To the editor: We concur with Anderson et al. [1] that estimates of the coverage of the protection elicited by novel meningococcal protein vaccine formulations are dependent on knowledge of cross-reactivity. Indeed, we stressed this point in our penultimate paragraph of our article [2], where we referenced the surrogate assays developed by two companies to address this issue for their particular formulations.

For this reason, in our report [2] we were careful to discuss *exact peptide matches* and not *strain coverage* of any formulation. As Anderson and colleagues rightly state, in the absence of Phase III trial data, knowledge of the actual strain coverage of any particular commercial formulation will require post-implementation surveillance information. It remains an especial concern, however, that the greatest uncertainty concerning the cross-reactivity of these vaccines is in infants (those under 18 months of age), the age group most at risk of meningococcal disease in Europe.
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