Human and entomological surveillance of Toscana virus in the Emilia-Romagna region, Italy, 2010 to 2012
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Toscan virus (TOSV), transmitted by phlebotomine sandflies, is recognised as one of the most important causes of viral meningitis in summer in Mediterranean countries. A surveillance plan based on both human and entomological surveys was started in 2010 in the Emilia-Romagna region, Italy. Clinical samples from patients with neurological manifestations were collected during 2010 to 2012. The surveillance protocol was improved during these years, allowing the detection of 65 human infections. Most of these infections were recorded in hilly areas, where sandflies reach the highest density. Entomological sampling around the homes of the patients resulted in a low number of captured sandflies, while later sampling in a hilly area with high number of human cases (n=21) resulted in a larger number of captured sandflies. Using this approach, 25,653 sandflies were sampled, of which there were 21,157 females, which were sorted into 287 pools. TOSV RNA was detected by real-time PCR in 33 of the pools. The results highlighted the role of Phlebotomus perfiliewi as the main vector of TOSV and a potential link between vector density and virus circulation. This integrated system shows that an interdisciplinary approach improves the sensitiveness and effectiveness of health surveillance.

Introduction

Toscan virus (TOSV) (family Bunyaviridae, genus Phlebovirus), first isolated from phlebotomine sandflies sampled in the eponymous Italian region in 1971 [1,2], was associated with human disease more than 15 years later [3,4]. TOSV is considered not only the causative agent of a self-limiting syndrome, such as sandfly fever (caused by TOSV in Sicily and Naples) [5], characterised by influenza-like symptoms, but also of neurological diseases ranging from aseptic meningitis to meningoencephalitis [4,6].
Methods

Surveillance of human cases

Human cases of TOSV infection were identified through the regional West Nile virus (WNV) surveillance system that collects samples from suspected cases (blood, serum, cerebrospinal fluid), i.e. hospitalised patients who presented with high fever (≥38.5 °C) and neurological manifestations (e.g. encephalitis, meningitis, flaccid paralysis) or polyradiculoneuritis [19,20]; patients with febrile illness only were not included in this analysis. According to the regional WNV surveillance guidelines [21], the notification of every suspected case of WNV infection to the public health department is mandatory during the surveillance period, i.e. from June to November.

The diagnostic procedure for identification of TOSV infection has been improved over the years: in 2010, clinical samples collected in July to October for WNV surveillance were retrospectively tested for TOSV [17]; in 2011 and 2012, all WNV surveillance samples were simultaneously tested also for TOSV. Microbiological diagnosis for WNV and TOSV infection was performed by the Regional Reference Centre for Microbiological Emergencies (CRREM) of the Unit of Clinical Microbiology at the St Orsola-Malpighi University Hospital in Bologna, Italy.

On the basis of laboratory findings, TOSV infections were classified as acute (positive PCR with or without IgM detection) or recent (IgM detection alone).

In order to get information about potential places of exposure, home location (urban/rural and hilly/plain), leisure-time habits and perception of the presence of the vector, each TOSV-positive patient was investigated using a standardised WNV surveillance form, administered via telephone by local public health units.

Surveillance of sandflies

Entomological surveillance was conducted using carbon dioxide-baited traps operating overnight in
In 2010 and 2011, insects were collected for one night from a 200 m radius around each home (the supposed place of infection) of autochthonous human cases. In 2012, a different strategy was adopted. An area with a high incidence of reported cases was inferred by geographic information system (GIS) analysis: sandflies were collected in this area of about 870 km² located in the hilly part of the region (Figure 1). A total of 25 sample sites were selected along the altitude gradient of different river valleys (from west to east: Sillaro, Sellustra, Santerno, Senio, Lamone, Montone and Ronco river valleys) (Figure 2); these sites were sampled twice during June to September. This area has a population density of about 258 inhabitants/km² [22] and is characterised by cultivated fields intersected with hedges, badlands and woodlands, in which oaks, hornbeams and maples dominate. In this area, the warm temperate climate, characteristic of the region, according to Köppen-Geiger climate classification [23], is mitigated by the proximity of the Adriatic Sea.

If the number of sampled sandflies from a particular location was under 20, all specimens were identified at species level and not submitted to biomolecular analysis.

For samples with more than 20 specimens, the sandflies were divided into groups, up to a maximum of 100 per group, and then the males and females were separated according to the presence of male genitalia. All the males were morphologically identified; pooled females were ground in a 1.5 mL vial with 500 µL of phosphate-buffered saline using a pellet pestle, and then submitted for biomolecular analysis.
Morphological identification was performed according to identification keys [24,25]. Specimens were observed under an optical microscope after chloroacetophenol clarification to detect morphological characteristics, particularly the shape of the aedeagus, (part of male genitalia) for males, and features of spermathecae and pharynx for females.

**Virological analysis**

Laboratory confirmation of human cases of TOSV infection involves molecular and serological testing. We tested for the presence of TOSV RNA in cerebrospinal fluid specimens using a real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) for TOSV, targeting the TOSV N gene [26] while anti-TOSV IgG and IgM were detected in serum or plasma samples using an indirect immunofluorescence assay (Euroimmun, Lübeck, Germany). TOSV infections that were classified as acute or recent, as described above, were considered confirmed cases.

Sandfly RNA was extracted using TrizolLS Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, United States); cDNA synthesis was achieved using random hexamers (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) and SuperScriptH II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and then tested by a real time RT-PCR specific for TOSV detection [26].

**Statistical and GIS analysis**

Autochthonous human cases of TOSV infection from 2010 to 2012 were geocoded from the Public Health database. The pattern of spatial data was characterised by conducting nearest neighbour analysis on the locations of the human cases of TOSV infection. Analyses were performed using surveillance information on 60 TOSV-positive patients identified; such data were not available for one case.

Kernel density estimation was applied to the location of the autochthonous human cases, in order to assess TOSV cluster presence in the Emilia-Romagna region. This geospatial technique, based on a kernel function (a quadratic function in this analysis), was used to create a surface to indicate the intensity of a particular event; the mean distance between the autochthonous cases (8 km) was chosen as bandwidth. The cluster area of TOSV presence was estimated by the percentage volume contour of the TOSV kernel density estimation, which represents the boundary of the area containing 95% and 50% of the volume of the obtained kernel density estimation. Nearest neighbour analysis and kernel density estimation were performed using ESRI ArcGIS 9.3 and the Spatial Analyst extension.

To test whether the risk of TOSV infection is related to a patient’s sex, a general linear model was applied and logistic regression used on the dataset of tested patients using the software Intercooled Stata 7.0.

**Figure 3**

Distribution of human cases of Toscana virus infection resident in the Emilia-Romagna region, Italy, by date of symptom onset, 2010–12 (n=61)
(probability of infection was the dependent variable and sex was the independent variable).

Maximum likelihood estimation of infected specimens for 1,000 female sandflies collected, for every data-set and sampled sites, was obtained using the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention pool infection rate Excel add-in version 4.0 [27].

**Results**

**Human cases**

During 2010 to 2012, samples obtained from 380 patients were screened within the framework of the regional surveillance system (120 in 2010, 140 in 2011 and 120 in 2012). Of these, 152 were female (62 in 2010, 45 in 2011, and 45 in 2012) and 228 were males (58 in 2010, 95 in 2011, and 75 in 2012).

TOSV infection was confirmed in 65 patients; of these, 61 (17 in 2010, 15 in 2011, and 29 in 2012) probably were infected inside the Emilia-Romagna region; the additional four laboratory-confirmed cases were excluded from our analysis because the patients were not resident in the Emilia-Romagna region and therefore were probably exposed to the infection elsewhere (two patients were from Tuscany, one from Marche and one from San Marino). In 2010 and 2011, the peak of cases was recorded in August, while in 2012 the maximum number of cases was detected at the end of July, with a possible second peak at the beginning of September (Figure 3).

Among the infected patients, a consistently high proportion of men was observed each year (44/61 in the whole period; 11/17 cases in 2010, 11/15 in 2011, 22/29 in 2012). In the whole period, the probability of tested patients being infected by the virus was 0.24 (95% CI: 0.18–0.30) for male patients and 0.13 (95% CI: 0.8–0.18) for female patients. Thus, tested patients infected with TOSV were about twice as likely to be male (odds ratio: 1.90 (95% CI: 1.04–3.47); p<0.05).

The median age of the TOSV-infected patients analysed was 41 years (range: 16–83); 29/61 were aged 25–44 years. The most frequent manifestation in the patients was meningitis (n=40). Of the 61 confirmed cases, 43 were classified as acute infections, according to positive PCR or both positive PCR and IgM detection (Table 1). Additional information on the surveillance form (available for 60 cases) indicated that 29 patients reported to have not travelled or spent nights away from home in the 15 days before symptom onset. So it is hypothesised that they acquired the infection nearby their home: these cases were classified as autochthonous cases. The place of residence of these cases was used in the kernel density estimation, which indicated that the areas at higher risk of TOSV circulation were located in the hilly part of the region (Figure 1).

Furthermore, 16 of the these 60 cases declared a perception of a high density of biting insects in the area

---

**Table 1**

Demographic and clinical characteristics of human cases of Toscana virus infection resident in the Emilia-Romagna region, Italy, 2010–12 (n=61)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2010–12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age group (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15–24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–44</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–64</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥65</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical features</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encephalitis</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meningitis</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meningoencephalitis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of infection</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute infectiona</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent infectionb</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Positive PCR [26] with or without IgM detection.

b Only positive IgM detection.
around their home and 40 used personal or home protection measures against biting insects.

Entomological findings
In 2010 and 2011, sandflies were collected in 15 sites in areas around the homes of human cases (nine in 2010 and six in 2011). This approach resulted in a small number of sandflies being captured; in seven of the sites, no sandflies were collected. In 2010, a total of 54 sandflies were collected from four sites; in 2011, a total of 200 specimens were captured in four sites (of these, 197 were collected in one site).

All sandflies identified in 2010 belonged to *Ph. perfiliewi* species; in 2011, 110 specimens were identified: two were *Ph. perniciosus* (in one site), while the other sandflies were identified as *Ph. perfiliewi*.

Due to the low number of sampled specimens, only two pools underwent biomolecular analysis, which gave negative results.

The change of strategy in 2012 greatly increased the number of sampled sandflies, leading to the detection of TOSV-infected vectors. A total of 26 selected sites, ranging in altitude from 27 m to 341 m above sea level were sampled twice in the season, about a month apart. From 26 July to 28 September, a total of 25,653 sandflies (21,157 female and 4,496 male) were captured in 22 of these sites (Table 2). The females were sorted into 287 pools, of which 33 tested positive for TOSV (Table 3). The 33 TOSV-positive pools were from three sites, with the altitude of the positive sites ranging from 128 m to 207 m above sea level. At one of the sites (Site 2), TOSV-positive pools were detected on two different sampling days. The maximum likelihood estimation of each pool varied from 1.5 to 3.8 (Table 3).

Of the male sandflies analyses, *Ph. perfiliewi* was the preponderant species in the sampled areas (only 11 *Ph. perniciosus* males in a total of 4,496 male sandflies).

### Table 2
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>River valley</th>
<th>Number of sites</th>
<th>Altitude range (metres above sea level)</th>
<th>Number of collected sandflies</th>
<th>Number female</th>
<th>Number male (P.pf/P.pn)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sillaro</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>191–341</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>95 (95/0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sellustra</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>133–226</td>
<td>1,071</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>229 (229/0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santerno</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>78–175</td>
<td>5,305</td>
<td>3,655</td>
<td>1,650 (1,645/5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senio</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>130–207</td>
<td>6,733</td>
<td>5,139</td>
<td>1,594 (1,589/5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamone</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>83–232</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>31 (30/1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montone</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>27–183</td>
<td>11,565</td>
<td>10,746</td>
<td>819 (819/0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ronco</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>78 (78/0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27–341</td>
<td>25,653</td>
<td>21,157</td>
<td>4,496 (4,485/11)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P.pf: *Phlebotomus perfiliewi*; P.pn: *Phelbotomus perniciosus*.

* Three sites with no sandflies captured.
* One site with no sandflies captured.

### Table 3
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>River</th>
<th>Altitude (metres above sea level)</th>
<th>Date in 2012</th>
<th>Number of sandflies collected</th>
<th>Number male (P.pf/P.pn)</th>
<th>Number female</th>
<th>Number of pools of females positive/total</th>
<th>MLE (lower limit–upper limit)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Montone</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>2 Aug, 7 Sep</td>
<td>9,295</td>
<td>727 (727/0)</td>
<td>8,568</td>
<td>19/93</td>
<td>2.5 (1.5–3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Santerno</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>26 Jul, 30 Aug</td>
<td>2,285</td>
<td>494 (494/0)</td>
<td>1,791</td>
<td>6/23</td>
<td>3.8 (1.6–7.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Senio</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>17 Aug, 28 Sep</td>
<td>4,660</td>
<td>933 (930/3)</td>
<td>3,727</td>
<td>6/48</td>
<td>1.7 (0.7–3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>21,017</td>
<td>3,327 (3,322/5)</td>
<td>17,690</td>
<td>33/214</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MLE: maximum likelihood estimation; NA: not applicable; P.pf: *Phlebotomus perfiliewi*; P.pn: *Phelbotomus perniciosus*. 
All the *Ph. perniciosus* specimens were found in three neighbouring valleys (Santerno, Senio and Lamone) (Table 2, Figure 2).

**Discussion**

The fact that there were no patients under 14 years of age is in line with previous observations [28]. There may be two reasons for the lack of cases of TOSV infection in children: TOSV infection may occur at a lower rate in children or it may be more frequently asymptomatic or paucisymptomatic in children than in adults. A high proportion of adult cases and a larger presence of males among confirmed cases has already been reported in serological investigations in Italy [29] and could be linked to behaviour, with high levels of outdoor activities having been described as a risk factor of TOSV infection [9]. This observation may explain the greater likelihood of infection in males, as highlighted by our surveillance.

The location of most of the human cases (46/61) in hilly areas of the region is an expected result as these areas are the typical habitat for sandflies and support a very high density of vectors, as confirmed by the abundance of sandflies collected (Tables 2 and 3). Two species of sandflies were collected during the survey, *Ph. perfiliewi* (4,647/4,660; 99.7%) and *Ph. perniciosus* (13/4,660 0.3%). Both species are considered efficient vectors of TOSV [6], but due to its abundance, *Ph. perfiliewi* seems to be the main vector of TOSV in the Emilia-Romagna region. As the two species have a different distribution in the Mediterranean basin, *Ph. perniciosus* in western part and *Ph. perfiliewi* in eastern part, with an overlap between the two in the central Mediterranean area, [10], confirmation of the vectorial competence of both species enlarges the area of potential TOSV presence. There is, however, a need for precise data on the distribution of sandflies in Europe, data that are often not available [10].

A major role of vertical transmission in the persistence of TOSV in the environment has been hypothesised, but vertical transmission seems ineffective in ensuring such persistence of the virus over generations, at least in experimental studies [7,8]. Possible involvement of vertebrates in the TOSV life cycle could be hypothesised as antibodies against the virus have been detected in horses and sheep in Italy [13] and in horses, goats, pigs, cats, dogs, sheep and cows in Spain; in one of the goat samples, the virus was detected by real-time PCR [30]. However, it should be borne in mind that the presence of anti-TOSV antibodies in these animals does not imply that they play a role in the natural cycle of the virus. In a survey conducted in Italy between 1983 and 1985, one TOSV strain was isolated from a bat captured in 1984, which had tested negative in a serological test [2]. The possible involvement of other vertebrates, such as rodents, in the life cycle of various phleboviruses transmitted by sandflies has been suggested previously [5]. Further studies are needed to identify possible TOSV reservoirs but, considering that sandflies do not fly for long distances [31] and that TOSV was found in sandflies collected in rural areas, our study indicates that a putative reservoir, if present, could be looked for in such rural environments.

The initial approach of collecting sandflies in areas nearby the homes of the human cases gave poor results, probably due to the time elapsed (estimated to be about two weeks) between the onset of the human infection and the sampling of sandflies. This may have resulted in a reduction in the number of sandflies, as these insects show sharp peaks of abundance during the season and have a short lifespan, of about two or three weeks [10,25,31]. The 2012 sampling methodology, which focused on a hilly area with observed TOSV circulation in previous years, irrespective of whether human cases had been detected nearby, resulted in the capture of a greater number of sandflies. Interestingly, in all sampled sites in which TOSV was identified, the virus was detected, or a greater maximum likelihood estimation observed, in early samples, showing the highest circulation of the virus to be between the end of July and the beginning of August (Table 3). This is in agreement with human epidemiological data obtained in 2012, which showed a similar early peak in human cases at the end of July (Figure 3). This may be linked to the exceptional drought conditions recorded in 2012, a year with one of the driest Augusts recorded in the time series of regional meteorological services [32], which date back to 1950s. In 2012, the occurrence of human cases seemed to be different from that of previous years: in 2010–11, the peak of human cases was recorded in August (Figure 3), reflecting the classic dynamic described in the literature [4,13].

The different trends of human cases during the study period may be due to different population dynamics of the vector. This hypothesis seems to be confirmed by the detection of TOSV in the more abundant samples obtained during the 2012 survey, in which more than 18,000 specimens were collected, more than 70% of the sandflies collected throughout the study period. Moreover, it is thought that sandflies could be both the main reservoir and also have an amplifying role in the life cycle of TOSV [6,10]. Previous field evidence has linked the peak in human cases with periods of highest density of the vector [4]. Taken together, these results seem to suggest a strong correlation between the presence of the virus and sandfly density. If confirmed, this correlation could be a very useful tool for assessing the risk of TOSV infection. The importance of these findings is emphasised by different field studies that indicate an increasing in density and a northern spread of sandflies in Europe [33], with a consequent increasing burden of sandfly transmitted diseases [10,33].

The integrated surveillance system we have described was able to identify areas in which TOSV circulation was more intense and the risk of human infection was the highest. Improvements in the surveillance strategy over the years have resulted in the availability of epidemiological data about the TOSV life cycle, which may
be useful to obtain models to forecast TOSV circulation in the region. If the hypothesis of correlation between vector abundance and virus circulation is confirmed, this correlation could be used to develop effective and efficient actions intended to prevent virus transmission, such as vector monitoring, vector control policies and informative campaigns, to stimulate the adoption of personal protection measures in risk areas.
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