Epidemiology of measles during the COVID-19 pandemic, a description of the surveillance data, 29 EU/EEA countries and the United Kingdom, January to May 2020

Nathalie Nicolay¹, Grazina Mirinaviciute¹, Thomas Mollet¹, Lucia Pastore Celentano¹, Sabrina Bacci¹

1. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC), Stockholm, Sweden

Correspondence: Nathalie Nicolay (Nathalie.Nicolay@ecdc.europa.eu)

Citation style for this article:

Nicolay Nathalie, Mirinaviciute Grazina, Mollet Thomas, Celentano Lucia Pastore, Bacci Sabrina. Epidemiology of measles during the COVID-19 pandemic, a description of the surveillance data, 29 EU/EEA countries and the United Kingdom, January to May 2020. *Euro Surveill.* 2020;25(31):pii=2001390. <https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.31.2001390>

Article submitted on 15 Jul 2020 / accepted on 06 Aug 2020 / published on 06 Aug 2020

The number of measles cases declined in European Union/European Economic Area countries and the United Kingdom in 2020. Reported cases to The European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control decreased from 710 to 54 between January and May. Epidemic intelligence screening observed a similar trend. Under-diagnoses and under-reporting during the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic should be ruled out before concluding reduced measles circulation is because of social distancing and any community control measures taken to control COVID-19.

Background

From 1 January 2010 to 31 December 2019, European Union/European Economic Area (EU/EEA) countries observed a high level of measles transmission, with 148,279 cases reported to The European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). Major epidemics occurred in 2010 to 2012 and 2017 to 2019 [1]. In 2019, 13,200 cases were reported to ECDC [2]. Immunity gaps and suboptimal vaccination rates in most countries were main factors associated with the last epidemic waves [1,2].

We discuss in this study how the epidemiology and the surveillance of measles in the EU/EEA countries and the United Kingdom (UK) has evolved during the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic, which was declared on 11 March 2020.

Data sources

All data presented cover the period 1 January 2010 to 31 May 2020.

Routine monthly indicator-based surveillance data refers to descriptive analysis on confirmed, probable, possible [3] and those classified as unknown cases [2] reported to the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) by 29 EU/EEA countries and the UK as of 27 June 2020, and retrieved from The European

Surveillance System (TESSy) on 30 June 2020. Data may be consolidated in the next months because of delays in case-based reporting to TESSy. The cases reported to TESSy are presented in this report by ‘month of statistics’, which derives from a date chosen by the country for reporting purposes. This date may indicate onset of disease, date of diagnosis, date of notification or date of laboratory confirmation, depending on reporting practices in the respective countries.

Event-based surveillance or epidemic intelligence (EI) data refers to regularly updated measles cases, deaths and outbreaks detected through publicly available official sources, e.g. websites of ministries of health, national institutes of public health, etc. Additional screening of media sources is also performed for the monitoring of measles threats. These data are supplemental to the TESSy data. EI detection aims at identifying cases up to the day of the screening and it is performed approximately 10 to 15 days after the deadline of reporting to TESSy, which, on the other hand, refers to the cases up to the end of the previous month of when the data is collected. The cases detected by EI are presented by ‘month of detection’, derived from the date cases are identified by EI at ECDC. Month of detection and month of statistics do not necessarily match.

We defined the measles peak season as the period between January to May.

Results

Between 1 January 2020 and 31 May 2020, 1,917 measles cases (1,246 confirmed, 390 probable, 276 possible and five unknown) were reported to ECDC. The median age of cases was 7 years and mean age was 14 years (interquartile range (IQR) 1–25). Since January 2010, it was the second lowest number of cases ever reported during a measles peak season (1,104 cases between January to May 2016) (Figure 1). And for the first time, the number of reported cases in 2020 sharply

FIGURE 1

Number of measles cases^a reported to TESSy (ECDC) by month of statistics and year, 29 EU/EEA countries and the UK, 1 January 2010–31 May 2020 (n = 150,188 cases, month of reporting was missing for eight cases)

ECDC: European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control; EU/EEA: the European Union/European Economic Area; TESSy: The European Surveillance System; UK: United Kingdom.

^a All confirmed, probable, possible based on definitions found in [3], as well as cases with unknown status.

decreased between January (n=710) and May (n=54) (Figure 1, Table 1). Among countries that reported the highest total number of cases between January 2020 and May 2020 (Belgium, Bulgaria, Germany, Spain, France, Italy, Romania and the UK), the number of cases fell month by month, contrary to the typically observed increasing trend during these months. Furthermore, in these countries, the number of cases in May 2020 was the lowest ever reached since January 2010 (Figure 1 and 2). Countries did not report imported cases in April and May compared with 6% (35/560, cases with unknown imported status = 150), 5% (22/442, unknown = 143) and 2% (6/313, unknown = 93) of them in January, February and March 2020. From March to June 2020, all 30 countries ensured continuity in the reporting of measles to ECDC on a monthly basis and with maximum delay of 2 days after the deadline.

In the same period, January to May, EI identified reports of 2,040 measles cases in 29 EU/EEA countries and the UK (Table 2). After a slight increase observed between January (n = 313) and February (n = 876), monthly data showed a decrease to 292 in March and 253 cases in April 2020, followed by a slight upsurge in May (n=306). Over the study period, EI screening captured slightly more cases than those reported into TESSy for Romania (n = 1,092) and Spain (n = 82).

Discussion

Measles is an acute, highly infectious illness caused by Measles morbillivirus. It is transmitted through airborne respiratory droplets, or by direct contact with

nasal and throat secretions of infected individuals [1]. In temperate climates, the illness occurs in a seasonal pattern with cases progressively increasing in winter and peaking in spring. After a large outbreak, the inter-epidemic period varies depending on measles vaccination coverage and the number of susceptible individuals accumulated in each new birth cohort [1].

Since 2011, ECDC has been coordinating measles surveillance at the EU/EEA and UK level following the transfer of the European surveillance network for vaccine preventable diseases (EUVAC.NET), which started collecting data in 1999 [4]. All countries submit measles surveillance data on a monthly basis to TESSy, which is hosted by ECDC. Data are shared with the World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe (WHO/Europe) as part of the measles surveillance of the WHO European Region [5]. In addition, the EI group at ECDC ensures a comprehensive monitoring of the epidemiology of measles by systematically screening various sources of measles information, e.g. number of cases, deaths and measles related events, each month. Results of EI screening are regularly published in communicable disease threat reports (CDTR) [6]. The two systems, EI detection and routine surveillance, should be seen as complementary to each other [7]. Differences in the number of cases captured by the two systems may reflect some delays in data reporting to TESSy (which has been known to occur when large outbreaks are ongoing, as for example, in Romania [8]) and/or differences depending on which month cases are accounted for and/or under-reporting.

TABLE 1

Number of measles cases^a reported to TESSy (ECDC) by month of statistics and country, 29 EU/EEA countries and the UK, 1 January 2020–31 May 2020 (n = 1,917)

Country	Month (2020)					Total
	January	February	March	April	May	
Austria	2	7	16	0	0	25
Belgium	32	16	2	3	0	53
Bulgaria	81	82	69	23	2	257
Croatia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cyprus	0	1	0	0	0	1
Czech Republic	0	3	0	0	0	3
Denmark	4	0	0	0	0	4
Estonia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Germany	17	27	23	5	0	72
Greece	1	0	1	0	0	2
Finland	2	2	0	0	0	4
France	86	76	53	2	2	219
Hungary	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iceland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ireland	1	12	4	1	0	18
Italy	52	35	9	0	0	96
Latvia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lithuania	1	0	1	0	0	2
Luxembourg	0	0	0	0	0	0
Malta	0	2	0	0	0	2
Netherlands	1	1	0	0	0	2
Norway	3	1	0	0	0	4
Poland	6	6	8	2	1	23
Portugal	4	2	0	0	2	8
Romania	323	255	211	123	45	957
Slovenia	5	1	0	0	0	6
Slovakia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spain	37	30	3	0	0	70
Sweden	2	3	0	0	0	5
UK	50	23	9	0	2	84
EU/EEA and the UK	710	585	409	159	54	1,917

ECDC: European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control; EU/EEA: European Union/European Economic Area; TESSy: The European Surveillance System; UK: United Kingdom.

^a All confirmed, probable, possible based on definitions found in [3], as well as those cases with unknown status.

In 2020, we observed an unexpected break in the measles outbreak dynamic. For the first time since the implementation of EU/EEA and UK surveillance, there was a sharp decrease in the number of measles cases during the season when the virus usually circulates widely [9]. This finding is also supported by the EI data [6]. By comparison, the last rapid risk assessment published by ECDC in May 2019 highlighted the high risk of sustained measles outbreaks in EU/EEA countries [1]. The COVID-19 pandemic probably had an impact on the epidemiology of measles at the beginning of 2020 in several ways.

First, measles shares the same routes of transmission as COVID-19 [10]. Its reproductive number (R_0) is much higher than most transmissible diseases [1]. Therefore, any control measures applied to COVID-19 may also effect measles incidence. Confinement strategies were widely implemented in EU/EEA and the UK, including primary and/or secondary school closures in all countries and stay-at-home orders in 18 countries [11], which therefore impact the R_0 . School closures in the past have allowed for the reduction of measles transmission in school-aged children [12] and the control of other close-contact infectious diseases, such as influenza [13,14]. The reduction of social contacts probably had an impact on measles transmission. More in depth analyses are required to describe to what extent the epidemiology of measles has evolved in terms of age groups affected and impact by countries depending on the control measures taken.

Second, the pandemic has been responsible for health-care services disruptions in hospitals and primary healthcare settings that may have impaired access to care. With hospitals overwhelmed with the inflow of COVID-19 patients and needing reorganisation, clinical practices were also challenged. Telemedicine expanded rapidly among general practitioners and paediatricians in response to the pandemic and the need to adopt social distancing measures [15,16]. However, there is little data on the quality of telemedicine consultation for the aetiological diagnosis of rash diseases [17], especially in low prevalence settings [18]. We cannot rule out that measles was misdiagnosed or that patients with eruptive disease sought less medical attention since the beginning of the pandemic.

Third, in the pre-pandemic era and in some settings, the incidence of measles has been described as being underestimated because of under-reporting [19] even when notification was mandatory [20]. The pandemic may have worsened under-reporting. During measles outbreaks, physicians are reminded of their notification duties through increased measles awareness by public health authorities and physicians' associations as well as intensified media coverage. Such communication may have been impaired by the COVID-19 pandemic in a number of countries. Physicians may also have considered the notification of sporadic measles cases less of a priority.

Finally, the pandemic is a demanding and a challenging period for public health services. It required massive adjustments in national and local surveillance systems, e.g. influenza surveillance [21]. EU/EEA countries and the UK have shown, since the beginning of the pandemic, great commitment to international surveillance. All countries ensured timely measles reporting from the national level to ECDC. Elimination of measles was a priority in the European Vaccine Action Plan 2015–2020 [22]. A strong surveillance system is one of the four pillars of the WHO/Europe Regional Strategy for measles and rubella elimination [23]. However, the

FIGURE 2

Average number of measles cases^a in 2010–2019 and number of measles cases^a in 2020 reported to TESSy (ECDC) by month of statistics during the January to May measles season peaks, EU/EEA and the UK and selected countries^b

ECDC: European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control; EU/EEA: European Union/European Economic Area; TESSy: The European Surveillance System; UK: United Kingdom.

^a All confirmed, probable, possible based on definitions found in [3], as well as those cases with unknown status.

^b Countries reporting the highest number of cases between 1 January 2020 and 31 May 2020.

The grey area represents the minimum and the maximum number of cases reported over the 2010–2019 period.

challenges observed at regional or local level may have compromised the ability to describe the real epidemiology of measles, either because of under-reporting or delay in reporting. Frontline public health officers who coordinated the surveillance of infectious diseases in the field may have been overwhelmed by the COVID-19 workload to the detriment of other monitoring systems.

During the COVID-19 pandemic, measles vaccination programme continuity remains an absolute priority [24] in order to ensure high level of vaccination coverage and to avoid any immunity gap. In parallel, we need to ensure that surveillance systems do not collapse and that the decreasing trend in the number of measles cases routinely reported to TESSy reflects the true

epidemiology of the disease. EI data collection from publicly available official sources, as well as through media, will continue to be extremely valuable to interpreting the trend and detecting measles clusters. However, it also heavily depends on the diagnostic and reporting capacity of the countries. Continuous monitoring in the coming months will help to better identify the root of this phenomenon, in particular any resurgence of cases once containment measures are lifted. Under-diagnoses and under-reporting during the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic should be ruled out before concluding reduced measles circulation is because of social distancing measures and any community control measures taken to control COVID-19.

TABLE 2

Number of measles cases^a identified by Epidemic Intelligence at ECDC by country and by month of detection, 29 EU/EEA countries and the UK, 1 January 2020–31 May 2020 (n = 2,040)

Country	Month (2020)					Total
	January	February	March	April	May	
Austria	1	2	0	21	1	25
Belgium	37	11	0	0	0	48
Bulgaria	13	147	73	20	4	257
Croatia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cyprus	0	0	0	1	0	1
Czech Republic	0	3	0	0	0	3
Denmark	0	0	4	0	0	4
Estonia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Finland	0	0	4	0	0	4
France	86	75	57	0	0	218
Germany	0	27	32	0	0	59
Greece	0	1	1	0	0	2
Hungary	1	0	0	0	0	1
Iceland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ireland	0	13	2	0	0	15
Italy	52	34	9	0	0	95
Latvia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lithuania	1	0	1	0	0	2
Luxembourg	0	0	0	0	0	0
Malta	0	2	0	0	0	2
Netherlands	0	1	1	0	0	2
Norway	3	1	0	0	0	4
Poland	2	11	5	3	2	23
Portugal	5	1	0	0	0	6
Romania	88	524	140	41	299	1,092
Slovenia	5	1	0	0	0	6
Slovakia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spain	17	22	24	24	0	87
Sweden	2	0	0	3	0	5
UK	0	0	79	0	0	79
EU/EEA and the UK	313	876	292	253	306	2,040

ECDC: European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control; EU/EEA: European Union/European Economic Area; UK: United Kingdom.

^a As per country's case definition.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge all EU/EEA nominated national Operational Contact Points for measles surveillance for their active contribution to measles surveillance, as well as the National Focal Points for the Vaccine Preventable Diseases Programme in each country.

Conflict of interest

None declared.

Authors' contributions

NN and SB initiated the work. NN ran the descriptive analysis. GM and TM provided and analysed epidemic intelligence data. NN wrote the first draft of the manuscript and the revised version. LCP provided scientific content to the discussion. All authors contributed to the interpretation of the findings. All authors provided substantial scientific input to the manuscript. All authors revised and agreed upon the final version of the manuscript.

References

1. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). Rapid Risk Assessment. Who is at risk for measles in the EU/EEA? Identifying susceptible groups to close immunity gaps towards measles elimination. Stockholm: ECDC; 28 May 2019. [Accessed 6 Jul 2020]. Available from: <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/RRA-Measles-EU-EEA-May-2019.pdf>
2. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). Measles. In: ECDC Annual epidemiological report for 2019. Stockholm: ECDC; 2020. [Accessed 6 Jul 2020]. Available from: <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/measles-2019-aer.pdf>
3. European Commission. Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2018/945 of 22 June 2018 on the communicable diseases and related special health issues to be covered by epidemiological surveillance as well as relevant case definitions. 2018;(2119):1-74. Available from: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32018D0945>
4. Glismann S, Rønne T, Tozzi A. The EUVAC-NET project: creation and operation of a surveillance community network for vaccine preventable infectious diseases. Euro Surveill. 2001;6(6):94-8. <https://doi.org/10.2807/esm.06.06.00204-en> PMID: 11675536
5. World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe (WHO/Europe). Strengthening National Surveillance Systems towards Measles and Rubella Elimination in the WHO European Region. Copenhagen: WHO/Europe; 2014. [Accessed 2 Aug 2020]. Available from: https://www.euro.who.int/__data/assets/pdf_file/0010/173467/Meeting-report_DJA-05-Sep-2012-final.pdf
6. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). Communicable disease threats report. Week 25. Stockholm: ECDC; 18 Jun 2020. [Accessed 6 Jul 2020]. Available from: <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/communicable-disease-threats-report-14-20-june-2020-week-25>
7. Paquet C, Coulombier D, Kaiser R, Ciotti M. Epidemic intelligence: a new framework for strengthening disease surveillance in Europe. Euro Surveill. 2006;11(12). <https://doi.org/10.2807/esm.11.12.00665-en> PMID: 17370970
8. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). Surveillance report. Monthly measles and rubella monitoring report. Stockholm: ECDC; Apr 2020. Available from: <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/measles-rubella-monthly-report-april-2020.pdf>
9. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). Surveillance Atlas of Infectious Diseases. Stockholm: ECDC. [Accessed 6 Jul 2020]. Available from: <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/surveillance-atlas-infectious-diseases>
10. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). Considerations relating to social distancing measures in response to COVID-19 – second update. Stockholm: ECDC; 23 Mar 2020. [Accessed 6 Jul 2020]. Available from: <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/covid-19-social-distancing-measuresg-guide-second-update.pdf>
11. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). Rapid Risk Assessment: Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in the EU/EEA and the UK – ninth update Stockholm: ECDC; 23 Apr 2020. [Accessed 6 Jul 2020]. Available from: <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/rapid-risk-assessment-coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19-pandemic-ninth-update>
12. Klinkenberg D, Hahné SJM, Woudenberg T, Wallinga J. The Reduction of Measles Transmission During School Vacations. Epidemiology. 2018;29(4):562-70. <https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0000000000000841> PMID: 29629940
13. Brooks SK, Smith LE, Webster RK, Weston D, Woodland L, Hall I, et al. The impact of unplanned school closure on children's social contact: rapid evidence review. Euro Surveill. 2020;25(13):2000188. <https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.13.2000188> PMID: 32265006
14. Te Beest DE, Birrell PJ, Wallinga J, De Angelis D, van Boven M. Joint modelling of serological and hospitalization data reveals that high levels of pre-existing immunity and school holidays

- shaped the influenza A pandemic of 2009 in the Netherlands. *J R Soc Interface*. 2015;12(103):20141244. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2014.1244> PMID: 25540241
15. Burnham JP, Fritz SA, Yaeger LH, Colditz GA. Telemedicine Infectious Diseases Consultations and Clinical Outcomes: A Systematic Review. *Open Forum Infect Dis*. 2019;6(12):ofz517. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofz517> PMID: 31879674
 16. Trethewey SP, Beck KJ, Symonds RF. Video consultations in UK primary care in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2020;70(694):228-9. <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp20X709505> PMID: 32354807
 17. Devrim İ, Düzgöl M, Kara A, Çağlar İ, Devrim F, Bayram N, et al. Reliability and accuracy of smartphones for paediatric infectious disease consultations for children with rash in the paediatric emergency department. *BMC Pediatr*. 2019;19(1):40. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12887-019-1416-8> PMID: 30704422
 18. Lo Vecchio A, Montagnani C, Krzysztofciak A, Valentini P, Rossi N, Bozzola E, et al. Measles Outbreak in a High-Income Country: Are Pediatricians Ready? *J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc*. 2019;pizo61. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jpids/pizo61> PMID: 31697374
 19. Parent du Châtelet I, Antona D, Freymuth F, Muscat M, Halftermeyer-Zhou F, Maine C, et al. Spotlight on measles 2010: update on the ongoing measles outbreak in France, 2008-2010. *Euro Surveill*. 2010;15(36):19656. PMID: 20843472
 20. Antona D, Morel P, Jacquot C, Fonteneau L, Dina J, Vauloup-Fellous C, et al. Measles and rubella seroprevalence in a population of young adult blood donors, France 2013. *Epidemiol Infect*. 2019;147:e109. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268819000050> PMID: 30869022
 21. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). Strategies for the surveillance of COVID-19. Stockholm: ECDC; 9 Apr 2020. [Accessed 6 Jul 2020]. Available from: <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/COVID-19-surveillance-strategy-9-Apr-2020.pdf>
 22. World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe (WHO/Europe). European vaccine action plan 2015–2020. Copenhagen: WHO/Europe; 2014. <http://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/disease-prevention/vaccines-and-immunization/publications/2014/european-vaccine-action-plan-20152020-2014>
 23. World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe (WHO/Europe). Eliminating measles and rubella. Framework for the verification process in the WHO European Region. Copenhagen: WHO/Europe; 2014. [Accessed 6 Jul 2020]. Available from: <https://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/disease-prevention/vaccines-and-immunization/publications/2014/eliminating-measles-and-rubella-framework-for-the-verification-process-in-the-who-european-region>
 24. World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe (WHO/Europe). Guidance on routine immunization services during COVID-19 pandemic in the WHO European Region. Copenhagen: WHO/Europe; 20 Mar 2020. [Accessed 6 Jul 2020]. Available from: https://www.euro.who.int/__data/assets/pdf_file/0004/433813/Guidance-routine-immunization-services-COVID-19-pandemic.pdf

License, supplementary material and copyright

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) Licence. You may share and adapt the material, but must give appropriate credit to the source, provide a link to the licence and indicate if changes were made.

Any supplementary material referenced in the article can be found in the online version.

This article is copyright of the authors or their affiliated institutions, 2020.