Specific detection by real-time reverse-transcription PCR assays of a novel avian influenza A(H7N9) strain associated with human spillover infections in China
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In response to a recent outbreak in China, detection assays for a novel avian influenza A(H7N9) virus need to be implemented in a large number of public health laboratories. Here we present real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) assays for specific detection of this virus, along with clinical validation data and biologically-safe positive controls.

Background
An avian influenza A(H7N9) virus has emerged in southeastern China in March 2013 [1]. As of 16 April 2013, the Chinese authorities have reported 63 laboratory-confirmed human cases, 14 of whom have died [2]. While epidemiological data suggest no direct human-to-human transmission, there is huge concern that the presence of mutations typical for mammalian-adapted influenza A viruses such as E627K in the polymerase basic protein 2 (PB2) gene might indicate a certain propensity of the virus to further adapt to humans [1,3]. Even in absence of proven human-to-human transmission, the emergence of the avian influenza A(H7N9) virus in humans constitutes a test scenario for pandemic preparedness.

The rapid deployment of diagnostic methodology is among the top priorities in laboratory-based pandemic response. While capacities and responsibilities are in place in many countries, the actual provision of test technology involves major challenges, including the necessity to provide validation data for new test protocols, as well as the need for qualified and safe biological materials suitable as positive controls. In particular, positive controls based on in-vitro transcribed RNA containing only small fragments of the viral genome can be shipped without biosafety concerns. We already started using this option for the wide distribution of diagnostic tests during the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) epidemic in 2003, and made use of it several times thereafter [4-6]. In response to the emergence of HCoV-EMC in 2012 we provided validated protocols along with positive controls through a European Union (EU) research network. This strategy enabled implementation of diagnostic capacity across the EU within only a few weeks [7,8]. In this report we present diagnostic methods for detection of the emerging influenza A(H7N9) virus from clinical specimens.

Methods

Clinical samples and influenza cell culture supernatants
Respiratory swabs, sputum, and endotracheal aspirates were obtained during 2012 and 2013 from hospitalised patients of the University of Bonn Medical Centre and the University of Marburg Medical Centre. Cell culture supernatants from typed influenza viruses were obtained from the German Society for Promotion of Quality Assurance in Medical Laboratories (INSTAND) proficiency testing panels. RNA was extracted from the samples as described earlier by using a viral RNA mini kit (Qiagen) [8].

Template for design of assays
The first three published genome sequences of the 2013 influenza A(H7N9) epidemic from the GISAID EpiFlu database, as listed in Table 1, served as the template for assay design. An influenza A/Mallard/Sweden/91/2002 (H7N9) strain [9], provided by Ron Fouchier, Rotterdam, to author M.M. was used for initial validation experiments.

Real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction targets
In order to design highly specific real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) targets that would not cross-react with human influenza viruses, we chose the haemagglutinin (HA) and neuraminidase (NA) genes of avian influenza A(H7N9) as targets for amplification.
Because no isolates of the emerging influenza A(H7N9) lineage were available from China, we selected an influenza A/Mallard/Sweden/91/2002 (H7N9) strain whose HA and NA genes were closely related [1,9]. The finding of annealing sites for primers and probes was guided by an alignment of three available sequences from the 2013 emerging influenza A(H7N9) lineage, and the influenza A/Mallard/Sweden/91/2002 (H7N9) sequence. Thermodynamically suitable primers and probes were selected to minimise the number of nucleotide mismatches at their binding sites to the emerging A(H7N9) sequences as well as the A/Mallard/Sweden/91/2002 (H7N9) sequence. The NA gene fragment of A/Mallard/Sweden/91/2002 (A7N9) had to be sequenced for this purpose.

The final test layout included two adjacent regions in the HA gene, termed HA(I) and HA(II), which were respectively targeted by primers and probes of two RT-PCR assays. The two HA regions were included in one control RNA construct derived from the influenza A/Mallard/Sweden/91/2002 (H7N9) strain used for all RT-PCR assays (Figure 1C and D).

### Real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction

All three assays had the same conditions but the primer and probe sequences varied (Table 2). A 25-μl reaction was set up containing 5 μl of RNA, 12.5 μl of 2 X reaction buffer provided with the Superscript III one step RT-PCR system with Platinum Taq Polymerase (Invitrogen; containing 0.4 mM of each deoxyribonucleotide triphosphates (dNTP) and 3.2 mM magnesium sulfate), 1 μl of reverse transcriptase/Taq mixture from the kit, 0.4 μl of a 50 mM magnesium sulfate solution (Invitrogen – not provided with the kit), 1 μg of non-acetylated bovine serum albumin (Roche), 400 nM concentrations of each of the primers, as well as 200 nM of the probe. All oligonucleotides were synthesised and provided by Tib-Molbiol, Berlin, where stock solutions from the original synthesis lots are kept. Thermal cycling consisted of 55°C for 15 min, followed by 95°C for 3 min and then 45 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, 58°C for 25 s.

### In-vitro transcribed RNA controls

Using influenza A/Mallard/Sweden/91/2002 (H7N9) strain RNA as a template, a reverse-transcription PCR fragment encompassing both HA regions respectively targeted by the two HA(I) and (II) assays as well as additional flanking nucleotides was generated using primers IVT_HA-FWD and IVT_HA-REV. Likewise a reverse-transcription PCR fragment comprising the region of the NA gene targeted by the NA(I) assay was amplified with primers IVT_NA-FWD and IVT_NA-REV (Table 2). The HA and NA reverse-transcription PCR fragments were used as positive controls for all RT-PCR assays (Figure 1C and D).

### Table 1

Origin of the haemagglutinin and neuraminidase sequences of emerging influenza A(H7N9) virus used for assay design, April 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment ID</th>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Collection date</th>
<th>Isolate name</th>
<th>Submitting laboratory</th>
<th>Submitter/author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EPI439507</td>
<td>HA</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>A/Anhui/1/2013</td>
<td>WHO Chinese National Influenza Center</td>
<td>Lei Yang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPI439486</td>
<td>HA</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>A/Shanghai/1/2013</td>
<td>WHO Chinese National Influenza Center</td>
<td>Lei Yang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPI439502</td>
<td>HA</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>A/Shanghai/2/2013</td>
<td>WHO Chinese National Influenza Center</td>
<td>Lei Yang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPI439509</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>A/Anhui/1/2013</td>
<td>WHO Chinese National Influenza Center</td>
<td>Lei Yang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPI439487</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>A/Shanghai/1/2013</td>
<td>WHO Chinese National Influenza Center</td>
<td>Lei Yang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPI439500</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>A/Shanghai/2/2013</td>
<td>WHO Chinese National Influenza Center</td>
<td>Lei Yang</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


We gratefully acknowledge the authors and laboratories for originating and submitting these sequences to the EpiFlu database of the Global Initiative on Sharing All Influenza Data (GISAID); these sequences were the basis for the research presented here.

All submitters of data may be contacted directly via the GISAID website www.gisaid.org.
fragments are thereafter referred to as ‘peri-ampli-
con fragments’. These PCR products were ligated
into pCR 4 plasmid vectors and cloned in
Escherichia
coli
by means of a pCR 4-TOPO TA cloning reagent set
(Invitrogen). Plasmids were examined for correct ori-
entation of inserts by PCR, purified, and re-amplified
with plasmid-specific primers from the reagent set to
reduce the plasmid background in subsequent in vitro
transcription. Products were transcribed into RNA
with the MegaScript T7 in vitro transcription reagent
set (Ambion). After DNase I digestion, RNA transcripts
were purified with Qiagen RNeasy columns and quanti-
fied photometrically. The RNAs derived from the peri-
amplicon fragments were used as positive control
for the performance of the RT-PCR assays (Figure 1). All
transcript dilutions were carried out in nuclease-free
water containing 10 μg/mL carrier RNA (Qiagen).

Results

Sensitivity of the real-time reverse-
transcription polymerase chain reaction assays
Sensitivity tests employed quantified, in-vitro tran-
scribed RNA derived from the peri-amplicon
fragments of the combined HA(I/II) assays, as well as the
NA(I) assay. Transcripts were generated and tested in
serial tenfold dilution experiments. To obtain a statisti-
cally robust assessment of limits of detection (LODs),
transcripts were tested in multiple parallel reactions
containing RNA copy numbers above and below the
pre-determined end point dilution detection limits of
The results in terms of the fractions of positive reactions at each concentration were subjected to probit regression analysis. Detection probabilities of >95% were achieved at RNA concentrations 7.0 and 7.8 copies per reaction with the HA(I) and NA(I) assays, respectively (Figure 2). Probit analysis is not shown for the HA(II) assay because this assay is not proposed as a first line test; however, sensitivity of this assay was highly comparable to that of HA(I).

Because the peri-amplicon HA(I) and HA(II) oligonucleotide binding sites each presented with a small number of mismatches to the primers and probes designed for the RT-PCR assays (Figure 1), the sequence of the combined peri-amplicon region of the assays was synthesised in-vitro by PCR fusion of oligonucleotides fragment I and II using primers F and HA7_2_2013rtR to match the A/Anhui/1/2013 sequence (region 1491–1629) 100% (Table 1). The fragment was cloned in E. coli (GenExpress) and transcribed into RNA to be used for parallel testing of the HA assays. For both assays a concentration of five copies of RNA per reaction returned positive in nine of 10 replicates (none were positive with 0 copies per reaction, and all with 50 copies).

### Specificity of the assays
To exclude non-specific reactivity of oligonucleotides among each other, all formulations were tested 45 times in parallel with assays containing water and no other nucleic acid except the provided oligonucleotides. In none of these reactions was any positive signal detected. Cross-reactivity with known heterospecific human influenza A viruses as well as other human respiratory viruses was excluded by testing virus positive clinical specimens and high-titre cell culture materials as summarised in Table 3.
To obtain a clinically relevant figure of assay specificity, all assays were applied on original clinical samples in which other respiratory viruses had already been detected during routine screening at Bonn and Marburg University Medical Centers (Table 3). These samples were prepared using the Qiagen Viral RNA kit, a formulation widely used to extract RNA in clinical laboratories. Of note, the tested panel included samples containing human influenza A viruses. In total, none of the 121 original clinical samples containing a wide range of respiratory viruses gave any detection signal with either assay, while positive controls were detected. It was concluded that the assay could be applied reliably for clinical samples.

During our validation studies, Word Health Organization (WHO) released RT-PCR protocols targeting other regions of the HA and NA genes (http://www.who.int/influenza/gisrs_laboratory/a_h7n9/en/ on 9 April 2013). Due to the lack of sequence agreement between the A/Anhui/1/2013 (H7N9) and A/Mallard/Sweden/91/2002 (H7N9) we were not able to evaluate the sensitivity of those assays. However, we included them for specificity testing running a panel of clinical samples as listed in Table 3. No false-positive amplifications were encountered while a full validation of these assays would require access to the A/Anhui/1/2013 (H7N9) viral RNA or to generate a longer synthetic gene.

Conclusions

Medical laboratories often use conserved target genes such as the matrix gene for the detection of influenza. In cases of suspected human infection with the emerging influenza A (H7N9) strain, however, laboratories need to make sure their diagnostics do not return false positive results due to cross-reactivity with ubiquitous human influenza A viruses. Such cross-reactivity is likely to occur with matrix gene assays, and will thus pose a risk of misleading interpretations of test data. The here-provided protocols provide high specificity for influenza A(H7N9) while detecting minute quantities of virus due to high analytical sensitivity.

In cases of positive detection of influenza A(H7N9), laboratories would want to achieve confirmation by sequence analysis of the amplified fragment. The two primer pairs IVT_HA-FWD, IVT_HA-REV and IVT_NA-FWD, IVT_NA-REV enable sequence confirmation in the HA and NA genes, respectively. It is important to note that the provided in-vitro transcribed RNA controls contain mutations to be discriminated from the emerging influenza A(H7N9) lineage RNA, making it possible to discriminate true virus detections from possible laboratory contaminations. Control material is available from the authors through the European Virus Archive (www.european-virus-archive.com).

![Figure 2](https://example.com/figure2.png)

**Figure 2**

Probit regression analyses to determine the sensitivity of the real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction assays developed to detect the emerging influenza A(H7N9) virus, April 2013

HA: haemagglutinin; LOD: limit of detection; NA: neuraminidase.

The y-axis shows fractional hit-rates (positive reactions per reactions performed), the x-axis shows input RNA copies per reaction. Squares are experimental data points resulting from replicate testing of given concentrations in parallel assays. The blue regression line is a probit curve (dose-response rule). The outer red lines are 95% confidence intervals.

A. HA (I) assay; technical LOD = 7.013 RNA copies/reaction, at 95% hit rate; 95% CI: 4.812–15.41 RNA copies/reaction.

B. NA (I) assay; technical LOD = 7.754 RNA copies/reaction, at 95% hit rate; 95% CI: 5.741–12.739 RNA copies/reaction.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Virus</th>
<th>Number of samples tested in the assays (HA(I); HA(II), NA)</th>
<th>Number of samples tested in the WHO assays (H7 and N9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical samples with known virus</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pandemic influenza A(H1N1)pdm09</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenza A(H3N2)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenza B</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human coronavirus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hCoV-HKU1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hCoV-OC43</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hCoV-NL63</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hCoV-229E</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hCoV-EMC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human rhinovirus</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human respiratory syncytial virus</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human parainfluenza virus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parainfluenza 1 virus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parainfluenza 2 virus</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parainfluenza 3 virus</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parainfluenza 4 virus</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human metapneumovirus</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human enterovirus</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human adenovirus</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human parechovirus</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>110</strong></td>
<td><strong>65</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cell culture supernatants</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenza A(H1N1) (older than 2009)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenza A(H5N1)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenza A(H3N2)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>11</strong></td>
<td><strong>11</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>121</strong></td>
<td><strong>76</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WHO: World Health Organization.

\( ^{a} \) HA(I); HA(II) and NA(I) were respective target regions of the haemagglutinin and neuraminidase genes of the emerging influenza influenza A(H7N9) virus for real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction assays developed in this study.

\( ^{b} \) Assays published online on 9 April 2013 at http://www.who.int/influenza/gisrs_laboratory/a_h7n9/en/.
Oligonucleotides as well as the synthetic positive plasmid control (DNA) can be ordered from stock at Tib-Molbiol, Berlin (www.tib-molbiol.de). In-vitro transcribed control RNA for the HA(I), HA(II) and NA(I) assays can be acquired from author C. D. through the European Virus Archive platform (www.european-virus-archive.com). Further information and assay updates can be retrieved at www.virology-bonn.de.
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