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The Dutch Working Party on Antibiotic Policy (Stichting Werkgroep Antibioticabeleid, SWAB) was founded in 1996 as an initiative of the Society for Infectious Diseases, the Dutch Society for Medical Microbiology, and the Dutch Association of Hospital Pharmacists. Its primary goal is to contribute to the containment of antimicrobial resistance and the expanding costs incurred for the use of antibiotics. SWAB is the Intersectoral Coordinating Mechanism (ICM) for the Netherlands, and it is at present the National Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR) Focal Point. It coordinates the national surveillance of antibiotic resistance, in collaboration with the National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM) (currently: the Centre for Infectious Disease Control Netherlands, CiB), and to coordinate the surveillance of the use of antibiotics. Structural funds were provided, also for the guideline development programme. Finally, a platform with the Veterinary Antibiotic Usage and Resistance Surveillance Working Group (VANTURES) was created. When the “Council Recommendation on the prudent use of antimicrobial agents in human medicine” (2002/77/EC) was issued, SWAB became the Intersectoral Coordinating Mechanism (ICM) for the Netherlands, and it is at present the National AMR Focal Point.

NethMap – the annual report on antimicrobial use and resistance

NethMap 2003 was the first epidemiological report with information about consumption of antimicrobial agents and antimicrobial resistance among medically important bacteria in the Netherlands. It was presented in April 2003 during the SWAB symposium 2003 [2]. NethMap was named after a similar yearly report from Denmark (DANMAP). In contrast to the DANMAP report, veterinary data in the Netherlands are published in separate reports, called MARAN (http://www.cvi.wur.nl/NL/publicaties/rapporten/maranrapportage/).

From 2003 through 2008 NethMap has been updated annually, and can be downloaded from http://www.swab.nl>professional>NethMap. NethMap is published by SWAB in collaboration with the RIVM. Data on delivery of antimicrobials from hospital pharmacies are collected by SWAB (Figure 1), and data from 90% of all community pharmacies are provided by the Foundation for Pharmaceutical Statistics (Figure 2). Data on antimicrobial resistance in hospitals are collected from local laboratories by RIVM. The susceptibility of strains collected from outpatients and hospital departments (Urology, Pulmonology and Intensive Care) is determined quantitatively by the Laboratory of Medical Microbiology of Maastricht University. The population coverage for the resistance surveillance programmes is approximately 30%.

Over the past decade, outpatient consumption of antibiotics has risen only slightly, from 9.9 to 11.1 defined daily doses...
(DDD)/1,000 inhabitants/day. In hospitals overall antibiotic use increased significantly from 47.8 to 62.2 DDD per 100 patient-days, but this increase was due to the steady reduction in the average length of patient hospital stays. Overall antibiotic use per 100 admitted patients remained constant. Outside hospitals, co-amoxiclav gradually replaced amoxicillin (Figure 3) and, according to revised guidelines for general practitioners (GPs) for the treatment of cystitis, more nitrofurantoin was used at the expense of trimethoprim. The percentage of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* which was of intermediate susceptibility or resistant to penicillin and the percentage of *Staphylococcus aureus* resistant to oxacillin (MRSA) remained lower than 3%, but resistance of *S. pneumoniae* and *S. aureus* to macrolides has increased to nearly 10% of the investigated isolates. *Escherichia coli* resistance to ciprofloxacin among hospitalised patients increased to 9% in 2007 (Figure 4). Occasionally, hospital epidemics of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* resistant to third-generation cephalosporins occurred, but the overall percentage of such strains remained low at 3-6%. In contrast, the ciprofloxacin resistance in *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* from sexually transmitted diseases’ (STD) clinics has increased to such a high level (44%) that fluoroquinolones cannot be advised anymore for first-line treatment. The Dutch guidelines for treating gonorrhoea have been adapted accordingly.

**Figure 1**
Distribution of antibiotics administered for systemic use* (defined daily doses (DDD)/1,000 inhabitants/day) in primary health care, the Netherlands 2007

**Figure 2**
Distribution of antibiotics administered for systemic use* (Defined Daily Doses (DDD)/100 patient-days) in hospitals, the Netherlands, 2006

**Figure 3**
Use of amoxicillin and co-amoxiclav in primary health care, the Netherlands 1998 – 2007

**Figure 4**
Trends in resistance to antibiotics among *Escherichia coli* from unselected hospital departments, the Netherlands, 1996-2007

Source: Stichting Farmaceutische Kengetallen – SFK.

* Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) Classification System J01
Guideline development in the Netherlands

Since the late 1980s, the Dutch College for General Practitioners has been running a guideline programme. Guidelines have been developed for e.g. otitis media in children, sinusitis, sore throat and pneumonia. These guidelines are regularly updated. In addition, the GPs have in place a “peer review group” system: a nationwide structure of general practitioners’ peer review groups, with collaborating pharmacists, which aims to promote rational prescribing through audit and feedback [3].

Since its conception, SWAB has developed national guidelines for the use of antibiotics, which are aimed at the hospitalised adult patient. Initially, the draft guidelines were prepared by a writing committee, selected by SWAB, consisting of five to ten experts: medical microbiologists, infectious diseases’ specialists, hospital pharmacists and medical specialists relevant to the specific topic. After review by another 25 experts, the guidelines were finalised and published. Guidelines were published in the major national Dutch medical journal (Nederlands Tijdschrift voor Geneeskunde) [4].

In 2001, a survey among hospital antibiotic policy committees revealed that the majority of respondents were aware of SWAB guidelines, but it was suggested that the draft guidelines should be made more broadly available, e.g. on the internet, and with a clearer method for grading the strength of the evidence on which the guideline was based. A particular feature of infectious diseases’ guidelines is that local epidemiology and resistance data must be taken into account, and NethMap has provided this information since 2003. As a result of our survey and following the principles of evidence-based guideline development [5] we revised the procedures for the development of SWAB guidelines in 2005 [6,7]. The new procedure includes the consultation of the concerned professional societies for delegating experts to the writing committee, and all their members are given an opportunity to comment on draft guidelines. There are now also GPS on the writing committee to ensure that there is consistency between the guidelines for ambulatory care and hospitals. After final approval by the board, SWAB guidelines are posted on the SWAB website (www.swab.nl). For most of the guidelines English versions are freely available from the internet. Implementation of the guidelines in hospitals is studied by government-funded research projects [8].

The national electronic antibiotic guide ‘SWAB-ID’ for use in hospitals

The survey (unpublished data) among Dutch hospital antibiotic policy committees also revealed their wish to compile a comprehensive, national antibiotic treatment guide. SWAB took up this challenge, and in 2006 we introduced our electronic national antibiotic guide ‘SWAB-ID’ for the antibiotic treatment and prophylaxis of common infectious diseases in hospitals [9]. This guide also contains a formulary for all antimicrobial drugs available in the Netherlands. Treatment choices and dose regimens are based on existing national evidence-based guidelines, where available. Where no guideline is available, the advice is based on an inventory of the antibiotic policies of the 12 Dutch centres with an infectious diseases’ or medical microbiology training programme. A particular feature of infectious diseases’ guidelines is that local epidemiology and resistance data must be taken into account, and NethMap has provided this information since 2003. As a result of our survey and following the principles of evidence-based guideline development [5] we revised the procedures for the development of SWAB guidelines in 2005 [6,7]. The new procedure includes the consultation of the concerned professional societies for delegating experts to the writing committee, and all their members are given an opportunity to comment on draft guidelines. There are now also GPS on the writing committee to ensure that there is consistency between the guidelines for ambulatory care and hospitals. After final approval by the board, SWAB guidelines are posted on the SWAB website (www.swab.nl). For most of the guidelines English versions are freely available from the internet. Implementation of the guidelines in hospitals is studied by government-funded research projects [8].

Every hospital antibiotic policy committee in the Netherlands is offered the opportunity to edit the national version for local use. For a relatively small fee, SWAB provides a copy of the national version, in which adaptations can be made if local circumstances so demand, and this local version is again accessible through the internet, and downloadable on PDA. So far, six out of eight university hospitals, and ten non-academic hospitals / hospital groups are now using a local version of the national SWAB guide.

SWAB, Europe, and the First European Antibiotic Awareness Day

SWAB assisted Croatia in implementing EU directives and recommendations in the field of antimicrobial resistance and the sound use of antibiotics within a framework project launched by the Dutch Ministry of Foreign Affairs in 2006 [10]. Although antibiotic use and resistance rates in the Netherlands are relatively low compared to almost any other country [11], the NethMap surveillance 2008 report shows that figures are rising slowly. The reasons for this are not fully understood. Up to now, SWAB initiatives to maintain prudent antibiotic use have addressed healthcare professionals only. The Council document [11] included recommendations to make consumers aware of the risks posed by antimicrobial resistance. In the spirit of the first European Antibiotic Awareness Day that will take place across Europe on 18 November 2008 [12], SWAB will soon develop activities to increase awareness among the general public of the importance of consolidating the prudent use of antibiotics.
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