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In Denmark recurrent epidemics of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* infections have been described since the 1950s at intervals of approximately four to six years. The latest epidemic occurred in 2004/05 followed by two years of high incidence and more than three years of low incidence. Due to a recent increase in diagnosed cases since late summer 2010, we conducted a survey of positive *M. pneumoniae* PCR tests performed by clinical microbiology departments in Denmark, which indicated that a new epidemic may be underway.

Introduction

*Mycoplasma pneumoniae* is a common cause of upper and especially lower respiratory tract infections such as bronchitis and pneumonia. In addition, *M. pneumoniae* causes neurological symptoms and sequelae in a high proportion of cases [1,2]. The highest prevalence is seen in children and younger adults. Cases occur throughout the year, but the incidence is highest during autumn and winter. In Denmark, regular epidemics have been described since 1949/50. With the exception of a nine-year endemic period from 1978 to 1987 [3], these epidemics usually begin during summer, culminate in late autumn/early winter and fade out during winter. In some instances the epidemics span two winters: this was seen in 1962 to 1964 and 1971 to 1973 [3]. The latest epidemic in 2004/05 [4,5] was followed by two years of high incidence, but since 2007 the incidence has been very low judging by the low rate of on average approximately 3% positive samples seen in this period (Figure 1).

From 1946 until the late 1990s the central national laboratory at Statens Serum Institut (SSI) received samples from the whole country for the diagnosis of *M. pneumoniae* infections [3]. In the last decades the local clinical microbiology departments have taken over a large part of the laboratory tests for *M. pneumoniae*. The diagnosis had previously been based on serology but since the beginning of the 1990s PCR has been introduced as a routine diagnostic test at SSI for rapid and early diagnosis of *M. pneumoniae* infection [6], and in more recent years, most of the local departments have also adopted PCR. The countrywide use of PCR for diagnosis and surveillance of *M. pneumoniae* infections is probably unique for Denmark.

Although SSI is now predominantly receiving samples from the eastern part of the country only, the institute is the one laboratory in Denmark performing most tests for *M. pneumoniae* overall, and thus results obtained at SSI may be seen as indicative of the *M. pneumoniae* activity in Denmark as a whole. Each week the rate of positive samples is calculated, and a rise from approximately 5% to 15% or more positive samples within approximately six weeks are considered as indicative of an *M. pneumoniae* epidemic [4].

At SSI we saw an increase in the number of positive samples above the threshold in the beginning of October 2010. This prompted us to investigate whether this was the beginning of an epidemic of *M. pneumoniae* infections in Denmark in the autumn of 2010.

Methods

Because PCR is found superior to serology for the diagnosis of *M. pneumoniae* infection during the early phases of infection [7], we included in our investigation only those records that were diagnosed by a PCR-based method. The departments use a range of different PCR
assays, of which some are published [6,8,9] or commercial kits, but most are unpublished but validated in-house assays.

A survey was conducted collecting data from all clinical microbiology departments in Denmark performing PCR testing for *M. pneumoniae* for general practitioners and hospitals. In addition to SSI, there are 12 such departments in the country that perform this analysis and we received data from 11 of them. They represented all five regions in Denmark (data from three of four departments), Region Zealand (data from the sole department), Region of Southern Denmark (data from three of three departments), Central Denmark Region (data from two of two departments) and North Denmark Region (data from the sole department).

From the local departments we obtained data on the total number of PCR analyses performed and the number of analyses positive for *M. pneumoniae* for week 1 in 2009 to week 41 in 2010. Only data for weeks 34 to 41 in 2009 and 2010 are compared in the analysis presented here. From SSI we obtained data from week 1 in 2004 to week 41 in 2010 (October 16). We present the number of positive tests and the weekly proportion of positive tests among all tests performed. Since the catchment areas of the departments are not well defined, i.e. the general practitioner can send the specimen to any department, it was not possible to calculate the regional incidences. However, the total population of Denmark is 5.5 million and we used this to calculate an estimated incidence of PCR-diagnosed *M. pneumoniae*.

**Results**

Figure 1 shows the *M. pneumoniae* tests performed at SSI from week 1 in 2004 to week 41 in 2010. From 2007 to 2010 the average positivity rate of *M. pneumoniae* infection in Denmark remained very low, at approximately 3% positive samples (Figure 1). Apart from a short peak in the number of positive tests observed in week 50 in 2008, the first increase in the positivity rate since 2007 was observed in late August 2010 (weeks 33–35) when it rose to approximately 10%. The rate increased further in the following weeks and reached approximately 15% in late September/early October (weeks 39–40) despite a three- to fourfold increase in the number of samples received for PCR in this period (Table). This increase in the rate of positive *M. pneumoniae* tests occurred in all regions, but was seen a little later in the regions than at SSI (Table). The estimated national incidence of PCR-diagnosed *M. pneumoniae* infections in 2010 rose from 0.4 per 100.000 in week 34 to 3 per 100.000 in week 41.

**Discussion and conclusion**

Recurrent epidemics of *M. pneumoniae* infection are also well known in other countries [10,11] and a few reports indicate simultaneous epidemics in more than one country [12,13]. *M. pneumoniae* epidemics have a high impact on the community, and a laboratory-based system for the surveillance of this disease is recommendable. According to our knowledge Denmark is the only country with a PCR-based surveillance system for *M. pneumoniae*. A rapid increase in macrolide-resistant *M. pneumoniae* has been reported from Asia in the recent years, but macrolide resistance is also seen in Europe and in the United States [14]. In Denmark SSI did a survey after the epidemic in 2004 and found 1-2% of macrolide resistance. This is in accordance with a recent German study [15] indicating a limited but not negligible level of resistance in Europe. If an epidemic is recognised it is possible to guide the hospitals and general practitioners in the diagnosis and antibiotic treatment of the disease. Only a focused use of
macrolide antibiotics in diagnosed cases can diminish the risk of spreading resistant bacteria.

In conclusion, we have seen an increase in the number of positive tests and also in the positivity rate of submitted samples since late summer 2010, indicating increased transmission of *M. pneumoniae*. The findings suggest that Denmark may be in the early phase of an epidemic. Other European countries, if data are available, should assess if they are in a similar situation.
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**Figure 2**

The five administrative regions of Denmark and population numbers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region and year</th>
<th>Number of positive test and number of all tests performed (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Week 34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SSI</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1 of 55 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>7 of 68 (10.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capital</strong></td>
<td>0 of 30 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>6 of 53 (11.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Zealand</strong></td>
<td>0 of 5 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2 of 10 (20.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Southern Denmark</strong></td>
<td>2 of 45 (4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2 of 41 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Central Denmark</strong></td>
<td>0 of 8 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>4 of 25 (16.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>North Denmark</strong></td>
<td>0 of 6 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>0 of 17 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>3 of 149 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>21 of 214 (9.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statens Serum Institut (SSI) receives samples not only from the capital region but also from the rest of the country and is therefore presented separately.*
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