We report an outbreak of *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroup W135, associated with a transient transmission event between asymptomatic individuals in a healthcare setting. Two elderly persons subsequently developed invasive meningococcal disease. The duration and type of close contact for those directly involved in the probable transmission incident would not have warranted chemoprophylaxis according to current guidelines. Meningococcal infection in older persons usually presents with pneumonia rather than meningitis or septicemia with purpura.

We report a nosocomial outbreak of *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroup W135, phenotype 2a:P1.5, 2 associated with a transient transmission event between asymptomatic individuals in March 2012. Two elderly individuals admitted to the same hospital group (at different sites) presented within four days of each other with non-specific respiratory tract symptoms of infection. Initial microbiological analysis isolated *N. meningitidis* serogroup W135:2a:P1.5, 2 (porA genotype 5, 2, 36-2) from both patients’ blood cultures. The identical microbiological phenotype/genotype of these isolates suggested an epidemiological link.

**Epidemiological investigation**

The epidemiological investigation revealed that both patients had in the preceding weeks been admitted to different wards in the same hospital for unrelated illnesses, but had not been in contact with each other during that stay (admitted 19 and 16 days before the positive blood culture result, with stays of 10 and four days, respectively, for the initial admission). However, on the day of discharge, both were transported in the same hospital vehicle at the same time, one to a rehabilitation facility and the other to a care home. Both became ill with non-specific symptoms (however, respiratory infection was thought to be the most likely cause) within six and 10 days after transport, respectively. One patient remained at the rehabilitation unit for management of the disease and the other was readmitted to hospital for treatment. Since the most likely epidemiological link between the two cases was the short transfer journey (duration 67 minutes), nasopharyngeal swabs were obtained from the two staff members involved in the transport and another passenger who was on the vehicle at the same time as the cases, but for less than half an hour.

One of the transport staff (in their 60s) was also found to be carrying serogroup W135:2a:P1.5, 2 (porA 5, 2, 36-2) and reported having had upper respiratory tract symptoms in the intervening period (symptom onset 16 days after the patient transport), but had not sought medical attention. The swabs from the second transport team member and the other passenger were negative. None of the affected individuals had travelled abroad during the incubation period. It was not possible to identify the primary case or the sequence of transmission events. For this reason chemoprophylaxis was offered to all staff and patients who had been in close contact with the two infected patients during the incubation period (in the hospital, the transport vehicle and the community) up until 24 hours after commencement of antibiotic treatment of the cases. Chemoprophylaxis and meningococcal ACW135Y quadrivalent conjugate vaccination was also arranged for the swab-positive transport staff member and their close contacts.

Subsequent multilocus sequence typing of the samples obtained from this incident confirmed the epidemiological link between the affected individuals. All were determined to have the same strain designation W135:2a:P1.5,2:F1-1:ST-11 (cc11).
Background information
In England and Wales, invasive meningococcal disease most commonly occurs in children under five years of age and teenagers (between the years 2006 and 2011 at incidences of 38.6/100,000 for the under one year-olds, 12.6/100,000 in children aged one to four years, and 3.2/100,000 for teenagers aged from 15 to 19 years) [1,2]. N. meningitidis is transmitted through direct contact with infectious respiratory secretions, droplets or aerosols. Typically, for transmission to occur, close, prolonged contact (typically accepted as eight hours or more) is required [1,3-5]. Cases associated with public transport have been noted, but they involved extended contact over a period of hours [6].

Since the meningococcal serogroup C conjugate vaccine was introduced in 1999 to the childhood immunisation schedule in the United Kingdom (UK), around 85–90% of invasive disease cases have been due to N. meningitidis serogroup B [2]. Invasive disease by the W135 serogroup is infrequently seen. For example, between 2006 and 2011, serogroup W135 accounted for only 2.3% of cases (annual average: 26 W135 cases) of laboratory-confirmed meningococcal disease in England and Wales, and most occurred in the very young or the very old [2]. Fatalities occurred in 5.5% of these cases, all in adults older than 45 years [2] (Figure).

Discussion and conclusions
In the last 10 years, most W135 organisms isolated in the UK were typed as W135: NT: P1.3.6 cc22 or combinations thereof. In contrast, as reported in this incident, W135:2a:P1.5, 2 cc11 has been only infrequently observed: 32 cases from 2009 to May 2012 (personal communication Dr S Gray, May 2012).

The occurrence described here of invasive meningococcal disease with serogroup W135 may have resulted from a single transient period of close contact. It is notable since symptoms suggestive of meningococcal infection were not apparent at that point. Despite detailed epidemiological and microbiological analysis, it was not possible to determine the sequence of transmission.

Like serogroup Y, most serogroup W135 infections in older adults present as pneumonia (usually in the presence of comorbidities) and are invariably confirmed following a positive blood culture [2,7-9]. Public health notification on clinical suspicion of meningococcal
disease is unusual in elderly persons. Where cases are notified in this age group, they are more likely to be caused by the less prevalent serogroups [2,10].

Epidemiological investigations of clonal complex 11 (cc11) N. meningitidis serogroup C have previously demonstrated low levels of carriage and increased case fatality ratios when compared to other clonal complexes [11-14]. It is not known if N. meningitidis expressing the serogroup W135 capsular polysaccharide and belonging to cc11 have similar epidemiological features (such as duration of carriage) as serogroup C ST11 organisms. Nonetheless, previous findings from individuals returning from the Hajj demonstrate the capacity of the W135 strain associated with the pilgrimage to cause infection in contacts up to 36 days post return [15].

This cluster of epidemiologically linked serogroup W135 infections raises important implications for the public health and clinical management of the disease, particularly in older age groups. Occupational transmission of meningococcal disease has been previously observed, mostly in laboratory settings. Instances where emergency workers have been infected have been seen only from clearly symptomatic patients [16-18].

Antibiotic treatment for cases and prophylaxis for their contacts to eradicate carriage and therefore reduce local transmission is warranted. In addition, for contacts of cases with serogroups ACWY, conjugate vaccines against the specific serogroup may further protect contacts and reduce carriage and local transmission [15,19]. Clinicians need to be aware that while N. meningitidis invasive disease is most common in young children and adolescents, it can occur in the elderly, although the presentation will probably differ [2]. Respiratory symptoms predominate in the elderly rather than clinically evident meningitis or septicaemia with purpura. Invasive meningococcal disease caused by all serogroups has a substantially higher case fatality rate in the elderly than in younger age groups. Comorbidities are recognised as an associated risk factor and may explain the poorer outcome [2].

Cases of invasive meningococcal disease occurring in older persons should receive equally robust public health investigation and management as those in younger individuals. Although the duration and type of close contact for the individuals exposed in the ambulance as described here would not have fulfilled the criteria for chemoprophylaxis according to current national public health guidelines, the common epidemiological links allowed appropriate control measures to be implemented. Defining close contacts in incidents where a healthcare source is suspected may be problematic technically and also may provoke anxiety for those involved. A flexible approach utilising expert public health advice to guide intervention is therefore appropriate for this type of occurrence [5].
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