In most European Union (EU)/European Economic Area (EEA) countries, between 2010 and 2012, reports of new human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) diagnoses among people who inject drugs have been stable or declining. HIV outbreaks in Greece and Romania, first reported in 2011, continue and economic conditions hinder provision of effective response coverage. When measured against some established thresholds, prevention coverage remains inadequate in at least one-third of EU/EEA countries. Urgent consideration to scale up prevention efforts is merited.

Introduction

In response to sharp increases in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) notifications reported among people who inject drugs (PWID) in Greece and Romania, a risk assessment of HIV transmission among PWID was carried out in the European Union/European Economic Area (EU/EEA), Croatia and Turkey, in 2011. The report, covering 31 countries, confirmed outbreaks among PWID in Greece and Romania and noted a potential for outbreaks elsewhere [1,2]. This update, based on a survey conducted in mid-2013, summarises developments in HIV transmission and injecting risks among PWID since 2010 and identifies areas where scale-up of evidence-based preventive measures is needed to avoid further outbreaks.

Data collection for indicators and analysis

This study analysed multiple sources of disease and prevention service data for the period 2010 to 2012 collected from 31 countries, including 27 EU Member States, Croatia, two EEA countries (Iceland and Norway) as well as Turkey. The data were provided through the regular monitoring systems of the European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction (EMCDDA) and the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). These data were augmented with a rapid enquiry of their respective expert networks in May-June 2013. The enquiry used an excel table pre-filled with data previously reported for 2010 and 2011 for purposes of validation or correction. The table also served as a survey tool to report 2012 data. The data of interest focused on three groups of indicators, as well as on questions regarding HIV prevention funding, such as if this funding was adequate, if it had changed between 2010 and 2012 and if changes were expected in the coming two years.

The three groups of indicators analysed for the period between 2010 and 2012 comprised the following: (i) HIV trend: data on HIV case reports with injecting drug use as mode of transmission for the period from 2010 to 2012 notified to ECDC through the European Surveillance System (TESSy) were analysed alongside data on HIV prevalence among PWID, (ii) transmission risk: data on prevalence of injecting drug use trends, changes in injecting risk behaviour, and trends in hepatitis C virus (HCV) prevalence, and (iii) data on prevention service coverage routinely reported to the EMCDDA [3,4], including opioid substitution treatment (OST) and needle and syringe programmes (NSP) coverage.

For each country, the first two indicators were characterised either as ‘no alert’, ‘concern’ or ‘alert’. As the classification of levels of NSP and OST coverage is based on established thresholds, the third indicator could only be qualified as ‘alert’ or ‘no alert’.

HIV trend in the countries was classified under ‘alert’ if there was a significant (p <0.05) increase in HIV case reports or prevalence between 2010 and 2012. Classification as ‘concern’ was assigned if there was a significant increasing trend in HIV prevalence at subnational level (e.g. in a city or a region) during the same period or a consistent but non-significant rise in HIV cases at national level. ‘No concern’ indicated that there was no evidence of increasing HIV cases or prevalence in a country.

Risk for increased HIV transmission was characterised as an ‘alert’ if any of the following conditions were reported through national drug focal points or reporting systems: increased or high (>50%) HCV prevalence...
among PWID; increased prevalence of injecting drug use; or changes in drug use patterns such as increased stimulant injection. A ‘concern’ was defined as a subnational increase. There was ‘no alert’ when no evidence of increases occurred with regard to HCV prevalence, prevalence of injecting drug use, and stimulant injection among PWID.

Prevention service coverage was classified as ‘alert’ if the proportion of problem opioid users receiving OST (OST coverage) was less than 30% and/or if the annual average number of syringes distributed by NSPs per PWID (NSP coverage) was less than 100/PWID/year.

All data reported were validated by national HIV surveillance and national drug focal points to ensure that the most recent available data were included.

Results
Characteristics of each of the indicators considered in this study, by country, are shown in the Table.

Human immunodeficiency virus case reports and prevalence among people who inject drugs
Except for Liechtenstein, which did not take part in the risk assessment, data on HIV diagnoses with injecting drug use as the mode of transmission from 2010 to 2012 were provided by all EU/EEA countries, as well as by Croatia and Turkey. Information on HIV prevalence among PWID during the period from 2010 to 2012 was given by 26 countries, eight of which (Belgium, Bulgaria, Estonia, Germany, Lithuania, Netherlands, Sweden and the United Kingdom) only had subnational data. No data on HIV prevalence among PWID were available from Croatia, Denmark, Finland, Iceland and Ireland.

Twenty-five countries reported stable or declining population rates of HIV diagnoses in PWID over the past three years, continuing the trend observed throughout much of the EU/EEA from the mid-2000s [5]. In 2012, rates of new HIV diagnoses associated with injecting drug use were below 1.0 per 100,000 population for 26 countries, between 1.0 and below 4.0 for Romania and Lithuania and above 4.0 for Greece, Latvia and Estonia (Figure).

Greece and Romania report ongoing outbreaks [6-9] leading to an almost 20-fold increase in new diagnoses among PWID between 2010 and 2012. Taken together, these two countries reported more than one third of the HIV diagnoses associated with injecting drug use in the 31 countries in 2012, compared to only 2.2% in 2010. These outbreaks are concentrated in the capital cities, with reported HIV prevalence among PWID in Athens of 20% [10] and Bucharest of 53% [9].

In Austria, Bulgaria, Estonia and Latvia, the extent to which the recent evolution in HIV trends represents a significant risk is unclear. In Estonia and Latvia, after major HIV outbreaks between the late 1990s and the early 2000s leading to high prevalence [11,12], new HIV case reports declined until 2008 in Estonia and until 2009 in Latvia [5], but incidence remains high in 2012 (94/100,000 population) (Figure). Between 2010 and 2012, HIV diagnoses have slightly risen in both countries, while HIV prevalence studies among PWID in Latvia (national level: 20.2%) and Estonia (Kothla-Jarve: 61.8%) showed high levels of HIV. In Austria, the number of HIV diagnoses rose slightly over the period between 2010 and 2012 and HIV prevalence among PWID at low-threshold facilities in Vienna increased fivefold from 0.8% in 2010 to 4.9% in 2012. In Bulgaria, HIV diagnoses fluctuated at national level, but a doubling of prevalence of HIV among young PWID in Sofia from 3.1% in 2010 to 6.1% in 2011 was noted (Table).

Human immunodeficiency virus transmission risk
Trends in HCV prevalence among PWID are an indicator of injecting risk and high HCV prevalence can be a proxy for HIV transmission risk [13]. In 2012, increases in HCV or very high prevalence of HCV among PWID (50–80%) were reported in nine countries: Belgium (Flemish community), Bulgaria (Sofia), Cyprus, Estonia (Narva), Greece, Italy (several regions), Latvia, Romania (Bucharest), and Turkey.

Increased injecting of stimulants was reported in four countries. In Romania and Hungary, this reflected the appearance of new stimulant drugs on the market, such as cathinones, leading to more frequent injecting among traditional opiate users [14]. Austria and Greece previously reported increases in methamphetamine injecting [1]. However in Athens, Greece, opiates continue to be the main drug of use.

Prevention coverage and funding
Data on prevention coverage were available for 25 countries (OST: 20; NSP: 13) (Table). Most countries have implemented relatively high levels of prevention services, but 10 countries report low prevention coverage, either for OST (6 countries with coverage ranging from 4 to 20%) or for NSP (7 countries report distributing less than 100 needles and syringes/PWID/year, including Turkey where NSP is not available).

In Greece, scale-up of prevention coverage from low activity started in 2011 [15]; this has been impeded by financial constraints since late 2012 [16]. In Romania, coverage has reduced substantially since 2010, when a Global Fund grant ended, with the rate of 47 syringes per PWID reported (Bucharest, 2012) far below estimated need [6] and OST remains limited. Since July 2013 the main harm reduction provider (Romanian Anti-AIDS Association, ARAS) had to halve services [17] due to limited resources. In Bulgaria, a Global Fund grant will end in 2014.

Discussion
The previous assessment, carried out in 2011 identified worrying spread of HIV among PWID in Greece
### Table

Assessment of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) trends among people who inject drugs, in EU/EEA, Croatia and Turkey, 2010–2012 (n=31 countries)

| Countries | AT | BE | BG | HR | CY | CZ | DK | EE | FI | FR | DE | EL | HU | IS | IE | IT | LV | LT | LU | MT | NO | PL | PT | RO | SK | SI | ES | SE | TR | UK |
|-----------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| HIV trend |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| HIV case reports\(^b\) and prevalence\(^c\) |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Transmission risk |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| prevalence of injecting drug use, changes in injecting risk behaviour |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| OST coverage |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Percent of estimated problem opiate user population receiving OST: cut-off 30\% |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| NSP coverage |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Number of syringes given out per PWID per year: cut-off 100 syringes |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |

- **NO ALERT** – no evidence for increase in HIV case reports or HIV/HCV prevalence and/or transmission risk and/or low intervention coverage.
- **CONCERN** – subnational increase in HIV/HCV prevalence and/or transmission risk or consistent but non-significant rise at national level.
- **ALERT** – evidence for significant increase in HIV case reports or HIV/HCV prevalence and/or increase in transmission risk and/or low intervention coverage.
- Information unknown/not reported to EMCDDA/ECDC.

ECDC: European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control; EMCDDA: European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction; HCV: hepatitis C virus; HIV: human immunodeficiency virus; NSP: needle and syringe programmes (NSP); PWID: people who inject drugs; OST: opioid substitution treatment.

\(^a\) Liechtenstein did not take part in the study. Countries included in the Table are Austria (AT), Belgium (BE), Bulgaria (BG), Croatia (HR), Cyprus (CY), Czech Republic (CY), Denmark (DK), Estonia (EE), Finland (FI), France (FR), Germany (DE), Greece (EL), Hungary (HU), Iceland (IS), Ireland (IE), Italy (IT), Latvia (LV), Lithuania (LT), Luxembourg (LU), Malta (MT), Netherlands (NL), Norway (NO), Poland (PL), Portugal (PT), Romania (RO), Slovakia (SK), Slovenia (SI), Spain (ES), Serbia (SE), Turkey (TR), United Kingdom (UK).

\(^b\) Except for Liechtenstein, data on HIV diagnoses with injecting drug use as the mode of transmission from 2010 to 2012 were provided by all EU/EEA countries, as well as Croatia and Turkey.

\(^c\) No data on HIV prevalence among PWID were available from Croatia, Denmark, Finland, Iceland and Ireland. Only subnational data were available from Belgium, Bulgaria, Estonia, Germany, Lithuania, Netherlands, Sweden and the United Kingdom.

and Romania [1,2]. This updated assessment confirms these continuing and serious outbreaks and also provides the most recent data on trends in HIV among PWID in the EU/EEA region as a whole. It is based on a considerable amount of hitherto unpublished data on drug-related indicators, which were gathered through a rapid inquiry in May-June 2013.

Apart from Greece and Romania, HIV among PWID appears to be stable or declining in much of the EU/EEA. However, in at least eight other countries, data suggests that substantial populations of PWID have limited access to OST and NSP services and may therefore be vulnerable with regard to outbreaks in the future.

Vigilance is required where indicators of potential risk for increased HIV transmission, such as increases in HCV infection, high HCV prevalence, and increased stimulant injecting are reported. Increased injection of stimulants poses challenges for prevention services, requiring increased NSP provision to cover more frequent injecting and more emphasis on active outreach. Recent reports of increased injecting of cathinones leading to an HIV outbreak among PWID in Israel underline this [18]. Increased reported stimulant use also implies that greater attention be paid to
sexual transmission among PWID. In London a possible increase in stimulant injection among men who have sex with men (MSM) and subsequent increase in HIV transmission has been reported [9].

There are limitations to this assessment. Data on HIV notifications for 2012 are liable to revision due to adjustment for reporting delays. For several countries, prevention coverage could not be estimated due to missing data or lack of a denominator. For example, coverage of OST could not be calculated for Belgium, Bulgaria, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Iceland, Portugal, Romania, Slovenia, Sweden, and Turkey due to a lack of estimates of the prevalence of opiate use.

Effective control of HIV transmission among PWID requires a comprehensive public health policy including adequate provision of both NSP and effective treatment of drug dependence together with health promotion, accessible HIV testing, targeted service delivery, and antiretroviral treatment (ART) for HIV positive persons [20]. To avoid high long-term healthcare costs and preventable future morbidity and mortality, reaching and maintaining an adequate level of prevention is needed in all EU/EEA countries but most urgently in those identified as vulnerable to increases in HIV among PWID. In some cases, economic conditions and funding short-ages threaten adequate responses.
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