Leishmaniasis is complex of vector-borne diseases caused by protozoan parasites of the genus *Leishmania* transmitted by the bite of phlebotomine sandflies. A dozen nosogeographical entities – characterised by different parasite, vector and reservoir host species, geographical distribution and clinical features in humans – affect 101 countries in tropical, subtropical and temperate zones of the world [1,2]. More than 90% of 200,000–400,000 global cases of visceral leishmaniasis (VL), the most severe form, are estimated to occur annually in India, Bangladesh, Sudan, South Sudan, Ethiopia and Brazil. A less severe form, cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL), is more widely distributed, accounting for 0.7–1.2 million cases each year in countries of Latin America, Mediterranean basin, Middle East and Central Asia.

Even though many physicians and public health experts still consider leishmaniasis a tropical disease, two entities associated with several *Phlebotomus* species are endemic in southern Europe: (i) zoonotic VL and CL caused by *L. infantum* throughout the region, having dogs as reservoir host; and (ii) anthropotonic CL caused by *L. tropica*, which occurs sporadically in Greece. More recently, a third parasite species (*L. donovani*, assumed to be anthropotonic) has been recorded in Cyprus, where it causes both VL and CL [3].

VL is endemic in nine countries of the European Union (EU). The World Health Organization’s Department for the Control of Neglected Tropical Diseases has estimated a total VL incidence of approximately 410–620 cases each year during 2003 to 2008 in these endemic countries, adjusted to take into account a ‘mild’ 1.2–1.8-fold under-reporting [2]. Recent experiences from six of the nine countries – Bulgaria, Greece, Croatia, Italy, France and Spain – are presented in this special issue.

Zoonotic CL usually occurs in the same areas endemic for VL, but there are probably many more cases than those registered (2.8–4.6-fold under-reporting has been estimated for the EU region [2]). As pointed out by Lachaud et al. for France [4], but also applicable to other EU countries endemic for CL, cutaneous lesions due to *L. infantum* are often benign and patients are seen by general practitioners or dermatologists who generally do not report these cases or notify them even when mandatory.

Despite provoking a limited number of overt clinical cases – in comparison with global leishmaniasis figures – *L. infantum* represents a latent public health threat in the EU because studies performed in several endemic foci have disclosed a high prevalence of asymptomatic parasite carriers [5]. A recent example is provided for Croatia by Šiško-Kraljević et al. [6]. Hence, immunosuppressive conditions, either due to co-morbidities (e.g. human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection) or therapies (e.g. organ transplantation or treatment of immunological disorders [7]) may result in the reactivation of latent infections. In this regard, it should be emphasised that dermotropic *L. infantum* genotypes – the usual agents of benign CL – may disseminate to cause severe VL in immunosuppressed individuals [8]. Such elevated prevalence of human infections could have been predicted from two strands of evidence: humans are frequently bitten by sandflies and *L. infantum* infections are widespread in dogs, a highly susceptible host [9]. In large parts of countries of southern EU, canine seroprevalence rates are estimated to be in the range of 5–30%, which means that infection rates may reach values of 40–80% [10].

Some European countries at the north of regions with natural transmission of leishmaniasis have reported large series of VL and CL imported cases, many of which have acquired the parasitic infection during holidays in southern Europe [11-14]. In several instances, a definitive diagnosis of VL proved difficult and for one case, the period before symptom onset and specific treatment was longer than a year. Delay in diagnosis or misdiagnosis can also occur in southern European countries endemic for VL, but in parts where cases occur rarely, as has been reported from a northern Italian region [15]. These observations suggest that awareness about leishmaniasis endemicity in Europe should be greatly increased among general practitioners and clinicians.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Challenges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surveillance by passive notification systems</td>
<td>Notification of leishmaniasis is not compulsory everywhere in Europe. Some endemic countries have national notification systems centralised at the Ministry of Health; others have compulsory or voluntary surveillance systems in endemic regions but not in non-endemic ones. Non-endemic countries of northern Europe rely on single (or a network of) reference centres that collect information on a voluntary basis. There is limited harmonisation of the existing notification systems as regards case definition, clinical presentation and patient information. In countries with compulsory notifiable systems, under-reporting of visceral leishmaniasis is estimated to be 1.2–1.8-fold, that of cutaneous leishmaniasis 2.8–4.6-fold [2].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transnational information</td>
<td>Travellers to endemic countries are not provided with adequate information on leishmaniasis risk and physicians often do not include leishmaniasis in differential diagnosis of travel-related diseases. There is a lack of feedback from non-endemic countries registering leishmaniasis cases in travellers to the endemic countries visited by patients, which can hamper early identification of new or re-emerging foci.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease vs infection</td>
<td>Increasingly, there is evidence that clinical cases of leishmaniasis represent the tip of an ‘infection iceberg’, whose size (i.e. prevalence) is unknown in most of the endemic countries. Determinants for human clinical susceptibility are largely unknown, apart from some co-morbidities (e.g. human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection) or immunocompromising conditions, i.e. through immunosuppressive therapies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parasite identification</td>
<td>Multilocus enzyme electrophoresis (MLEE), the gold standard for Leishmania identification, is available at reference centres of three European countries (France, Italy and Spain) [1]; however, there is risk that MLEE typing activities will be ended soon because they are expensive and laborious. Different levels of accuracy may be required (e.g. species level at clinical centres, genotype level for epidemiological investigations); however, common protocols for molecular Leishmania identification are not available yet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic vs wild reservoir hosts</td>
<td>Updated geographical distribution of canine leishmaniasis, representing the most efficient sentinel for leishmaniasis transmission in a territory, is not available for all endemic countries. The epidemiological role of domestic hosts other than dogs (e.g. cats) is still unclear. The potential role of wild mammals (rodents, lagomorphs, carnivores) as reservoir hosts of Leishmania requires investigation because it can change with man-made environmental changes such as witnessed by the recent outbreak in Madrid, Spain [21].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phlebotomine vectors</td>
<td>Taxonomy and biology investigations on European phlebotomine species rely on a limited group of experts. Updated information on vector distribution is therefore lacking in some endemic countries and in neighbouring non-endemic ones. Competence of permissive sandfly species needs to be elucidated as regards potential transmission of exotic Leishmania species imported into Europe. The vectorial role of continental European species of sandflies (e.g. Phlebotomus mascitti) is still to be ascertained.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control measures</td>
<td>The primary control measure is avoiding deaths from the most severe form of leishmaniasis (visceral). General public and health professional awareness of the disease (both leading to early diagnosis) and appropriate therapy should be the mainstay for both endemic and non-endemic countries. Vaccination combined with topical insecticides with sandfly anti-feeding properties should be recommended for dogs living in endemic areas or temporarily travelling from non-endemic to endemic areas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As an endemic country comprises known areas or foci of endemicity, it is interestingly to note that in some instances, travellers became infected after visiting an area that was not considered as endemic by the health authorities of the country visited [16]. This should encourage the development of systems for appropriate transnational information following leishmaniasis diagnosis in travellers.

Deaths due to VL, although possible, are rare. The disease has a slow chronic course, so that fatal cases may be patients with individual risk factors such as severe co-morbidities or, in case of young children, malnutrition associated with late diagnosis. On the other hand, deaths due to inappropriate use of VL drugs can be even more frequent. In some European countries, antimonial drugs are still in use for some categories of patients because of the high cost of liposomal amphotericin B [17] and it is well known that overdose of potent antimonial agents in adults can cause severe cardiac failures in addition to pancreatitis.

This special issue of Eurosurveillance, published in two parts, is a useful instrument to review diverse aspects of leishmaniasis in Europe related to topics such as the information and surveillance systems in place in countries within the EU, the current epidemiological situation and novel aspects related to parasite identification [18,19], domestic and wild reservoir hosts [20] and vectors [9]. The main challenges associated with these topics are summarised in the Table.

In conclusion, leishmaniasis, a neglected disease, is rare in some countries of Europe, but endemic in others, having a great impact on individuals and the potential to spread further. The disease should be monitored carefully and systems for its notification should be harmonised at both national and transnational levels.
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