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Data from 23 European countries show that the annual number of HIV diagnoses in men who have sex with men (MSM) increased by 86% between 2000 and 2006. This paper reports the main preliminary results of a bio-behavioural survey in MSM with a specific focus on HIV prevalence and use of United Nations General Assembly Special Session (UNGASS) indicators in six cities in Southern and Eastern Europe. Time-location sampling (TLS) was used. A total number of 2,356 questionnaires and 2,241 oral fluid samples were collected (invalid samples 4.1%). The data show different socio-demographic patterns across countries regarding age, level of education, living conditions, living area and self-identity. Southern European cities had the highest percentage of people who had tested for HIV and collected the result. More than 50% of respondents in the sample from Barcelona reported having used a condom last time they had anal sex (57.2%), whilst in all other cities this proportion was below 50%. The cities with the highest HIV prevalence in MSM were Barcelona (17.0%) and Verona (11.8%) whilst lower percentages were reported in Bratislava (6.1%), Bucharest (4.6%), Ljubljana (5.1%) and Prague (2.6%). The low prevalence in Eastern European cities is encouraging. However, with the level of high-risk sexual behaviour documented and the lower frequency of HIV test seeking behaviour, there is a clear risk of an increase in HIV transmission.

Introduction
HIV infection remains an important public health issue in Europe, with evidence of continuing transmission in many countries. Accounting for almost one third (7,693) of all reported newly diagnosed HIV infections reported in 2006 in European Union (EU) and European Free Trade Association (EFTA) countries, Men who have sex with men (MSM) continue to represent a population at high risk of HIV infection [1,2]. Data from 23 European countries show that the annual number of HIV diagnoses in MSM increased by 86% between 2000 and 2006 [2]. The results of some seroprevalence studies in gay community settings or healthcare services suggest levels of HIV prevalence between 10 and 20% among MSM, and available data suggest a possible hidden HIV epidemic in this population group [2,3].

In addition to the spread of HIV, an increase of high risk sexual behaviour among MSM is reported throughout Europe [1,2]. In this context, HIV testing has become a key surveillance activity for monitoring the HIV epidemic especially in hard-to-reach MSM. Since the introduction of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART), AIDS has become less indicative of the underlying trends in HIV infection. Another important factor linked to risk behaviour and risk of HIV transmission is the use of alcohol and other psychoactive drugs. According to the literature, alcohol and illicit drug consumption significantly increase the odds of having sex and have a significant positive association with the sexual risk.

Several studies, both in Europe and the United States (US), show a high percentage of MSM who use alcohol and drugs before and during sex and an association between these substances and sexual risk behaviour [4-6]. Additionally other studies suggest that even intermittent, recreational use of these drugs before or during sexual intercourse may lead to high-risk sexual behaviour (e.g. unprotected anal intercourse, UAI), especially with casual partners [7,8]. Recent studies of the sexual risk behaviour of MSM have also described a range of changes in sexual risk-taking behaviour in MSM in recent years, with an increased number of partners in some countries. The number of partners proved to be one of the strongest predictors of unsafe sex; according to the literature, the probability of having had unsafe sex ranged from 17% in men with one partner to 58% in men with more than 20 partners [9-11].

Despite these findings, few studies targeted MSM using outreach methods collecting behavioural and biological data in line with
Second Generation Surveillance System (SGSS) criteria [12,13] and United Nations General Assembly Special Session (UNGASS) indicators [3,14]. The Second Generation Surveillance System combines monitoring of newly diagnosed HIV cases and indicators of sexual behaviour among persons in groups at highest risk for infection.

Previous community-based surveys targeting MSM in Scotland, which included both questionnaires and anonymous oral fluid testing for HIV, found high levels of HIV prevalence and risk behaviour and low uptake of HIV testing [15]. The advantage of oral fluid collection for testing of infection is evident as it is a minimally invasive method for serological monitoring which is easy and safe. It has proven to be acceptable for various target audiences and it does not require trained staff [16,17]. Therefore, the use of oral fluid as a means for biological testing is of crucial importance in order to gather valid and reliable information about the spread of HIV among hard to reach populations such as MSM.

Taking these factors into account, the 2008-2009 study was designed to gather reliable information on HIV prevalence among MSM in Southern and Eastern Europe.

Methods

Study design

The study was a descriptive multi-centre biological and behavioural cross-sectional survey and was carried out in seven cities of Southern and Eastern European countries: Athens, Greece; Barcelona, Spain; Bratislava, Slovakia; Bucharest, Romania; Ljubljana, Slovenia; Prague, Czech Republic; Verona, Italy. In this report Bratislava, Bucharest, Ljubljana and Prague were defined as Eastern European cities. The survey was designed to obtain an estimate of the prevalence of HIV in the study population, MSM attending gay venues.

Ethics Committee approval was obtained in each participating country and an informed consent form was collected for each respondent. The questionnaires and the oral fluid samples were collected anonymously. In order to make the test result available to interested individuals, a barcode was used to link the respondents to the test result via a card with the same barcode given to the respondents when oral fluid was collected. To comply with all ethical and legal aspects and minimise the risks of diagnostic mistakes, respondents interested in getting their test results were informed that the test result was not meant to be diagnostic and for this reason they should be tested again in line with international/
Percentage of men who have sex with men (MSM) who self-identified themselves as gay/homosexual, bisexual and heterosexual; HIV bio-behavioural survey among men who have sex with men in Barcelona, Bratislava, Bucharest, Ljubljana, Prague and Verona, 2008-2009

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>UNGASS 8 HIV testing n=2,356</th>
<th>95%CI*</th>
<th>UNGASS 18 Condom use n=1,925</th>
<th>95%CI</th>
<th>UNGASS 23 HIV prevalence n=2,243</th>
<th>95%CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barcelona</td>
<td>56.2 ±4.9</td>
<td>51.2 ±5.1</td>
<td>17.0 ±3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bratislava</td>
<td>32.1 ±4.9</td>
<td>30.8 ±5.3</td>
<td>6.1 ±1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bucharest</td>
<td>43.2 ±4.9</td>
<td>42.7 ±5.3</td>
<td>4.6 ±1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ljubljana</td>
<td>38.2 ±4.8</td>
<td>43.0 ±5.6</td>
<td>5.1 ±2.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prague</td>
<td>41.5 ±4.8</td>
<td>29.8 ±5.2</td>
<td>2.6 ±1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verona</td>
<td>53.0 ±4.9</td>
<td>45.6 ±5.2</td>
<td>11.8 ±3.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Confidence Interval

Table 2

Percentage of respondents who consistently used a condom in the last six months with steady and casual partners, separately for anal and oral sex; HIV bio-behavioural survey among men who have sex with men in Barcelona, Bratislava, Bucharest, Ljubljana, Prague and Verona, 2008-2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Condom use during anal sex with steady partner</th>
<th>Condom use during anal sex with casual partner</th>
<th>Condom use during oral sex with steady partner</th>
<th>Condom use during oral sex with casual partner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total N=1,902</td>
<td></td>
<td>Total N=1,517</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barcelona</td>
<td>43.0 ±4.0</td>
<td>85.4 ±5.5</td>
<td>9.3 ±4.0</td>
<td>13.1 ±3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bratislava</td>
<td>19.9 ±5.2</td>
<td>41.7 ±6.9</td>
<td>1.2 ±1.4</td>
<td>6.2 ±3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bucharest</td>
<td>43.1 ±6.0</td>
<td>51.8 ±6.2</td>
<td>8.6 ±3.3</td>
<td>15.1 ±4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ljubljana</td>
<td>36.6 ±6.1</td>
<td>58.8 ±7.2</td>
<td>5.5 ±2.7</td>
<td>10.4 ±4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prague</td>
<td>25.6 ±5.4</td>
<td>36.3 ±6.8</td>
<td>5.8 ±2.8</td>
<td>9.6 ±3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verona</td>
<td>40.2 ±6.4</td>
<td>56.1 ±5.9</td>
<td>5.8 ±3.0</td>
<td>8.1 ±3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Confidence Interval
the basis of previous prevalence estimation studies when available [22]. A total of 2,800 persons (400 per city) were included in the planned survey.

**Data collection**

**Questionnaire**

A self-administered pen-and-paper questionnaire was used to obtain information on the social/cultural/environmental context of respondents, access and barriers to voluntary counseling and testing (VCT), behavioural data on sex practices, risk-reducing strategies, condom use, STI history, self-reported/perceived serostatus and type of partner. A steady partner was defined in the questionnaire as “a person who you are committed to and have sex with, not meaning that, you are exclusively monogamous”; casual partner as “person you have sex with, occasionally without a steady partnership”. In addition, UNGASS indicators were taken into account when designing the questionnaire [3,14]. The preliminary version of the questionnaire was piloted among MSM attending gay venues to check on the time needed to complete it and to ensure the questions were not ambiguous or confusing. The English version of the questionnaire was translated into the languages of the participating countries and then translated back into English.

A questionnaire manual and a training module were developed in order to guarantee uniform data collection. Specific training of data collectors was held in each country in a one day session by a data collection coordinator. The same coordinator was in charge of monitoring the local data collection and coaching the data collectors during the task. An ongoing evaluation process was organised through regular meetings with data collectors.

**Oral Fluid sampling and testing**

To collect oral fluids, Oracol oral fluid collection kits (Malvern Medical Developments, Worcester, UK) were used. The main advantages for replacing serum with oral fluid were easy access and non-invasive collection. After collection, oral fluid samples were kept refrigerated and sent to the national reference laboratory for HIV/AIDS in the respective countries no more than 72 hours after collection.

**Laboratory testing**

The oral fluid samples were sent for the analysis by each national reference laboratory to the Teaching Hospital-University of Verona, Immunology Unit, Verona, Italy. EIA testing GENSCREEN HIV 1/2 version 2, BIO-RAD on oral fluid sample was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions [23]. All positive samples were confirmed with a Western Blot test. As quality control, for each oral fluid sample, a total IgG antibodies ELISA test was performed in order to assess the sample suitability for testing. Samples below 3.5 titre (cut-off) were excluded from the study as invalid. A validation study of Bio-Rad OF testing comparing serological testing involving 37 HIV positive patients and 35 controls per country was carried out according to commission decision of 7 May 2002 on common technical specifications for in vitro medical devices. Validation, with 504 paired oral fluid and serum samples, yielded a 99% sensitivity and 99% specificity, which gives PPV of 94.6% and NPV of 99.8% for a prevalence of 15%. For a prevalence of 5% these figures are 83.9% and 99.9% respectively.

**Enrolment**

According to the data collection calendar, trained field workers from gay associations distributed anonymous self-complete questionnaires and Oracol oral fluid collection kits. Both self-complete questionnaire (behavioural data) and oral fluid samples (biological data) were collected for each subject. A barcode was used to link behavioural and biological information. The enrolment period varied between cities. The data collection calendar varied from two months in Barcelona and Verona to nine months Bratislava and Bucharest.

### Table 3

Number of steady and casual partners in the last six months; HIV bio-behavioural survey among men who have sex with men in Barcelona, Bratislava, Bucharest, Ljubljana, Prague and Verona, 2008-2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Barcelona</th>
<th>Bratislava</th>
<th>Bucharest</th>
<th>Ljubljana</th>
<th>Prague</th>
<th>Verona</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Steady partner</strong></td>
<td>n=161</td>
<td>n=238</td>
<td>n=256</td>
<td>n=258</td>
<td>n=242</td>
<td>n=221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>2,0</td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td>2,7</td>
<td>2,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>4,5</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>3,6</td>
<td>3,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P75</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Casual partner</strong></td>
<td>n=269</td>
<td>n=232</td>
<td>n=249</td>
<td>n=219</td>
<td>n=205</td>
<td>n=293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>16,3</td>
<td>6,1</td>
<td>7,1</td>
<td>5,7</td>
<td>7,5</td>
<td>12,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>20,5</td>
<td>8,6</td>
<td>9,4</td>
<td>8,9</td>
<td>10,5</td>
<td>16,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P25</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P75</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQR</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*SD: standard deviation
*P: percentile
*IQR: inter-quartile range*
Statistical Analysis
As the focus of the study was descriptive, mean, median, standard deviation, quartiles and inter-quartiles were used and proportions with 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated for all variables and indicators. STATA 11 survey commands suite was used.

Results
A total of 2,362 questionnaires and 2,365 oral fluid samples were collected in six of the seven cities. The total number of valid questionnaires was 2,356 (99.7%) whilst for the valid oral fluid samples it was 2,241 (94.8%). The proportion of valid oral fluid samples over valid questionnaires by city was respectively: Barcelona 97% (388/400), Bratislava 98% (342/349), Bucharest 86.7% (345/398), Ljubljana 97.7% (389/398), Prague 95.1% (387/407), Verona 96.5% (390/404). Athens, Greece was not included in the analysis as data was not available at the time of this paper.

The time of questionnaire completion ranged from 10 to 20 minutes. The time length was related to age and the type of venues.

Study population
The median age and 1st and 3rd quartile by city are presented in Figure 1. Respondents in Barcelona and Verona had a similar age distribution and were older, (38 and 35 years respectively) than those in the Eastern European cities; in Bucharest the median age of respondents was 25 years, followed by Prague and Bratislava (28 years). In Ljubljana the median age was 29.5 years.

As regards education level, MSM in Barcelona had the highest proportion of university degrees (53.6%) and MSM in Prague the lowest (27.4%).

In most of the cities the largest group of respondents lived alone (living conditions): 41.8% in Prague, 40.8% in Verona, 37.4% in Barcelona and 36.9% in Ljubljana. Exceptions were Bucharest and Bratislava, where respondents lived mostly with their parents (34.1% and 30.2% respectively). In Verona, a high proportion of MSM lived with their parents (30.1%), although a larger number lived alone. Barcelona had the highest proportion of respondents living with friends (22.9%), while the highest proportion living with male partners were in Prague (27.5%) and Bratislava (27.6%) followed by Ljubljana (23.4%). The percentage of respondents living with a heterosexual family (female partner and/or offspring) was generally lower than 8%, ranging from 4.9% in Bratislava to 7.7% in Verona.

In almost all cities the majority of respondents lived in areas with more than 100,000 inhabitants, ranging from 61.4% in Bratislava to 82.9% in Bucharest, with the only exception of Verona, where the majority of respondents lived in a village with less than 10,000 inhabitants (32.7%) or in a small town with 10,000 to 100,000 inhabitants (25.3%).

The data for self-identified sexual orientation are presented in Figure 2. More than 80% of the respondents self-identified themselves as homosexual in Barcelona, Bratislava, Ljubljana and Verona. The highest proportion of bisexuals and heterosexuals was found in Bucharest (27.6% and 3.9% respectively) and in Prague (22.6% and 3% respectively), while the lowest was in Verona (8.7% and 1% respectively). In the remaining cities the percentage of bisexuals was similar, ranging from 12.7% in Ljubljana to 14.4% in Bratislava.

HIV prevalence and testing
Table 1 presents the prevalence of HIV infection among MSM based on the oral fluid tests. The cities with the highest HIV prevalence were Barcelona (17.0%) and Verona (11.8%); lower percentages were reported in Bratislava (6.1%), Bucharest (4.6%) and Ljubljana (5.1%). Prague had the lowest HIV prevalence (2.6%).

In order to monitor HIV testing uptake, UNGASS indicator number eight was used. This indicator comprises the percentage of MSM tested for HIV over the last 12 months who also collected the result. Table 1 presents the UNGASS 8 estimate by city.

Southern European cities had the highest percentage of tested people who received their HIV test result (56.2% in Barcelona and 53% in Verona), while the Eastern European cities had the lowest percentages, ranging from 32.1% in Bratislava to 43.2% in Bucharest. Among the respondents who had taken an HIV test over the last 12 months, the percentage of subjects who decided to collect the test result was over 90% in Verona and Barcelona (93.9% and 92.6% respectively) while in Prague it was 85.9%, in Bratislava 83.6% and 78.3% in Ljubljana. The lowest percentage was in Bucharest (74.9%).

Condom use
In order to estimate the risk reduction strategies of MSM during the most at-risk sexual behaviour, namely anal sex, UNGASS indicator number 19 was used. This indicator describes the percentage of men reporting the use of a condom during their last anal sex episode with a male partner in the previous six months. Table 1 presents the UNGASS 19 estimate by city. More than 50% of respondents in the sample from Barcelona reported using a condom the last time they had anal sex (57.2%), while in all other cities this percentage was below 50%. In three cities percentages were above 40% (Verona: 45.6%, Ljubljana: 43%, Bucharest: 74.9%).

Figure 3
Percentage of respondents reporting use of alcohol, poppers, ecstasy, Viagra, cannabis, cocaine and amphetamine before or during sex over the last six months; HIV bio-behavioural survey among men who have sex with men in Barcelona, Bratislava, Bucharest, Ljubljana, Prague and Verona, 2008-2009
42.7%), while percentages were lowest in Prague (29.8%) and Bratislava (30.8%).

Consistent condom use

Respondents were asked to indicate the frequency of protected anal and oral sex both with a steady and a casual partner over the last six months. Consistent condom use is defined as the use of a condom (often or always) in the last six months during sexual intercourse, both receptive and insertive. Sexual behaviour was analysed separately for anal sex and oral sex. Table 2 shows the percentage of consistent condom use by type of partner and city. As far as anal sex is concerned, condom use with a steady partner was declared by 43.1% of respondents in Bucharest and 43% in Barcelona, followed by Verona (40.2%) and Ljubljana (36.6%), while the lowest condom use was reported in Prague (25.6%) and Bratislava (19.9%). Condom use with a casual partner for anal sex is in general more likely to be reported than with a steady partner. The highest level of consistent condom use with a casual partner was reported by MSM in Barcelona (65.4%), whilst the lowest was in Prague (36.3%). In Ljubljana consistent condom use was reported by 58.8% of respondents who had anal sex with a casual partner, followed by MSM in Verona (56.1%), in Bucharest (51.8%) and in Bratislava (41.7%).

As far as consistent condom use in oral sex over the last six months is concerned, the level was dramatically lower compared with anal sex. In Barcelona, 9.3% of respondents reported condom use with a steady partner followed by Bucharest (8.6%); a virtually identical level was reported in Prague (5.8%), Verona (5.8%) and Ljubljana (5.5%). The lowest level of condom use in oral sex with a steady partner was reported in Bratislava (1.2%). For casual partners, consistent condom use in oral sex was reported by 15.1% of respondents in Bucharest, 13.1% in Barcelona and 10.4% in Ljubljana, whilst the lowest proportion was found in Verona (9.1%) and Bratislava (6.2%).

Number of partners

The highest average number of steady partners over the last six months reported by respondents was in Bucharest (3.3) and the lowest in Barcelona (1.6), although the medians show a more similar distribution between the cities, with two partners in Bucharest and one partner elsewhere (Table 2). For casual partners, the highest mean and median were reported in Barcelona (mean 16.3, median 10), followed by Verona (mean 12, median 6) and Eastern European cities (mean ranging from 5.7 to 7.5 and median from 3 to 4).

Psychoactive and recreational drug use over the last six months

Substance use before or during sex over the last six months is demonstrated in Figure 4. As expected, alcohol proved the substance with the highest rate of consumption in each city. The highest percentages were reported in Prague and Bratislava (85% and 83.7% respectively), whilst the lowest level was in Verona (54.2%). Poppers are one of the most popular substances in the gay scene and some authors refer to it as a gay drug [41]. The highest rate of poppers use was found in Ljubljana (49.8%) whilst lower use was reported in Prague, Bucharest and Barcelona (38.1%, 34.2% and 37.6% respectively). The lowest percentages were reported in Verona (21.6%) and Bucharest (21%). For ecstasy, the percentages of consumption were 11.4% in Prague, 11.3% in Ljubljana and 10.9% in Barcelona. Sixteen per cent MSM in Barcelona reported use of viagra, 12.7% in Prague. Lower levels of consumption were reported in Bucharest (4.7%), Verona (8.6%) and Bratislava (9.1%). High levels of Cannabis use were reported in Prague, Barcelona and Ljubljana (23.8%, 23.2% and 19.1% respectively), while lower rates were reported in Verona (13.4%), Bratislava (12.5%) and Bucharest (11.5%). A high consumption of Cocaine was found in Barcelona (24.5%). Similar levels of consumption were found in the MSM samples in Prague (5.1%), Bratislava (5.2%), Bucharest (5.8%) and Verona (8.3%). The rate of amphetamine use ranged between 1.9% in Verona and 10.4% in Ljubljana.

Discussion and conclusion

Valid and comparable data on HIV prevalence related to HIV risk behaviour in a hard to reach population are lacking. However, such information is important for development of effective prevention strategies. In order to respond to this limitation, the SIALON project, used three key elements of behavioural and prevalence studies among MSM for improving data comparability: time and location sampling (TLS) method, oral fluid testing in outreach settings and UNGASS indicators.

The use of TLS as a sampling method proved to be feasible and efficient in cities with highly developed gay scenes as well as in cities with less developed scenes. As previous studies among MSM have shown, TLS increases the possibility of involving a variety of participants, producing more valid results [15]. A generalisation of the estimates obtained with this method to the wider population of MSM attending sampled venues is also possible. TLS can be adopted on a larger scale and the method is easily applicable in cities with a considerable number of eligible gay venues. It is more difficult to implement in cities where the gay community is poorly organised and where there are few specific and easily accessible venues. Few venues means that the venues available are over-visited by data collectors, thus reducing the acceptance of the data collection process both to owners of venues and attendees. This aspect may impact the representativeness of the MSM sample of the whole MSM population and therefore reduce the efficiency of TLS. In addition, the TLS method does not take into account other ways of recruiting, such as the internet, gay magazines, chat room etc. However, as one of the main focuses of our study was to estimate HIV prevalence through the collection of biological samples, these alternative sources of recruitment were excluded.

For surveillance and epidemiological purposes, oral fluid testing has clear advantages over venopuncture in community settings and is an alternative screening tool in outreach settings among high-risk populations. Oral fluid testing simplifies the diagnostic process in specific populations in which drawing blood is difficult and dangerous. As previously demonstrated in other studies, the number of oral fluid collections in all sites confirmed the general acceptability of the study by MSM in outreach settings [16,24].

In our study, an info-pack containing a condom, a lubricant and information about STD prevention and screening centres available in the area was given to respondents in order to facilitate the enrolment and to promote safer sex and testing practice, during the data collection.

The introduction of UNGASS indicators is a key measure for the basic monitoring of HIV across countries with comparable indicators. According to UNAIDS, data from multiple countries collected following UNGASS procedures can supply critical information and comparative insights at the regional and the global level. Data can provide a snapshot as well as trend analysis of the epidemiology of HIV over time [3,14]. As far as our study results are concerned, the data shows a variety of socio-demographic patterns among the cities in relation to age, education, living conditions,
living area and self-identity. At this stage, the results presented in this paper are mainly descriptive and this is of course a limitation of the study. A multilevel, multivariate analysis will be carried out in the future to better understand the relationship between HIV prevalence and other factors.

HIV testing (UNGASS 8) is an important indicator of the healthcare system’s ability to reach MSM and to efficiently provide access to screening. Verona and Barcelona had the highest percentages of tested people who collected the HIV test result. This finding reflects not only the lower access to HIV testing in Eastern European cities, but also how VCT is organised and might be an indicator of health practitioners’ attitudes on health seeking behaviour. The high percentage of MSM seeking the test result in Verona and Barcelona seems to indicate better VCT practice. Differences in stigma, health service organisation and country specific barriers to accessing VCT could explain the gap between Southern and Eastern European cities. Data on condom use (UNGASS 19) seem to suggest that protected sex is more frequently performed in Southern European cities, particularly in Barcelona. Interestingly, HIV prevalence was highest in Barcelona and Verona, where condom use was also highest. This may reflect a different distribution of HIV prevention programmes. In more detail, data about the type of sexual partner, sexual intercourse and condom use confirm the findings of other studies. It is evident that the differentiation between steady and casual partners leads to different distribution of sexual practice. According to the literature, in some countries the number of sexual partners seems to have increased in recent years [25,26]. This may be a good proxy variable for unsafe sex [9]. As expected, throughout our sample, the number of casual partners was higher than the number of steady partners, although in some countries this difference was far more marked than in others. When considering different sexual behaviour (anual and oral sex) related to different types of sexual partners in the last six months, similar patterns occur. As already well-established by other studies, the rates of condom use differ in relation to the kind of partners and sexual practices: protected sex with casual partners is more frequent than with a steady partner, and protected anal sex is more frequent than protected oral sex [27].

As far as substance use is concerned, alcohol consumption is broadly reported in all cities, with the highest levels in Prague and Bratislava. These findings may be related to the younger age of respondents but also to some contextual variables in Eastern European cities. According to the international literature, poppers seems to be the main substance used in the MSM population [4]. Our findings confirm the high levels of poppers consumption, especially in Ljubljana, Prague and Barcelona. As far as the use of other illicit drugs is concerned, cannabis is widely used, but there are large differences between cities. With regard to cocaine consumption, in Barcelona, 24.5% of respondents reported they had used it sometimes or often during the last six months, before or during sex. Even though an overestimation of substance use could not be excluded, it seems that drug use was frequent in our sample. Taking into account the fact that even an intermittent recreational use of drugs before or during sexual intercourse may lead to high-risk sexual behaviour, the data seem to be relevant and suggest a need for prevention programmes targeting MSM, with particular attention to alcohol, poppers and drug use.

Despite possible biases in this prevalence study in some countries, the data emerging from the survey show varying levels of HIV infection among the recruited MSM. The highest prevalence was in Barcelona and Verona, while the prevalence in Eastern European countries was lower. Previous studies carried out in some of the cities participating in this study, came to different prevalence estimates. In Barcelona HIV prevalence found in a last previous study carried out in MSM venues (using a convenience sample) was slightly higher than the prevalence found in this study [28]. The lower figures found in Ljubljana and Bratislava in previous studies, may be partly related to a different sampling method and lower number of samples collected [29,30].

The low prevalence found in the four Eastern European cities is encouraging. However, with the level of unprotected anal sex in some of these cities, even with casual partners, and a generally low frequency of HIV test- seeking behaviour, the potential for further HIV transmission in Eastern European cities is evident.
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