Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever (CCHF) is a viral zoonotic disease with a high mortality rate in humans. The CCHF virus is transmitted to humans through the bite of Ixodid ticks or contact with blood or tissues of CCHF patients or infected livestock. In December 2008, a re-emerging outbreak of CCHF occurred in the southern part of Iran. Five people were hospitalised with sudden fever and haemorrhaging, and CCHF was confirmed by RT-PCR and serological assays. One of the cases had a fulminant course and died. Livestock was identified as the source of infection; all animals in the incriminated herd were serologically analysed and more than half of them were positive for CCHFV. We demonstrated that two routes of transmission played a role in this outbreak: contact with tissue and blood of infected livestock, and nosocomial transmission. Phylogenetic analyses helped to identify the origin of this transmission. This outbreak should be considered as a warning for the national CCHF surveillance system to avoid further outbreaks through robust prevention and control programmes.

Introduction

Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever (CCHF) is a viral zoonotic haemorrhagic fever with up to 13-50% mortality rate in humans. Infected animals are unsymptomatic. The disease is caused by Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever virus (CCHFV) that belongs to the family Bunyaviridae, genus Nairovirus. The of negative single-stranded RNA genome consists of three segments, large (L), medium (M) and small (S), coding for the viral polymerase (L), the envelope glycoproteins (M) and the viral nucleoprotein (S) [1-5]. The typical course of CCHF progresses through four distinct phases: incubation, pre-haemorrhagic phase, haemorrhagic phase and convalescence [6-8]. After a incubation period of one to three days, the patient has sudden onset of fever, myalgia, nausea and severe headache. Within three to six days of the onset of illness, a petechial rash and haemorrhagic symptoms such as epistaxis, haematemesis, and melaena may occur. The most severely ill patients develop multiorgan failure characterised by shock, haemorrhaging and coma [9-11]. The virus is transmitted to humans through the bite of Ixodid ticks or by contact with blood or tissues from infected livestock [12-14]. In addition to zoonotic transmission, CCHFV can be spread from person to person and is one of the rare haemorrhagic fever viruses able to cause nosocomial outbreaks in hospitals [15-20].

In the period from 1 January 2000 to 12 September 2010, 738 confirmed cases of CCHF and 108 associated fatalities were notified in Iran [15,21]. The province reporting most infections was Sistan-va-Baluchistan, Isfahan and Fars (Figure 1).

Figure 1

Geographical distribution of Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever in Iran, 1 January 2000-12 September 2010 (n=738)
Outbreak description

Here, we report a CCHF outbreak in Fars province, Iran, caused by contact of humans with blood or tissues of infected livestock, with additional nosocomial transmission. In total, five patients (A-E) were admitted to the regional hospital with similar presentations of a haemorrhagic condition in the period from 18 December to 21 December 2008. This period coincides with the Muslim ceremony Eid-al-Adha (the ceremony of sacrificing livestock) which is celebrated in Islamic countries on 9 December.

Patients A and D (who are part of the same family) bought a calf from a butchery run by two brothers, patients B and C, and hired them to sacrifice the animal. On the morning of 18 December 2008, Patient A, the index case of this outbreak, was admitted to hospital and died after a fulminant course of CCHF. In the evening of the same day, Patients B and C were admitted to the same hospital with fever and chill, severe headache, dizziness, photophobia. Patient D developed similar clinical signs on 21 December and was hospitalised. Nine days after the index case, the nurse caring of these four patients was also hospitalised with haemorrhagic symptoms (Patient E).

Materials and methods

Case definition

The case definition for probable cases included patients admitted between 18 December and 27 December 2008 in the regional hospital and presenting with a clinical picture compatible with CCHF, or contact with tissues or blood from a possibly infected animal, or a healthcare worker with a history of contact with a CCHF case. Probable cases with positive IgM serology and/or positive RT-PCR were considered as CCHF confirmed cases.

Laboratory analysis

Human and animal sera were analysed by ELISA for anti-CCHFV IgM and IgG as described [15,22]. Viral RNA was extracted from patient’s serum using QIAamp RNA Mini kit (QIAGEN GmbH, Hilden, Germany) and analysed by gel-based and real-time RT-PCR with a one-step RT-PCR kit (QIAGEN GmbH, Hilden, Germany). A 536 bp fragment of the S segment of the CCHFV genome was amplified [4,8,12] and sequenced.

Phylogenetic analysis was performed with the neighbour-joining method based on Kimura two-parameter distances by using Mega 4 software. Bootstrap confidence limits were based on 500 replicates. Evolutionary divergence, distance matrix and subsequently sets of phylogenetic trees were calculated by the software [23].

Results and discussion

As summarised in the Table, five probable CCHF cases in this outbreak were confirmed by serological and molecular methods. It is worth mentioning that no immunological response was detected in the fatal case that had a fulminant course, Patient A, and CCHF.
in this case was only confirmed by a strongly positive RT-PCR. There is evidence of other fatal cases lacking an immune response to CCHFV [6,24]. Patients C and E were positive both for viral RNA and antibodies against CCHFV, while Patients B and D were negative in the PCR and only confirmed by serological assay. Notably, ribavirin was administered to the patients in hospital.

At the same time, serum samples were collected from 50 animals in the herd from which the calf had been bought. In 30 of these samples antibodies to CCHFV were detected. Although CCHF is an asymptomatic disease in livestock that does not kill the animals, seroepidemiological surveys of animal populations in endemic areas and high-risk regions could be useful in that they may complement the national surveillance system and serve as an early warning of CCHF in the area.

In this outbreak, it was demonstrated that the main transmission route of CCHF was through handling blood or tissues of infected livestock (for patients A, B, C, and D), while patient E had had no contact to livestock and was infected nosocomially. It is unclear why Patient A had such a fulminant course of disease and died. Patients B, C, and D were infected through the same route, by direct contact with tissue and blood of the same animal, but had a milder course of disease and recovered. It is important in infectious disease outbreaks to investigate what factors determine the severity of the disease in different individuals [6]. There are published reports on the influence of cytokine levels on the immune response to CCHFV in different patients [24,25]. It has been shown that patients infected with a higher dose of virus develop more severe disease symptoms and outcomes [26-28]. Although we did not use quantitative RT-PCR, the band density of the PCR product obtained from patient A was much higher than that of the other patients. On the other hand, it seems likely that patients B and C presented a mild form of the disease because they may already have had antibodies against CCHFV due to their professional exposure. Moreover, no anti-CCHFV IgG antibody response was detected for the patient B, whereas a normal serological and molecular pattern was seen in patient C, which

**Figure 2**
Phylogenetic comparison of Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever virus isolates from Iran with isolates from patients in the recent outbreak in Fars province, Iran, December 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Divergence</th>
<th>Percent Identity</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>*AY366373(partial)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>*AY366379(partial)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>*AY366378(partial)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>*AY366375(partial)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>*AY366374(partial)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>*AY366376(partial)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Patient A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Patient C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Patient E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. The phylogeny tree of nucleotide sequences spanning described regions of the S-segment of CCHFV virus genome which is detected in the outbreak. B. Nucleotide identity and divergence of CCHFV virus genomes isolated from patients of the outbreak.
might be interpreted to indicate that patient B was infected with a very low viral dose. Recent investigations have concluded a relationship linking the severity and outcome of CCHFV infections with the strength of the host immune response and the initial viral load [24,25,27].

Phylogenetic analysis of alignments of three partial genomic sequences (536 bp) of the CCHFV S segment indicates that the viruses isolated from patients A, C and E can be differentiated into two distinct branches, with a slightly lower identity between patients A and E. As illustrated in Figure 2, the sequences obtained in this outbreak are not clustered with other CCHFV sequences isolated in Iran (about 97.5% identity). It is possible that a new strain occurred in the outbreak region, and further phylogenetic analyses are required to identify the precise origin of this genetic variant. However, comparison of the isolates from our patients with isolates from other areas may give some indications as to the origin of this outbreak [12].

One of the factors that contributed to the control of this outbreak was the well-coordinated and efficient surveillance system for CCHF and other viral haemorrhagic fevers that is in place in Iran. The system is not only responsible for continuous monitoring of these diseases but also deals with outbreaks. Rapid and precise laboratory diagnosis of CCHF allowed controlling this outbreak. Nevertheless, a higher level of training and precautionary measures for healthcare workers (such as use of isolation chambers in hospital wards, mask and other medical shields during contact to CCHF patients) and other high risk professions could help to decrease the outbreak rate in the endemic areas. In conclusion, with Iran being an endemic country for CCHF in the Middle East and neighbouring Turkey an endemic country in Europe, efficient surveillance and control programmes on CCHF in Iran could prove beneficial also for the European region.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the other members of the National Reference Laboratory for Arboviruses and Viral Haemorrhagic Fevers at Pasteur Institute of Iran for their technical support. This study was performed funded by the budget of the National Reference Laboratory for Arboviruses and Viral Haemorrhagic Fevers at Pasteur Institute of Iran.

*Authors’ correction: At the request of the authors, the name of the author Seyed Mojtaba Ghiasi was corrected on 12 April 2010.

References