The decision to introduce human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccination into the national immunisation programme in Spain was made in October 2007, recommending vaccination of girls aged between 11 and 14 years with three doses of HPV vaccine. All 19 regions of the country (17 Autonomous Communities and two Autonomous Cities) introduced HPV vaccination gradually into their immunisation programmes between November 2007 and the last school term of 2008. Eight regions administered the vaccine in healthcare centres and 11 in schools. In the first year of the introduction of HPV vaccination, coverage of the first and third doses was assessed, to determine the proportion of girls who did not complete the vaccination. On the basis of the available data, the Ministry of Health estimated that coverage for the first dose was 87.2% (range: 73.9–98.9%; 95% CI: 71.8 to 100) and 77.3% (range: 62.2–97.4%; 95% CI: 57.9 to 96.7) for the third dose. Higher uptake was observed when the vaccination was carried out in schools compared with healthcare centres, but the difference was not statistically significant. Negative messages in the media during implementation of the HPV vaccination programme may have had some influence on the attitudes of adolescent girls and/or their parents towards HPV vaccination and may be partly responsible for the observed vaccination dropout rate.

Background

Human papillomavirus (HPV) causes one of the commonest sexually transmitted infections and is also the main cause of cervical cancer in the world. About 70% of cervical cancer cases are associated with chronic infection with HPV types 16 and 18 [1]. HPV types 6 and 11 cause a high percentage of low-risk cervical dysplasia and more than 90% of genital warts. HPV infection is associated with age and early sexual debut [2].

In Spain there is no national cancer registry, therefore no direct information about the number of cervical cancer cases per year is available for the country as a whole. The International Agency for Research on Cancer uses local incidence data and national mortality data to estimate incidence and mortality due to cervical cancer in Spain. For 2008, a total of 1,948 new cases (age-standardised incidence rate of 6.3 cases per 100,000 population) and 712 deaths (age-standardised mortality rate of 1.9 per 100,000 population) were estimated for Spain [3]. In 2008, both HPV-specific incidence and mortality were among the lowest in southern Europe [4].

The European Commission granted a marketing authorization for two HPV vaccines in the European Union: the tetravalent Gardasil in October 2006 (which contains HPV types 16, 18, 6 and 11) and the bivalent Cervarix in September 2007 (containing HPV types 16 and 18) [5,6]. A three-dose vaccination course is recommended, at 0, 2 and 6 months for Gardasil [7] and 0, 1 and 6 months for Cervarix [8].

The main goal of the vaccination is to prevent infection by the HPV types included in the vaccine and to decrease acute and chronic disease burdens caused by the infection, particularly precancerous lesions and cancer.

Decision-making process for the introduction of a new vaccine into the National Immunisation Programme in Spain

Spain has a national health system with universal access funded from general taxation [9]. It is largely decentralised and the country’s 19 regions (17 Autonomous Communities and two Autonomous Cities) are responsible for the management and delivery of vaccination programmes. The Interterritorial Council, coordinated by the Ministry of Health, is the decision-making body responsible for the coordination of all programmes within the national health system in order to ensure cohesion and equity. Resolutions are approved by consensus and materialise through recommendations.

The Commission on Public Health, also coordinated by the Ministry of Health, proposes public health programmes or makes recommendations on public health issues to the Interterritorial Council. The Vaccination Programmes and Registration Board (Vaccines Board) is the technical group of the Commission on Public Health that provides recommendations relating to
immunisation based on epidemiological, scientific and public health information. Approved recommendations are then incorporated into the National Immunisation Programme. Regions may also offer vaccines that are not in the national programme.

In February 2007 the Vaccines Board recommended the introduction of HPV vaccination. This recommendation was approved by the Commission on Public Health in September and by the Interterritorial Council in October that year [10]. The Council decided to include HPV vaccination within the framework of the country’s cervical cancer prevention strategy. It recommended that the vaccine be introduced before the end of 2010, for girls between the ages of 11 and 14 years, in accordance with requirements, priorities and logistics of regional vaccination programmes and the availability and supply of vaccines in each region. In November 2007, the Commission on Public Health agreed that girls aged 14 years were the best cohort to vaccinate. No specific target coverage was set as an objective of the programme.

**Implementation of HPV vaccination in Spain**

After the consensus reached by the Interterritorial Council in October 2007, regions began to implement HPV vaccination gradually into their immunisation programmes, starting in November 2007. Three regions began the vaccination during the school winter term of 2007/08, six regions carried out the vaccination in the first term of 2008 and 10 in the last term of 2008.

The purchase and distribution of the vaccine were carried out independently by each region: 13 chose Gardasil and the other six chose Cervarix. Each region also covered all expenses related to the administration of vaccines in their territory and supported the introduction of the programme with publicity campaigns and communication strategies independently.

Most regions (n=13) chose to vaccinate girls aged 14 years, in line with the Commission on Public Health’s decision. Three regions vaccinated girls aged 13 years, one vaccinated girls in their last year of primary education (aged 11–12 years) and two vaccinated girls in the first year of secondary education (aged 13–14 years). Two regions have temporarily extended the vaccination age in their territory: both have implemented the programme in schools and included young women attending three school years ahead of those included in the programme.

Eight regions administered the vaccine in healthcare centres and 11 in schools.

**Estimation of HPV vaccination coverage**

Monitoring of HPV vaccination coverage is carried out as part of the regular monitoring of coverage of administered vaccines included in the National Immunisation Programme. Predefined indicators are estimated annually at regional level and are sent to the Ministry of Health on a voluntary basis. The information is publicly available on the Ministry of Health web site [11].

Vaccination uptake is estimated by nominal registry in four regions and by an indirect method – using the total number of administered doses (reported by health care professionals) in the targeted female population – in the other regions. To estimate HPV vaccine coverage, the uptake of the three doses was assessed, but for the first year after the introduction of HPV vaccination, uptake of the first and third doses was included, to assess the dropout rate. Coverage was calculated as the proportion of the targeted population that received the first and the third doses of any HPV vaccine.

In the first year of HPV vaccine implementation (academic year 2008 to 2009), 14 regions sent information to the Ministry of Health about first-dose uptake and 18 regions about third-dose uptake in their territory (one region did not send any information on HPV vaccine uptake). The data indicated that coverage with one dose was 87.2% (range: 73.9–98.9%; 95% CI: 71.8 to 100) in the 14 regions that sent the information. Coverage decreased when looking at the proportion of girls receiving the three doses: in the 18 regions that sent the information, the coverage was 77.3% (range: 62.2–97.4%, 95% CI: 57.9 to 96.7). Only one region had a third-dose coverage lower than 70%, in six regions it was 70–80%, in five it was 80–90% and in six, over 90% coverage was achieved [11].

The observed difference between one- and three-dose uptake in regions reporting both sets of data was 9.9 percentage points, with high variability among the regions, ranging between 0.5 and 16.4 percentage points.

Regarding the venue of administration of the vaccines, coverage was 84.2% (range: 73.7–97.4%, 95% CI: 62.7 to 100) in regions that implemented the programme in schools and 70.1% (range: 62.2–85.7%, 95% CI: 36.2 to 100) for those that vaccinated in healthcare centres; however, the observed difference is not statistically significant.

**Discussion and conclusion**

During the first year of the HPV vaccination programme in Spain, vaccination with three doses was 77.3% for the targeted adolescent girls. Other countries of the European Union (EU) showed variable vaccination coverage of three doses of HPV vaccine in the respective targeted age groups during the first year: 44% in Belgium [12], 53.1% in Italy [13], and 80.9% in the United Kingdom [14]. In 2009, coverage of other vaccines administered in adolescents in Spain was 74.1% (for the vaccine against tetanus and diphtheria, administered at age 14–16 years) and 82.7% (for hepatitis B vaccine, administered at age 10–14 years) [11].

A dropout of 9.9 percentage points, between first-dose uptake (87.2%) and third-dose uptake (77.3%), has
been observed, but possible reasons for this have not been analysed. For hepatitis B vaccine, which is also administered in three doses, only information about coverage with three doses is gathered at central level so we do not know what the dropout rate is. Similar dropout figures between first- and third-dose uptake of HPV vaccines have been observed in the United Kingdom [14] and Italy [13], of 7.7 and 13.2 percentage points, respectively; nevertheless, efforts should be made to completely vaccinate girls that start the vaccination.

Although the anti-vaccination movement has had neither an important influence on vaccination uptake nor important coverage in the media in Spain, the introduction of HPV vaccination into the National Immunisation Programme created some controversy among the public and healthcare workers, which was covered by the media. Some healthcare workers claimed that the available information regarding efficacy and safety of the HPV vaccines had some important gaps and because of that, together with the high price of the vaccines, they questioned the benefit of introducing HPV vaccination [15].

During this time of public and professional uncertainty about HPV vaccination, two cases of status epilepticus with myoclonus were reported in two girls after administration of the second dose of Gardasil in schools in the same city, in February 2009 [16]. A resulting pharmacovigilance signal was notified to the European Medicines Agency and issues related to the cases were extensively covered by all media at regional and national level. This intense media attention lasted for two and a half months, until it was determined that the adverse events were not related to the vaccine (following investigation by the Spanish and European medicines agencies of the adverse events and the quality of the specific vaccine batch) [16,17]. The negative effect of the media coverage has not been analysed in detail but it is thought likely that it had some influence on the attitude of adolescent girls and/or their parents towards vaccination. This might have been partly responsible for the observed dropout rate. In contrast, in the United Kingdom, where a similar dropout rate for HPV vaccination was found, positive media coverage surrounding the introduction of the vaccination programme has been considered as influencing public perceptions about acceptability of the vaccination and contributing to the good level of vaccination coverage [18]. Special attention should be paid to tailoring communication strategies in Spain to increase positive perceptions of HPV vaccination in adolescent girls and parents.

The observed difference of coverage according to the venue of HPV vaccination in Spain was not statistically significant. In the first year after HPV vaccine was introduced in England, a high level of vaccine uptake (80.1%) was achieved in eligible girls in the routine cohort (aged 11–13 years), who were mostly (94.2%) vaccinated through a school-based programme. Delivery through general practitioners resulted in lower uptake rates compared with those related to delivery through schools for each dose, and this was particularly noticeable for third-dose uptake [14]. The coverage for the routine cohort was higher than that seen in the 17–18-year-old catch-up cohort (31.8%), who were vaccinated in various venues (31.4% were vaccinated in schools, 60% in general practitioners’ practices and 8.6% in community clinics). It was not stated whether the coverage differences seen according to venue of vaccine administration were statistically significant in this study in England [14]. Further data regarding regional strategies should be analysed in Spain to better understand the possible influence on coverage of the venue of implementation of immunisation programmes targeting adolescents.

As with other vaccines in the National Immunisation Programme, a high uptake is needed to maximise the results. The long-term impact of HPV vaccination is difficult to predict and the duration of immunity conferred by the vaccines is not known. A recent study modelling the impact of HPV vaccination in the United Kingdom has suggested that vaccinating a cohort of young women at 80% coverage will result in a 38–82% reduction in cervical cancer incidence and 44–100% reduction in anogenital warts incidence after 60 years of an ongoing vaccination programme if vaccine protection lasts 20 years on average [19]. In addition, it should be borne in mind that the HPV vaccination does not eliminate the need for cervical cancer screening, even for women vaccinated against HPV types 16 and 18, who will still be at risk from other high-risk types [20].

Parents’ attitudes may also play a role in the uptake of HPV vaccination by adolescents, given that the vaccine prevents a sexually transmitted infection. The effect of social inequalities on the uptake of HPV vaccination has been shown in a prospective cohort study in Manchester, United Kingdom, which observed that parents who did not consent to their daughter’s vaccination at school were from more deprived and ethnic minority backgrounds [21,22].

There are a couple of limitations in our analysis. First, overall national three-dose coverage was calculated without the information from one region, representing less than 5% of the Spanish population. It should be pointed out that coverage for other vaccines notified in previous years from this region did not fall into any of the coverage extremes, so the same may be true for HPV vaccination. Second, other information about the campaigns conducted at regional level, such as communication, media coverage and other recruitment strategies, has not been analysed and may also play a role in coverage besides the venue of administration.

In conclusion, in the first year of implementation of HPV vaccination in Spain, coverage was similar to that for other vaccines given to adolescents in the country.
Our findings demonstrate that the programme should be strengthened to reduce the dropout rate.
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