Zika fever, considered as an emerging disease of arboviral origin, because of its expanding geographic area, is known as a benign infection usually presenting as an influenza-like illness with cutaneous rash. So far, Zika virus infection has never led to hospitalisation. We describe the first case of Guillain–Barré syndrome (GBS) occurring immediately after a Zika virus infection, during the current Zika and type 1 and 3 dengue fever co-epidemics in French Polynesia.

We report on a French Polynesian patient presenting a Zika virus (ZIKA) infection complicated by Guillain–Barré syndrome (GBS).

Clinical description

In November 2013, a Polynesian woman in her early 40s, with no past medical history with the exception of acute articular rheumatism, was hospitalised in our institution for neurological deficits. She had been evaluated one day before (Day 0: onset of neurological disorders) at the emergency department for paraesthesia of the four limb extremities and discharged. At Day 1, she was admitted to the department of neurology through the emergency department because paraesthesia had evolved into ascendant muscular weakness suggestive of GBS. At Day 3, she developed a tetraparesis predominant in the lower limbs, with paraesthesia of the extremities, diffuse myalgia, and a bilateral but asymmetric peripheral facial palsy. Deep tendon reflexes were abolished. There was no respiratory nor deglutition disorders. The patient developed chest pain related to a sustained ventricular tachycardia, and orthostatic hypotension, both suggestive of dysautonomia. The echocardiography was normal, without signs of pericarditis or myocarditis. The electromyogram confirmed a diffuse demyelinating disorder, with elevated distal motor latency, elongated F-wave, conduction block and acute denervation, without axonal abnormalities. The administration of intravenous polyvalent immunoglobulin (0.4 g/kg/day for 5 days) allowed a favourable evolution, with no respiratory impairment necessitating tracheotomy or intensive care unit monitoring, and the patient was discharged home at Day 13. Paraparesis persisted after the end of hospitalisation, that imposed the use of a walking frame, and the facial palsy slowly disappeared. At Day 40, she was able to walk without help and had a satisfying muscular strength score of 85/100.

Retrospectively, anamnestic data revealed that she had suffered from an influenza-like syndrome at Day – 7, with myalgia, febricula, cutaneous rash, and conjunctivitis. Because an epidemic of Zika fever, which is still ongoing [1], had begun a few weeks prior to the patient presenting this syndrome, Zika fever was suspected.

Laboratory analysis

Laboratory findings showed no inflammatory syndrome and the blood count was normal. A twofold increase in transaminase level was observed. The analysis of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) disclosed an albuminocytological dissociation with 1.66 g/L proteins (norm: 0.28–0.52) and 7 white cells/mL (norm<10). Glycorrhachia was normal at 0.60 g/L. Usual aetiologies of GBS were eliminated: serological tests for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), hepatitis B and C, Campylobacter jejuni and Leptospira were negative; and serological tests for cytomegalovirus, Epstein–Barr virus, and herpes simplex virus type 1 and 2 concluded to resolute infections.

Direct detection of dengue virus (DENV) by non-structural protein 1 (NS1) antigen (SD Bioline Dengue NS1 Ag
ELISA, ALERE Australia) and reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) [2], and ZIKA by RT-PCR [3], were negative on blood samples eight days after the beginning of influenza-like symptoms (corresponding to Day 1), prior to the administration of intravenous immunoglobulin. Blood samples taken at eight and 28 days after the beginning of the influenza-like syndrome were both positive for ZIKA-specific IgM and ZIKA- and DENV-specific IgG, assessed by in-house enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (in-house IgM antibody capture (MAC) enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)) and indirect IgG ELISA using inactivated antigen). On the last serum specimen sampled 28 days after the onset of influenza like syndrome, antibody specificity was determined by plaque reduction neutralisation test (PRNT) against serotype 1 to 4 DENV (DENV1–4) and ZIKA. A 90% neutralisation titre >1/320 for DENV1, 1/80 for DENV2, >1/320 for DENV3, 1/20 for DENV4 and >1/320 for ZIKA confirmed that neutralising antibodies against ZIKA and the four DENV serotypes were present in the sera of the patient. These serological analyses indicated a recent infection by ZIKA, and argued for resolute infections by DENV1–4.

**Background on Zika virus infections**

Discovered in 1947 in the Zika forest in Uganda, ZIKA is an arbovirus of the flavivirus genus belonging to the *flaviviridae* family, as dengue, yellow fever, Japanese encephalitis, West Nile, and Saint-Louis encephalitis viruses. First human cases of ZIKA infection were described in the 1960s, first in Africa, then in southeast Asia [4-6]. Until 2007 when a large epidemic was described in Yap (Micronesia) [7], ZIKA infections remained limited to sporadic cases or small-scale epidemics. During the epidemic in Yap, three quarters of the local population are estimated to have been infected [7]. The expanding distribution area of ZIKA infection from cross-reactions due to former infections [8] can be observed in the sera of the patient. These serological analyses indicated a recent infection by ZIKA, and argued for resolute infections by DENV1–4.

The real incidence of Zika fever is unknown, due to clinical manifestations mimicking dengue virus infection, and to lack of simple reliable laboratory diagnostic tests. In endemic areas, epidemiological studies showed a high prevalence of antibodies against ZIKA [9,10]. For instance, Yap’s epidemic in 2007 resulted in an attack rate of 14.6/1,000 inhabitants and a seroprevalence of 75% after the epidemic. However, this prevalence is certainly overestimated, due to cross-reaction between antibodies directed against ZIKA and other arboviruses such as DENV [3,11].

Like other arboviral diseases, ZIKA is transmitted by arthropods, mainly involving vectors of the *Aedes* genus, as ZIKA was isolated from numerous species of *Aedes* mosquitoes in different parts of the world [12-14]. Interestingly, since the first description of *Ae. albopictus* as a potential vector of ZIKA in 2007 by Wong et al., other reports have suggested that the rapid worldwide expansion of this vector could be responsible for the emergence of new ZIKA infection epidemics, including in urban areas [15,16]. Based on epidemiological evidence, *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. polynesiensis* are suspected to be the vectors for the ongoing French Polynesia’s epidemic (data not shown). The abundance of competent vectors in the Pacific areas and air travel of viraemic individuals between Pacific island countries and territories are very likely to account for the expansion of ZIKA in this part of the world.

Infection is reported to be symptomatic in 18% of cases only [7]. When symptomatic, ZIKA infection usually presents as an influenza-like syndrome, often mistaken with other arboviral infections like dengue or chikungunya. The typical form of the disease associates a low-grade fever (between 37.8°C and 38.5°C), arthralgia, notably of small joints of hands and feet, with possible swollen joints, myalgia, headache, retroocular headaches, conjunctivitis, and cutaneous maculopapular rash. Digestive troubles (abdominal pain, diarrhoea, constipation), mucous membrane ulcers (aphthae), and pruritus can be more rarely observed. A post-infection asthenia seems to be frequent [5,7,17].

Confirmed diagnosis is given by RT-PCR, which specifically detects the virus during viraemia [3]. In-house ELISA serological tests can testify the presence of ZIKA IgM and flaviviruses IgG, whereby specificity is determined by seroneutralisation.

**Discussion and conclusion**

During this ongoing Zika fever outbreak in French Polynesia, we report the first case of GBS developing seven days after an influenza-like illness evoking ZIKA infection. Based on IgM/IgG serological results and PRNT which, according to our experience, is reliable and specific enough to differentiate a recent ZIKA infection from cross-reactions due to former infections to DENV, we believe that this is the first case of hospitalisation because of a severe ZIKA infection.

Since the beginning of this epidemic, and as up to 8,200 cases of ZIKA infection have already been reported of a 268,000 total population, the incidence of GBS has been multiplied by 20 in French Polynesia (data not shown), raising the assumption of a potential implication of ZIKA.

Underlying physiopathological mechanisms of Zika-related GBS is unknown, and could be of immunological origin as described with other infectious agents [18]. There is also no explanation for the emergence of this previously undescribed complication, which could lie in a genetic evolution of the virus to a more pathogenic genotype, or a particular susceptibility in the Polynesian population.

As suggested by DENV and ZIKA serological tests in our patient, the simultaneous epidemics of type 1 and 3 dengue fever may also be a predisposing factor.
for developing GBS during Zika fever, as DENV infection had also been associated with GBS [19,20]. Our patient, like part of others who also presented a GBS, harboured serological markers of resolute dengue and recent ZIKA infections. This raises the hypothesis of a sequential arboviral immune stimulation responsible for such unusual clustering of GBS cases during concurrent circulation of ZIKA and two dengue serotypes. The risk of developing GBS would be consequently underlain by a specific sequence of DENV and ZIKA infections.

Therefore in endemic areas, clinician should be aware of the risk of diffuse demyelinating disorder in case of ZIKA infection.
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