/23) and pleural effusion (13/23). One of its characteristic features was a leukemoid reaction – a markedly raised white cell count with left shift and a median white cell count of 60X10^9/L (normal range = 3.54–9.06X10^9/L), which is not a common feature of injectional anthrax [33].

Both C. novyi and B. anthracis outbreaks have occurred in clusters, which were attributed to specific batches of contaminated heroin [34], both outbreaks necessitated comprehensive measures of containment.

During the 2009−2010 outbreak informative materials were distributed to drug addicts, recommending drug users to stop using heroin and to ask for medical help in case of symptoms. Clinicians were alerted and the police reinforced its attempts to interrupt the heroin distribution networks [8]. The reoccurrence of 15 injectional anthrax cases since June 2012, most likely caused by the same B. anthracis strain as the 2009–2010 outbreak [13-15,25,27] affirmed that contaminated heroin probably still circulates in at least
six European countries and further appearance of new injectional anthrax cases might be expected.

Injectional anthrax presents a challenge for physicians often due to lack of evident case clusters, unfamiliar clinical presentation and severe course of disease. Unlike cutaneous anthrax, injectional anthrax is typically a systemic infection with high mortality rate (Table 4). This may be attributed to the deeper and greater inoculation of spores, higher rates of septicaemia, delayed diagnosis and to factors specific to drug addicts including delayed medical consultation, malnutrition, presence of concomitant diseases such as HIV infection and defective immune response. The weight of these contributing factors could not be assessed in the absence of a detailed database and a lack of a control group exposed to the pathogen through the same route.

The predominantly systemic nature of injectional anthrax requires different management than cutaneous anthrax. In cases of naturally occurring cutaneous anthrax, oral antibiotic treatment with fluoroquinolones (ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, and moxifloxacin) or doxycycline is usually sufficient [29, 35]. However, the progressive clinical course of injectional anthrax, frequent systemic involvement and high fatality rate necessitates early and aggressive management. Most reported cases with soft tissue infection required surgical procedures such as debridement or fasciotomy in cases of compartment syndrome. These interventions were made in addition to i.v. antibiotic therapy, adjutant treatment with i.v. AIG in some cases and supportive critical care management [6,15,17-20].

In March 2010 the HPS published interim clinical guidance for the management of suspected anthrax in drug users [36]. In accordance to it, the appropriate management of cases with soft tissue infection should include i.v. application of a combination of antimicrobial agents. As for the duration of treatment, the guidance suggest reviewing antimicrobial therapy after ten

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4</th>
<th>Comparison of clinical presentation, clinical course, diagnosis, treatment and outcome of cutaneous versus injectional anthrax cases, Europe, 2000–2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cutaneous anthrax</strong></td>
<td><strong>Injectional anthrax</strong>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classical presentation</td>
<td>Papule progresses to a vesicle and to a black eschar. Painless lesion without purulence +/- marked non-pitting edema. Numerous bacilli, paucity of leukocytes on staining [1,2].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality rate</td>
<td>&lt;1% when treated, 10-20% without treatment [1,2].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>Gram stain and culture from lesion usually sufficient [1,2] b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotic regimen</td>
<td>Naturally acquired cutaneous anthrax: For localised, uncomplicated cases oral ciprofloxacin or doxycycline for seven to 10 days. For severe cases with signs of systemic involvement, extensive edema, or lesions of head and neck – i.v. therapy for 7–10 days [29, 35].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional treatment</td>
<td>No information.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AIG: anthrax immunoglobulin; CNS: central nervous system; i.v.: intravenous; MALDI TOF MS - Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionisation time-of-flight mass spectrometry.

a More comparable with systemic anthrax regarding fatality rate, diagnosis and antimicrobial treatment.

b Diagnostic procedures should preferably be performed prior to initiation of antibiotics, as vesicular fluid and dermal tissue are quickly sterilized after initiation of antibiotics [1].

c Other agents with anti-anthrax activity include rifampicin, imipemem, meropenem, chloramphenicol and gentamicin. For the duration of therapy – refer to text. Ciprofloxacin is the preferred choice over doxycycline in cases of severe disease. Clindamycin is included for its bacterial toxin synthesis inhibition ability and the third antimicrobial drug is included for its adequate activity against B. anthracis and CNS penetrance properties [29,35,36].
to 14 days of i.v. therapy, and either continuation of therapy, modification of agent or its route of administration, or discontinuation of therapy, depending on the clinical course of the individual patient. When therapy has ceased, patients must be monitored closely for worsening of symptoms necessitating renewal of therapy and re-evaluation of the need for further surgical debridement [36].

According to the US CDC guidelines for anthrax post-exposure prophylaxis and treatment, systemic illness cases that were exposed to aerosolised spores should be treated for 60 days due to the potential for delayed germination of *B. anthracis* spores [29,35]. Inhalational exposure to aerosolised spores should be considered in cases of smoking or snorting of contaminated heroin.

Complementary treatment with corticosteroids may be beneficial for patients with edema, especially of the head or neck, with evidence of anthrax meningitis or of vasopressor-resistant shock [29].

Due to insufficient clinical data there are no general recommendations for the use of i.v. AIG for the treatment of severe anthrax infections. The US CDC offers AIG under emergency IND protocol on a patient by patient basis [29,35]. The HPS interim clinical guidance recommends the consideration of i.v. AIG if the patient fits the clinical definition and is a confirmed or a probable case based on a Gram stain demonstrating Gram-positive bacilli [36].

The main constraint of this review arises from the incomplete information, a limited number of case reports and the variable degree of clinical description that do not allow additional analysis or prognostic indicators assessment regarding clinical presentation and recommended management. However, this review of injectional anthrax cases aspires to serve as a source of knowledge on this recently described and distinctive infection, in order to encourage early recognition, diagnosis and management of future cases and allow further evaluation of diagnostic methods and management of this important pathogen.

**Conclusion**

The erratic emergence and severe clinical course of injectional anthrax present a challenge for clinicians. The optimal management of injectional anthrax necessitates a high index of suspicion and prompt treatment. Clinicians should be extra vigilant when examining a heroin user presenting with either severe soft tissue infection at an injection site, signs of severe sepsis or meningitis with or without evidence of soft tissue infection, evidence of intracranial bleeding on a computed tomography scan or symptoms of inhalational anthrax. It is important to be aware of the progressive clinical course of disease. When suspected, diagnostic tests should be performed and appropriate antibiotic treatment be commenced promptly along with surgical debridement and critical care management when indicated.
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