Preparing for imported Ebola cases in Israel, 2014 to 2015
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During the current outbreak of Ebola virus disease (EVD) in West Africa, preventing exportation of the disease posed many challenges for economically more developed countries. In Israel, although the risk of importing single cases was assumed to be low, the implications of local transmission were great. This article describes the EVD preparedness plan of the Israeli Ministry of Health. Key elements were a sensitive case definition, designation of a single treatment centre for suspected and confirmed cases, construction of a mobile unit using customised negative-pressure tents and a vigorous national training programme. There were no patients with EVD in Israel, but a few suspected cases were assessed. The Israeli plan may provide a template for emergency infectious disease response in other geographically small countries.

Response planning
The Israeli preparedness plan for EVD was developed and executed by the Israeli Ministry of Health (MOH), with advice from the Epidemic Management Team (EMT), a multi-disciplinary task force supporting decision making about biothreats comprising members from every relevant health profession and other organisations. The EMT adheres to the international guidelines of the World Health Organization (WHO), the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) in the US and the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). Published lessons, data and cases from West Africa were tracked, and expert teams were appointed to advise the EMT on specific problems. The MOH rapidly issued regulations granting its Director General special powers in dealing with potential EVD cases, including the right to enforce examination and to monitor, isolate, quarantine and treat suspected patients and their contacts.

Media communication was coordinated proactively by the MOH headquarters. Overall, the media and the public were accepting of the government measures.

Risk assessment
An assessment of the potential for international spread of EVD through routine travel from the three affected countries estimated an average of 2.8 exported cases globally per month [10]. Given that there was little direct communication and no direct flight routes between Israel and the countries affected by EVD, the probability of cases originating from returning citizens or travelling foreigners was assumed to be very low. A higher risk was anticipated were the epidemic to spread to other African countries that have closer ties with Israel. The possibility of disease in an Israeli healthcare worker (HCW) returning from an Ebola-affected area was considered, but no restrictions were
placed on Israeli volunteers. Very few Israeli volunteer HCWs reported treating of EVD patients directly, and an estimated 50 Israeli aid workers participated in logistical and psychosocial support missions without direct contact with patients.

Even in the three affected countries, with poor hygienic conditions and healthcare infrastructure, the reported level of contagiousness of EVD was not high, with mean basic reproduction rates (R0) ranging from 1.71 to 2.02 in Guinea, Sierra Leone and Liberia [11]. Therefore, a very limited number of secondary cases was expected, especially if stringent infection control protocols and active surveillance of incoming travellers were implemented. With these considerations, the MOH directive was designed to prepare for up to four patients with EVD simultaneously (one or two imported patients and up to two secondary cases).

**Case definition**

Case definitions of suspected EVD developed over time and varied by country [12,13]. Owing to the small number of potential cases expected in Israel, a relatively sensitive suspected case definition was selected: any person who presented with a fever of 38 °C or more and had visited a country with widespread EVD transmission within 21 days before symptom onset [14]. Confirmed cases were defined by a positive PCR test for Ebola virus. Suspected cases were designated as such only on approval of the public health services (PHS), mandating early national involvement in every single case. Entry screening was implemented, with 21-day follow-up for all travellers arriving from endemic countries. The MOH guidelines called for the exclusion of other common causes of fever in returning travellers, especially life-threatening diseases such as malaria. This was to be done only in the designated Ebola centre.

**Infection control**

The principle route of EVD transmission is contact with contaminated body fluids, primarily vomit, faeces and blood [15,16]. Epidemiological data do not support airborne transmission, and secondary cases have been described almost exclusively among household contacts and persons in direct contact with patients. Nevertheless, it was argued by some that airborne transmission may occur [17], and the WHO and the CDC recommend that HCWs apply airborne precautions during aerosol-generating procedures such as intubation and suctioning, and in events of spillage of contaminated excretions [15,18]. A small number of animal studies have suggested airborne pig-to-primate and primate-to-primate transmission [19,20]. Furthermore, owing to their stability in aerosols, filoviruses are considered a potential category A biological weapon [21-23]. Therefore, the MOH incorporated the universal recommendations for airborne precautions, including placement of the patient in negative-pressure isolation rooms, and use of N95 (FFP3) respirators by caregivers, simplifying protection recommendations and avoiding accidental airborne exposures.

Personal protective equipment (PPE) for caregivers was specified and purchased centrally by the MOH and distributed to all acute care hospitals in the country and other relevant caregivers. The overall cost for PPE and additional supplies for infection control was estimated.
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Therefore, a portable, modular, free-standing isola-
tion unit was constructed using customised negative-
pressure tents (IsoArk, Beth-El Industries Ltd, Zikhron
Yaakov, Israel). The tent, originally designed in 2003
for the isolation of patients infected with the airborne
severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) virus, con-
tains an anteroom for safe passage of staff and equip-
ment and a room used as an intensive care unit. In
order to adapt the unit to EVD patients, a ‘wet’ room
with running water and a toilet was added. Airflow is
unidirectional, and an external decontamination sys-
tem inactivates drained liquid waste (Figure 1 and
Figure 2).

Allocation of roles within the Israeli health system
The preparedness plan involved all components of the
Israeli health system. Because of the limited time avail-
able to train staff and ensure the highest infection con-
trals except the ETC, which was equipped for a lengthy
hospitalisation.

Magen David Adom (MDA) is the main emergency trans-
port service in Israel, with extensive experience in all
types of emergency evacuations. MDA designated and
trained specific teams for each district as the sole per-
sonnel authorised to transport patients with suspected
or confirmed EVD. The teams used a different PPE from
other caregivers, with advanced chemical and biologi-
cal protection including powered air purifying respira-
tors (PAPR), because they were already familiar with
this equipment. They were further equipped with nega-
tive-pressure patient transport units.

Patient samples were tested for EVD by PCR at a
national-level high-safety laboratory. A detailed proto-
col for the safe collection, packaging and transport of
specimens was issued [14].

Travellers from affected countries arriving in Israel were
identified at air and sea borders both voluntarily, using
informative posters and leaflets, and by border control
officers reviewing passport logs. Travellers’ tempera-
tures were taken with a non-touch thermometer by per-
nonel wearing gloves and a face shield. Symptomatic
travellers were to be interviewed by airport medical
staff and transported to the ETC. No symptomatic trav-
elers have so far been identified by these controls.
District public health offices were responsible for con-
ducting follow-up of asymptomatic travellers twice a
day for 21 days, and for epidemiological investigation
of symptomatic cases and contact tracing, in a similar
way as described by CDC [26]. Public health officers
were instructed to minimise physical contact with sus-
pected cases with the help of distance-enabling tech-
nologies (intercoms, video cameras, etc.).
The PHS were responsible for executing the preparedness plan on the national level, by distributing updated guideline to the medical community, border control, other emergency response organisations and the general population, and by enlisting professional advice and assistance from the EMT and experts around the country as needed [14].

Assessment of suspected cases in Israel
Between October 2014 and February 2015, 80 asymptomatic travellers were identified and followed; none of them developed symptoms. However, some travellers arriving from West Africa circumvented border screening by avoiding public health staff and presenting themselves using a passport with no documentation of presence in the affected countries. Three febrile patients were assessed for suspected EVD, one before and two after the national guidelines became available. The latter two had evaded border identification and hence were not actively followed by PHS before presenting to the hospital. All three tested negative for Ebola virus and were diagnosed with other infectious diseases.

Healthcare worker training
Maintaining HCW safety was a cornerstone of the preparedness plan. Events in Africa, the US and Spain indicated that even excellent PPE is worthless without effective donning and doffing techniques and patient care practices. Therefore, the MOH instigated a crash programme to familiarise HCWs with PPE and infection control principles. These measures were aimed at building up confidence among HCWs, alleviating stress and easing any reluctance to participate in EVD patient care. The main topics covered were risk assessment for occupational EVD exposure, stringent contact precautions, proper PPE donning and doffing and vital steps in dealing with a patient with suspected EVD. Ninety briefings for hospitals, law enforcement and border control personnel were held by MOH staff, aided by military personnel qualified in PPE instruction. Briefings were followed by hands-on training. Proficiency was tested in 30 surprise drills, conducted at least once in every acute care hospital. These short drills simulated the admission of a suspected patient to the emergency department, followed by rapid assessment and transportation to the ETC. MOH staff evaluated adherence to the national guidelines and infection control practices. Media representatives were actively invited to participate in order to emphasise publicly the preparation efforts.

Discussion
Epidemics require complex responses. Although there are many similarities in the response plans for different diseases, no one generic plan can answer all eventualities. The recent EVD epidemic was characterised by a high fatality rate, lack of effective treatment or vaccine, and unclear mode of transmission. All of these led to anxiety among the general public and the healthcare community. Accordingly, the level of preparation and precautions taken in countries outside Africa was unprecedented.

Israeli health authorities constructed a comprehensive preparedness programme in anticipation of EVD importation from Africa. Although the risk was perceived to be low, up to four patients including limited local transmission, the implications were profound. Several elements of the Israeli response were unique to the EVD epidemic: follow-up of inbound travellers, designation of specific transport teams and a single medical centre and the stringent application of PPE. Experience with a handful of suspected cases, later found to be negative, validated this exacting approach. Similar actions in the US and the United Kingdom later reinforced the designation of regional ETCs [27,28].

International infection control recommendations call for extreme precautions to prevent contact transmission of EVD and for some airborne precautions during aerosol-generating procedures. According to the WHO, solid waste needs to be burned or buried and liquid waste drained into the general sewage [15]. Nevertheless, most countries in the developed world implemented even stricter procedures during the EVD epidemic, such as use of PAPR, isolation in negative-pressure rooms, and chemical or physical inactivation of any waste. Some countries relied on existing high-level isolation units (HLIU) [29-32], but others, including Israel, had no such capability [33]. Much of the isolation infrastructure in Israel was developed as part of the response to SARS, smallpox and other airborne diseases and was not optimally suited to the typical EVD patient who produces large amounts of liquid and solid waste. In addition, hospital administrators were reluctant to have patients with EVD on the same ward as other patients. As construction of a HLIU was impossible in the existing time frame, the MOH decided to construct a free-standing negative-pressure isolation unit using customised tents, combined with appropriate sanitary and waste disposal facilities. In this manner, patients could be maintained in a highly secluded area within reach of a hospital yet far from hospital personnel and the public. This approach proved practical, easy to develop and relatively inexpensive compared with HLIU construction. The MOH is currently contemplating the construction of a HLIU in Israel; until then, the ETC serves as a practical solution for the treatment of future patients with highly contagious and hazardous diseases.

Even with traveller surveillance and guidance, unexpected cases of EVD might present at any emergency department. Thus, the MOH found it necessary to prepare every hospital in the country. Prompted by findings from Europe that only 16% of hospitals that were not intended to admit EVD patients, and 46% of all admitting hospitals had undergone preparatory exercises [29], the MOH included a vigorous educational campaign in its plan. The programme included educational sessions, combined with hands-on practice and
surprise drills, and was successfully delivered to all acute-care hospitals in Israel within a few weeks.

**Conclusion**

In summary, we describe the preparedness programme of the Israeli MOH in response to the threat of EVD importation during the 2014–15 epidemic in West Africa. Although no patient was diagnosed with EVD in Israel, training and treatment of suspected patients showed that the plan was effective and manageable. A national protocol that relies on one specialised treatment unit, together with a moderately low-cost and rapidly constructed isolation facility, enabled a high level of care under significant economic constraints. Israel’s programme may provide a template for emergency infectious disease response in other geographically small countries.
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