In the beginning of April 2008 three cases of Shigella sonnei infection were identified among the Orthodox Jewish community of Antwerp, Belgium. We conducted a descriptive study and a household cohort study to identify potential risk factors. Stool samples were cultured and antibiotic susceptibility of the isolates was determined. Between April and August 2008, 42 cases were registered. All characterised isolates (n=20) shared an identical pulsed-field gel electrophoresis profile and were indistinguishable from one of the twelve main strains detected in Israel in 2008, where the index case’s father had stayed before the outbreak. The secondary attack rate in households was 8.5% (95% confidence interval (CI): 4.3–12.7). Multivariate analysis identified the following risk factors for secondary spread: households with more than three children (adjusted relative risk (RR): 9.17; 95% CI: 1.21–69.13), children younger than five years (adjusted RR: 5.45; 95% CI: 2.44–12.62), and children younger than 12 years assisting in washing younger siblings (adjusted RR: 5.45; 95% CI: 2.44–12.17). Rigorous hand washing, use of disposable towels, information for parents and caregivers, and exclusion of symptomatic children from day care, preschool and school for a minimum of 48 hours were implemented.

Introduction

Infection with Shigella sonnei is a major cause of bacterial gastroenteritis and a leading cause of bacillary dysentery in Belgium [1,2]. Shigellosis is a highly communicable disease and requires a low dose for infection [1,2]. In industrialised countries person-to-person transmission accounts for most cases of S. sonnei infections, which occur commonly in children aged between six months and 10 years [2,3]. Shigellosis has been a statutorily notifiable disease for clinicians and microbiologists in Belgium since 1971 [4]. Between 2000 and 2009, the number of laboratory isolates of shigellae registered annually by the Belgian reference laboratory of salmonellae and shigellae varied from 316 to 500. S. sonnei has been the predominant agent causing 65% to 75% of all registered Shigella infections [4,5].

In the beginning of April 2008, a microbiologist of one of the town hospitals informed the Department of Communicable Disease Control of Antwerp that S. sonnei had been isolated from three children. The patients belonged to the Orthodox Jewish community of the town. The standardised post-notification interview with their general practitioners and parents showed that the patients had not been out of the country before onset of symptoms. The father of the first case had just returned from a stay in Israel where he felt sick during three days before his return.

Antwerp has an Orthodox Jewish, highly insular community of approximately 10,000 persons living in one quarter of town. The community is characterised by relative social isolation and frequent international contacts especially with New York, London, and Israel [6]. Although sporadic cases of shigellosis have been identified among members of the Orthodox Jewish community in Antwerp before, a well documented outbreak in Belgium has never been described [4].

The first aim of the study was to describe the extent of the outbreak and to identify risk factors for secondary transmission. In addition, we tried to compare the strains from identified cases to confirm that they were genetically indistinguishable and to compare them to the circulating strains in Israel. Using the information...
obtained from these objectives, we wanted to implement appropriate public health control and prevention measures in order to stop the propagation of the disease. An outbreak control team was established to oversee the coordination of this study.

**Methods**

**Case definition**

A confirmed case was defined as a person living in the town of Antwerp, who had a positive stool culture for *S. sonnei* in the period between 1 April and 31 August 2008. A probable case was defined as a person who had diarrhoea (three or more loose stools within 24 h), fever (≥38°C) and nausea, and who lived in a household where a confirmed case had been detected. An index case in a household or school was the first laboratory-confirmed shigellosis case in each household or school class. A secondary case was a confirmed or a probable case occurring within seven days after the detection of an index case in a household or in a classroom.

**Case finding**

Cases of shigellosis were reported by peripheral microbiological laboratories and clinicians in accordance to statutory notification of infectious diseases. Active case finding among members of affected households and school classes was performed by the local health authorities. General practitioners and paediatricians were asked to report cases to the outbreak control team.

**Data collection**

For each identified case, information on demographic, and clinical and microbiological characteristics was collected using a standardised questionnaire. The questionnaire also collected information on possible exposures including any recent travel, attending family gatherings, contacts with other cases, names of household contacts, and attendance at schools or day care centres. It was administered by telephone or by face-to-face interviews at home. Household contacts were followed prospectively for clinical symptoms during one week after contact with the index case. Social Service of the Antwerp Jewish community assisted in contacting people in order to avoid language and cultural barriers. Demographic data collected on household members were compared to data collected from the municipal registry office.

**Secondary attack rate study**

To identify specific risk factors for secondary transmission, a retrospective cohort study was conducted among the household contacts of the index cases. A household contact was defined as a person living in the same house as the household index patient. A secondary attack rate was calculated by identifying secondary cases in proportion to the number of household contacts after exclusion of the index case. Potential risk factors for transmission in the household were assessed as follows: the number of children in the household, the age of the children, the presence of children with nappies, the practice of hand washing after washing children, the number of toilets, whether children younger than 12 years (primary school children) were assisting their parents in washing siblings with gastrointestinal symptoms or assisting them at going to the toilet, whether the index case received antimicrobial treatment or whether the index case was admitted to hospital.

**Laboratory investigations**

Shigella strains isolated from patients in peripheral clinical laboratories were sent on a voluntary basis to the National Reference Centre for Salmonella and *Shigella* for serotyping by slide agglutination with commercial antisera (Denka Seiken Co). To evaluate antimicrobial susceptibility, *S. sonnei* specimens were tested by disk diffusion (Kirby-Bauer) following recommendations of the National Committee for Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), formerly the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS) [7]. Antibiotics tested (BioRad disks) were: ampicillin, amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, cefotaxime, chloramphenicol, tetracycline, naladixic acid, ciprofloxacin, streptomycin, kanamycin, gentamicin, sulfonamides, trimethoprim, and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole. Interpretation of inhibition zones was performed according to the CLSI criteria, and quality control was performed using the *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 reference strain [7].

*S. sonnei* strains were analysed by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) according to the PulseNet method and digested with the restriction endonuclease *Xba*I (New England Biolabs) [8]. *Salmonella enterica* serovar Braenderup H9812 was used as size marker. Fingerprinting II Informatix software (BioNumerics, BioRad) was used to compare the PFGE profiles. *Salmonella enterica* serovar Braenderup H9812 was used as size marker. Fingerprinting II Informatix software (BioNumerics, BioRad) was used to compare the PFGE profiles. In addition, we included as internal reference five unrelated *Shigella* strains from national collections that had been isolated from Belgian patients.

**Figure 1**

Onset of illness among *Shigella sonnei* cases, by week, Jewish community Antwerp, 17 April–31 August 2008 (n=42)
in 2008. The PFGE profiles of the outbreak strains in Antwerp were also compared to patterns, obtained with the same PulseNet method, of *S. sonnei* isolated from different orthodox Jewish community outbreaks in Israel between 2000 and 2008. The PFGE gel for them was provided by the Central Laboratories Ministry of Health of Israel. The bands had been analysed using the Dice coefficient and the unweighed-pair group method using average linkage with a tolerance of 1%.

**Statistics**

Univariate analysis was performed on data collected in the retrospective household cohort study and crude relative risk (RR) along with 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated to determine associations between potential risk factors and infection. Adjusted RRs were calculated using a binomial regression model. All statistical analyses were performed using Stata software version 11 (StataCorp).

**Results**

**Outbreak description**

Between 17 April and 31 August 2008, 42 cases of shigellosis were identified in Antwerp and all of them belonged to the Orthodox Jewish community, with the highest number of cases in week 24 (Figure 1). Thirty-two of them were confirmed cases and 10 were probable cases. Cases occurred in 19 Jewish families and in four confessional schools. Two additional reported cases of *S. sonnei* identified outside the Jewish community of Antwerp were excluded from the study because the disease started during a stay in Egypt. They were classified as travel-associated cases.

Of the 42 cases, 20 were male and 22 female. The arithmetic mean of age of cases was 4.4 years with a range from three months to 61 years. Four patients were younger than two years, 19 were between two and five years-old, seven were between six and 10 years-old, six cases were between 11 and 15 years-old, and six cases were older than 20. The affected families had an average of 4.6 children (range: 1–12). All patients had their residence in an enclosed area in the town centre.

Eighteen cases reported fever ≥38°C and bloody or mucopurulent diarrhoea and abdominal cramps, and 32 cases were hospitalised. The average duration of illness was eight days with a range from six to 11 days. The average stay at the hospital was 3.4 days.

Of the 42 cases, 15 cases met the criteria for a secondary case. The generation interval was 3.5 days (range from one to four). Three children developed illness within two to five days of detection of a case in a classmate.

**Laboratory data**

We received antibiotic susceptibility results from 28 of the 32 confirmed *S. sonnei* isolates. All of them were resistant to amoxicillin and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, but were susceptible to levofloxacin and
Secondary attack rate study
For the 29 affected households with confirmed cases, we identified 175 household contacts, of whom 15 developed shigellosis. A secondary attack rate of 8.5% (95% CI: 4.3–12.7) was calculated. Information on hand washing, the number of toilets in the home and the use of disposable towels was only provided by four of the 25 interviewed households. These questions were excluded in the analysis. The calculated crude and adjusted RRs for the other risk factors are shown in the Table. In the uni- and multivariate analysis, having more than three children in the family, having children younger than 12 years who assisted their parents washing siblings and helping them go to the toilet, and having children younger than five years, were significantly associated with a higher risk of secondary transmission. Having more than three children in the household was associated with the highest risk, with an adjusted RR of 9.17 (95% CI: 1.21–69.13). Hospitalisation and treatment with antibiotics of the index case in the household was associated with the highest risk, with an adjusted RR of 9.17 (95% CI: 1.21–69.13).

Control measures
To prevent further spread of the disease, parents of the affected families were advised of the importance of hand washing with running water and liquid soap after using the toilet or washing the children and also on the importance using disposable towels and cleaning the toilets with chlorine. The need to decontaminate toys was highlighted. In June 2008 educational presentations for parents, caregivers and teachers were organised. Information was also published in the local media. Physicians were informed via articles in the local medical infectious disease journal. Schools were informed on the hygiene of hand washing facilities. We insisted on excluding symptomatic children for a minimum of 48 hours after clinical recovery from day care centres, preschool and school attendance.

Discussion
We identified a cluster of 42 cases of shigellosis in the Orthodox Jewish community of Antwerp with 32 isolates laboratory-confirmed as *S. sonnei* with the same genetic profile. Temporal and spatial clustering in one area of town affecting one specific community supported the hypothesis of a single ongoing outbreak, maintained through person-to-person transmission. Statutory laboratory-based surveillance of shigellosis failed to identify concurrent cases outside this community. Two additional *S. sonnei* cases notified in the study period in the province of Antwerp in people who were not Jewish were most probably not linked to the outbreak. The disease started during a stay in Egypt and they were classified as travel-associated cases.

The index case was most probably infected by their father, who had suffered from gastrointestinal problems during a stay in Tel-Aviv, Israel until two days before symptom onset in the index case but did not seek medical care. No exceptional family gatherings could be identified except for synagogue attendance. The father also reported having been in contact with relatives coming from London.

To investigate a possible link between the outbreak in Antwerp and an ongoing outbreak in Israel [10], the circulating strains in both outbreaks were compared. Such a link was supported by the microbiological analysis in which the main strain circulating in Israel at the time and the outbreak strain in Antwerp were indistinguishable. The father of the index case also reported having been in contact with relatives coming from

### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Univariate analysis</th>
<th></th>
<th>Multi-variate analysis</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crude relative risk</td>
<td>95% confidence interval</td>
<td>Adjusted relative risk</td>
<td>95% confidence interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 or more children in household</td>
<td>8.47</td>
<td>1.14-62.98</td>
<td>9.17</td>
<td>1.21-69.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children with nappies</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>0.90-6.48</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.84-3.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children 5 years in household</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>1.39-25.80</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>1.17-23.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children 12 years assisting parents washing siblings</td>
<td>6.54</td>
<td>2.59-16.51</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>2.44-12.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index case in household hospitalised</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.38-2.75</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.61-3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index case in household treated with antibiotics</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>0.50-3.99</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>0.80-4.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Outbreaks of shigellosis with \textit{S. sonnei} and recurrent increases in the number of cases in Orthodox Jewish populations have already been notified in 2008 and before in different countries. Calderon-Margalit et al. showed that between 1998 and 2006, outbreaks of shigellosis followed a biennial pattern in Israel with annual rates that ranged from 18 to 353 cases per 100,000 population [12]. Also in 2009 outbreaks of \textit{S. sonnei} in Israel were still continuing [10]. Close contacts, day care attendance and having many young children in the families were considered risk factors. The characteristics of the outbreak in Antwerp are comparable with prolonged outbreaks of \textit{S. sonnei} reported by Sobel et al. in North America in traditionally observant Jewish communities between 1994 and 1996 [13], with outbreaks reported by Garret et al. in New York in 2005 [14] and with the outbreak in London in 2008 [11].

The secondary attack rate of 8.5% found in this study is comparable to those noticed in other studies [2,15]. In larger studies, secondary attack rate differed according to age and to the species of bacterium [2]. Due to the limited number of cases in our study, age-specific attack rates could not be calculated. Dupont et al. showed that for one to four-year-olds, the secondary attack rate can reach 40% [2]. The combination of high communicability due to the low infective dose, crowding, and frequent contacts are known explanations for the high secondary attack rate for shigellosis [2,14,15]. In our study we analysed specific risk factors which might explain the noted secondary attack rate. Having more than three children in the household and having children younger than five years of age was significantly associated with the occurrence of secondary cases, which is consistent with data from other authors [14]. Contrary to what we expected, having children with nappies in the household was not a significant independent risk factor in our study. This could be due to good hygienic habits of the adults when providing care for their babies. That the index case of the family was hospitalised was hypothesised to be a protective factor, but the adjusted RR was 0.88 (95% CI: 0.61–3.10). The low number of cases and the different intervals between onset of the disease and moment of hospitalisation of the cases might have interfered with the association. Being treated with antibiotics was not significantly associated with a lower risk of secondary transmission either. Different delays in the start of therapy, the broad spectrum of used antibiotics and the small number of cases might explain the calculated RR of 1.8 (95% CI: 0.80–4.34).

However, it was remarkable that children younger than 12 years, helping their parents take care of babies, was associated with a higher risk of secondary cases (adjusted RR: 5.45; 95% CI: 2.44–12.17). In families with a high number of children, older children were asked to help. There is a risk that these young children are less sensitive or less knowledgeable than their parents on the risk and the practice of hand hygiene.

Visiting friends and relatives in areas with higher risk of shigellosis might be the seeding event leading to shigellosis outbreaks in especially susceptible communities. This is the case for Jewish communities in Antwerp that are more susceptible due to the high number of children in the families, the many social contacts, living in a relatively small community, and the frequent contact with relatives who live in areas of higher endemic prevalence of shigellosis, like certain neighbourhoods in London or Israel [11,12].

The high hospital admission rate in our study (32 of 42 cases) suggests that we have probably detected only the most severe cases, whereas milder cases could also have been expected. Presenting bloody or mucopurulent diarrhoea was noted in 18 of the cases. This is unusual compared to the expected picture of a \textit{S. sonnei} infection which is normally associated with a milder disease [2,3,5]. We have therefore reason to consider under-diagnosis and under-reporting in this outbreak, which is also mentioned in similar outbreaks of shigellosis elsewhere [2].

Several limitations of the study especially for the secondary attack rate study should be noted. Firstly, the number of cases was limited. This raises concerns about the interpretation of the calculated relative risks. Secondly, we assumed that secondary cases acquired their infection at home. Alternatively they might have been infected during pre-school and school attendance or by visiting friends and relatives. Thirdly, personal questions like ‘did you wash your hands after toilet use?’ and ‘how many toilets do you use at home’ were often not answered, most probably due to their sensitive and private nature [16]. It is likely that not all possible risk factors could be explored in the study of this outbreak.

Early notification of shigellosis enabled prompt reaction, and implementation of the advice most probably put a stop to further propagation. We presume that especially the intensive hand washing campaign in families and schools, the educational presentations and specific information to physicians contributed to stopping the outbreak.

PFGE in studies of clusters has been shown to be a highly effective method of characterising \textit{S. sonnei} and an important tool for outbreak investigations [17]. Provided that the same protocol is used, it allows comparison of strains detected in different outbreaks. The genetic relatedness of the strains in this study provides strong evidence that this cluster was a single outbreak and associated with recurrent endemic shigellosis in Israel [10,12].
In conclusion, this is the first well-documented outbreak of \textit{S. sonnei} in the Orthodox Jewish community of Antwerp and Belgium for which a direct link to an ongoing outbreak of endemic shigellosis in Israel could be identified. The combination of case finding, source tracing, and comparing different strains with PFGE was essential for confirming the hypothesis of import of an outbreak strain from Israel into the local community, and implementation of hand washing was important to stop the propagation of the epidemic.
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