We describe an outbreak of *Burkholderia stabilis* associated with contaminated washing gloves, a commercially available Class I medical device. Triggered by an increase in *Burkholderia cepacia* complex (BCC) bacteraemias and the detection of BCC in unopened packages of washing gloves, an ad hoc national outbreak committee comprising representatives of a public health organisation, a regulatory agency, and an expert association convened and commissioned an outbreak investigation. The investigation included retrospective case finding across Switzerland and whole genome sequencing (WGS) of isolates from cases and gloves. The investigation revealed that BCC were detected in clinical samples of 46 cases aged 17 to 91 years (33% females) from nine institutions between May 2015 and August 2016. Twenty-two isolates from case patients and 16 from washing gloves underwent WGS. All available outbreak isolates clustered within a span of 19 differing alleles, while 13 unrelated clinical isolates differed by >1,500 alleles. This BCC outbreak was rapidly identified, communicated, investigated and halted by an ad hoc collaboration of multiple stakeholders. WGS served as useful tool for confirming the source of the outbreak. This outbreak also highlights current regulatory limitations regarding Class I medical devices and the usefulness of a nationally coordinated outbreak response.

**Introduction**

Medical devices are defined as any instrument, apparatus, appliance, material or other article to be used on human beings for diagnosis, prevention, monitoring or alleviation of disease. In Europe, medical devices are classified by the potential risk they pose to the health of patients, users or third parties [1,2]. The underlying rationale for this risk-based system is to direct the most rigorous conformity assessment procedures to those products conferring the greatest risk [1,2]. Class I medical devices, e.g. non-sterile products that only come into contact with intact skin [1], represent the lowest risk category and conformity assessment is left to the responsibility of the manufacturer [3]. If a Class I device conforms with the Medical Devices Directive it can then be placed on the market in the entire European Economic Area, Turkey and Switzerland [3,4]. Only a few outbreaks have been traced to Class I medical devices contaminated at the site of their production [5,6].

Bacteria belonging to the *Burkholderia cepacia* complex (BCC) are ubiquitous in the environment and survive with minimal nutritional requirements. These bacteria may cause difficult-to-treat lung infections, for example, in cystic fibrosis patients [7], and outbreaks in the hospital setting [8,9] are often linked to contaminated products used in patient care. Specifically, reports have been published on outbreaks caused by medical devices [10], ultrasound gel [11], environmental contamination [12,13], inhaled products [14-16], parenteral solutions [17-21], disinfectants [22-24], lubricants for urinary catheterization [25], mouthwash [26-30],
laxatives [31], products for external use such as moisturizing cream [32,33] and washcloths [6,34].

Here, we present data on an outbreak with *B. stabilis*, a member of the BCC and associated with contaminated washing gloves, a Class I medical device. These washing gloves are commercially available packaged products that are usually acquired by healthcare institutions and intended for whole body washing of bedridden patients.

We also describe the response to the outbreak by an interdisciplinary group of stakeholders, including a public health organisation, a regulatory agency, an expert association and a professional society. Finally, we discuss regulatory and administrative issues limiting efficient and thorough national or even international investigation of an outbreak with a Class I medical device.

The event

The initial cluster of nosocomial BCC infections was detected in April and May 2016 in a 270-bed regional hospital in the canton of Bern, Switzerland. The index patient was an adult in their 70s with a central venous catheter-associated bloodstream infection due to BCC, as diagnosed on 5 April 2016 when she was in the intensive care unit (ICU). The striking feature of this first case was the occurrence of bacteraemia only two days after admission to the hospital and only 2 days after placement of a central venous catheter. A second case of bloodstream infection with BCC occurred two weeks later in an adult in their 60s with a prolonged stay in the same ICU. These two cases triggered a local outbreak investigation that could not identify the source of the infection. The outbreak continued within the same ICU, with third and fourth bacteraemia cases until 11 May 2016.

On 26 April 2016 a first sampling assessed ultrasound gel and the hospital environment (computer...
On 13 May 2016, a second negative sampling included local anaesthesia medication and chlorhexidine alcohol used for preparation of central vein access. Eventually, on 27 May 2016, BCC were discovered in a package of disinfectant-free washing gloves (Sinaqua, Welcare, Italy). These gloves come in different formats (gloves or wipes), and are pre-moisturised. They may also contain chlorhexidine 2%. The gloves implicated here are disinfectant free but contain benzalkonium chloride 0.1% as a preservative agent. On 27 May 2016, the Swiss
Agency for Therapeutic Products (Swissmedic) and the Swiss distributor of the washing gloves GD Medical (Freienbach, Switzerland) were informed of these findings. Swissmedic acted within the legal framework and did not impose an immediate sales stop. Shortly thereafter, in June 2016, Bern University Hospital identified a series of patients in whom BCC were detected. Washing glove contamination with BCC, which had been identified as the possible source for infections in the regional hospital, was subsequently also found in Bern on 24 June 2016 following four cases with the same pathogen and exposure to the same gloves from the same manufacturer. On 27 June 2016, Swissmedic ordered an immediate sales stop of the product.

Methods

Organisation of the national outbreak investigation

The Swiss Centre for Antibiotic resistance (Anresis) [35] was contacted on 5 July 2016 and commissioned to search their database for non-duplicate B. cepacia isolates for the time period from January 2015 to June 2016. Anresis has previously been described in detail [36]. In brief, Anresis prospectively collects routine antibiotic resistance data from 20 clinical microbiology laboratories evenly distributed across Switzerland, representing at least 70% of annual hospitalisation days in Switzerland. Most of the laboratories gather data from multiple hospitals, ranging from primary-care to tertiary-care institutions. Anresis collects data both from inpatients and outpatients. Unpaired t-tests were used to compare monthly numbers of new cases of B. cepacia isolates between 2015 and 2016.

On 7 July 2016 as a result of the confirmation that the gloves were involved, a meeting between representatives of Swissmedic, the Federal Office of Public Health (FOPH) and Swissnoso (the Swiss National Center for Infection Prevention) was held. The infection control unit of Bern University Hospital was mandated to conduct the national outbreak investigation.

### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>All Cases (n = 46)</th>
<th>Bloodstream infections (n = 14)</th>
<th>Non-bloodstream infections (n = 8)</th>
<th>Colonisation (n = 24)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>(67)</td>
<td>(71)</td>
<td>(69)</td>
<td>(60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit where contact with Sinaqua occurred</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-ICU medicine</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-ICU surgery</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical outcome b</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No clinical impact</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution after antimicrobial treatment</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolonged hospitalisation</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU admission</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimicrobial treatment</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broad-spectrum beta-lactam or third/fourth generation cephalosporin</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbenepen</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimethoprim/Sulphamethoxazol</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 1 therapeutic agent</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case isolates available for whole genome sequencing</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolate belongs to the outbreak cluster</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICU: intensive care unit.

Non-bloodstream infections included five respiratory tract infections, one wound infection, one urinary tract infection and one case of peritonitis.

Only one clinical outcome (worst) per case reported on.

Does not include the ‘post-outbreak’ case that also underwent whole genome sequencing.
Shortly thereafter on 18 July 2017, the Swiss Society for Infectious Diseases (SSI) sent an alert to all members concerning the contaminated product. Genotyping and sequencing of the isolates was assigned to the Division of Clinical Microbiology at the University Hospital Basel.

**Epidemiologic investigation**

Healthcare institutions in Switzerland that ordered any Sinaqua products were identified via a list provided by the Swiss distributor. A case report form and a product report form (see Supplementary Materials [37]) were sent by email on 22 July 2016 to the identified institutions. The email was sent to the following recipients, in descending order, according to their availability in the institutions: hospital epidemiologist or director of infection prevention, chief of the infectious diseases division, or chief of internal medicine. A reminder email containing preliminary results was sent out on 29 August 2016.

**Case definition, patient and product reporting forms**

A case was defined as a patient with a BCC isolate from a clinical specimen obtained since 1 January 2015, and likely clinical contact with Sinaqua Dermal Glove. Only the first non-duplicate isolate was considered. The case report form collected data on patient characteristics, sampling method, storage of clinical BCC isolates for further analyses, product testing for contamination and the corresponding results, details regarding individual patient contact with Sinaqua Dermal Glove, and patient outcomes. The product report form collected data on different Sinaqua products used within the healthcare institutions, the departments where these were used, microbiological tests on the products and the corresponding results, the date the institution became aware of the washing glove contamination, and the delay until complete withdrawal of the washing glove product.

**International alert**

The European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) was informed about the outbreak on 22 September 2016. An urgent inquiry related to this *Burkholderia* outbreak was posted on the Epidemic Intelligence Information System for antimicrobial resistance and healthcare-associated infections (EPIS AMR-HAI), a platform where nominated public health experts across the EU can exchange technical information.

**Microbiological investigation and whole genome sequencing**

Evaluation of Sinaqua products (washing glove, wipes, shampoo caps) was performed in several Swiss microbiological laboratories capable of processing environmental samples. If available, data on the product, the lot number, information on whether a package was sealed or had been opened before, and culture results were collected. This data was in addition to what we received via the questionnaire. A descriptive analysis of the results was performed.

DNA extracted from selected strains (22 clinical isolates, 16 glove isolates and 13 unrelated clinical isolates, see Supplementary Materials [37]) underwent library preparation with the Nextera XT Library Preparation Kit (Illumina, San Diego, United States (US)) and sequencing with the MiSeq System (Illumina, San Diego, US), applying v3 2x300 bp chemistry. After read trimming, data was mapped against the reference genome of *B. cepacia* type strain ATCC 25416 (GenBank accession numbers NZ_CP012981–3) within CLC Genomics Workbench 9.5 (Qiagen, Venlo, the Netherlands) to determine genome coverage values [38]. Reads from isolate E provided a high coverage (>100x) and were selected for de novo assembly within CLC Genomics Workbench. Automated annotation of predicted coding sequences was performed with Prokka [39]. The assembled genome was used for species identification, with marker genes extracted and compared with earlier described species using BLASTn [40]. Molecular epidemiological investigations were carried out using core genome multilocus sequence typing (cgMLST) analysis with Ridom SeqSphere+ v3.2.1 (Ridom, Münster, Germany) [41]. Reads were quality trimmed to ≥ Q30 and mapped against the reference genome of *B. stabi- lis* type strain ATCC27515 BAA-67 (GenBank accession numbers CP016442–4) [42]. A minimum coverage of 10x was needed for mapping. To obtain maximum resolution in resolving the outbreak, all annotated genes were included for allele based typing in Ridom SeqSphere+. Read data for all samples sequenced has been submitted to the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) under project numbers PRJEB18658 and PRJEB19203.

**Results**

**Anresis database search**

The results of the Anresis query were available on 5 July 2016. It yielded a non-significant ($p = 0.43$) increase in non-duplicate *B. cepacia* isolates per month in Switzerland from 5.3/month in 2015 (total $n = 64$) to 7.2/month in the first six months of 2016 (total $n = 39$). The 39 isolates identified between 1 January and 5 June 2016 were distributed across eight of all 26 Swiss cantons. Neither age nor gender of the cases was reported by the Anresis query. The number of *B. cepacia* isolates from sterile sites increased non-significantly ($p = 0.38$) from 0.8/month in 2015 (total $n = 10$) to 2.2/month in the first six months of 2016 (total $n = 13$). Of note, there was a peak of six isolates from invasive cases in May 2016.

**Epidemiologic investigation**

According to the list from the Swiss distributor of the washing gloves, we identified and contacted 46 healthcare institutions that had purchased any Welcare products. Between 27 July and 21 November 2016 we received 19 responses from these institutions. Ten of 19 reported no cases and/or not using this product
any more. From the remaining nine institutions, we received report forms for 50 cases of BCC recovered after January 2015. These nine institutions were scattered across seven of 26 cantons and highly congruent with the cantons identified in the Anresis query, including locations in the eastern, central, northern and western part of the country. They included three of the five Swiss academic hospitals, as well as six midsize to large regional hospitals with 200 to 900 beds.

Of note, all nine institutions with cases employed a physician trained in infectious diseases; in six of these nine institutions, the infectious diseases physician had also undergone training in infection control.

Each of these nine institutions reported between one and nine cases each. After excluding four cases where contact with Sinaqua Dermal Glove was unlikely, a total of 46 cases were further evaluated. Cases were stratified according to the clinical diagnosis related to the recovered isolate. The epidemiologic curve of these cases, including mark-up of cases that underwent WGS, is shown in Figure 1. Patient characteristics, treatment and outcome data, stratified by the clinical diagnosis, and information as to whether the isolate belongs to the outbreak cluster defined by WGS are displayed in Table. The outbreak strain was susceptible to most antimicrobial agents with BCC activity and we opted not to report resistance data.

We received completed product report forms from nine institutions, of which eight reported cases. All eight of these institutions, of which eight reported cases. All eight of these institutions reported between one and nine cases each. After excluding four cases where contact with Sinaqua Dermal Glove was unlikely, a total of 46 cases were further evaluated. Cases were stratified according to the clinical diagnosis related to the recovered isolate. The epidemiologic curve of these cases, including mark-up of cases that underwent WGS, is shown in Figure 1. Patient characteristics, treatment and outcome data, stratified by the clinical diagnosis, and information as to whether the isolate belongs to the outbreak cluster defined by WGS are displayed in Table. The outbreak strain was susceptible to most antimicrobial agents with BCC activity and we opted not to report resistance data.

We received completed product report forms from nine institutions, of which eight reported cases. All eight of these institutions reported between one and nine cases each. After excluding four cases where contact with Sinaqua Dermal Glove was unlikely, a total of 46 cases were further evaluated. Cases were stratified according to the clinical diagnosis related to the recovered isolate. The epidemiologic curve of these cases, including mark-up of cases that underwent WGS, is shown in Figure 1. Patient characteristics, treatment and outcome data, stratified by the clinical diagnosis, and information as to whether the isolate belongs to the outbreak cluster defined by WGS are displayed in Table. The outbreak strain was susceptible to most antimicrobial agents with BCC activity and we opted not to report resistance data.

Microbiological investigation and whole genome sequencing

We received information on 31 Sinaqua product samples, from six different institutions and the Swiss distributor that had been evaluated for microbial contamination in five different microbiology laboratories. Of the 22 tests concerning the Sinaqua Dermal Glove, 18 tested positive for BCC. In four of the 18, *Serratia marcescens* was recovered in addition to BCC. The analysis included both packaged and opened products but information to make this distinction was often lacking. Also, one of three Sinaqua Dermal Wipes tested positive for BCC. An additional six reports concerned Sinaqua Shampoo Cap and Sinaqua Paediatrics; these products showed no contamination. The data including the lot numbers is summarised in the Supplementary Materials [37].

There were 22 of 46 isolates from patients meeting the case definition available for WGS (including one ‘post-outbreak’ case because of incomplete withdrawal of the washing gloves), as well as 16 isolates from washing gloves. The genome of outbreak isolate *E* (see Supplementary Materials [37]) was assembled from WGS reads, resulting in a genome draft of 8,300,288 bp across 129 contigs. Marker genes for phylogenetic identification of the species were extracted from the automated assembly and compared against the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database [43]. The outbreak strain was identified as *B. stabilis*, best matching *B. stabilis* ATCC BAA-67 according to recA (1,065/1,071 identical bp; 99.4%), rpoB (4,090/4,107 bp; 99.6%) and gyrA (2,543/2,556 bp; 99.5%). Identification using the 16S rRNA gene was less discriminatory, with many species within the BCC sharing over 99% identity, and both *B. stabilis* ATCC BAA-67 and *B. pyrrhocina* strain DSM 10685 providing best matches (1,520/1,521 bp; 99.9%). Based on 7,521 predicted coding sequences, cgMLST analysis was performed. Outbreak strains clustered together and differed from each other by a maximum of 18 alleles within the 7,521 coding sequences (Figure 2). The fourteen unrelated *Burkholderia* strains (including the ATCC25416 reference strain) sequenced as a part of this project were separated by more than 1,500 alleles from outbreak-associated isolates, as was the *B. stabilis* reference strain ATCC BAA-67. No clustering of strains according to infection or colonisation was observed (Figure 2).

**Discussion**

We present data on a nationwide outbreak of *B. stabilis* associated with contaminated washing gloves that led to the identification of 46 patients fulfilling the case definition. Among these 46 cases, half were classified as colonisation, while the other half had either a bloodstream or any other infection. The distribution of clinical diagnoses is in line with similar BCC-related outbreaks that were due to contaminated washcloths [6,34]. This association and the widespread availability of these gloves in ICUs could indicate a possible application of the washing glove in central venous catheter care. This, in turn, may have resulted in BCC catheter-related bloodstream infections. Similar to other outbreaks, many items in the patient environment were tested before identifying the contaminated gloves as source of the outbreak (data not shown).

Earlier studies in clinical microbiological diagnostics have shown that the sequence of the 16S rRNA gene is insufficient to define species within the BCC [44,45]. The molecular epidemiological investigation using cgMLST demonstrated limited genomic diversity (up to 18 allelic differences) among the outbreak isolates. This result points to a highly clonal source, which was most likely the manufacturing site. The isolates from patients and washing gloves were interspersed in the minimal spanning tree, indicating that this diversity was already present at the source of the contamination...
This national outbreak highlighted that no single entity in Switzerland was yet entrusted with coordinating such a complex outbreak response. It was only because of the study team noticing detections scattered across multiple cantons that a nationwide outbreak investigation was deemed necessary. Anresis provided an initial search and delineated the trend of increasing B. cepacia detections. This was the first time that the Anresis database was used as a supporting tool during an outbreak investigation. The results from the Anresis query demonstrated the importance of a common microbiology laboratory database readily available for access. Our report further suggests that enhancing the Anresis database by adding automated pattern recognition would be an asset to future outbreak investigations.

Swissmedic published a product recall alert on 27 June 2016 and the Swiss distributor sent a letter to customers informing them that all products should be returned to them pending further investigation. The manufacturing company (located in Italy) declared on 25 August 2016 that they had detected the source of contamination in a tube leading to the assembly line, but this was not confirmed by an independent regulatory body and no bacterial isolates from the factory site were available for comparison. Nevertheless, the high genomic relatedness encountered in isolates from both gloves and patients points to the source being most likely the production line. With the recall alert from Swissmedic, the letter from the gloves’ Swiss distributor and a switch to alternative washing gloves, the outbreak was rapidly terminated in August 2016; only one additional case occurred in October 2016 due to incomplete withdrawal of the contaminated product. A follow-up Anresis query on 20 February 2017 documented the decrease in BCC bacteremias to baseline levels after July 2016 and as of November 2017, no additional cases have been reported. We were unable to confirm any cases by WGS that had occurred before March 2016, and the Anresis query did not yield elevated BCC bacteraemia rates before March 2016. We therefore felt that looking back to January 2015 was sufficient. Also, the course of the epicurve makes earlier cases unlikely. Nevertheless, we cannot formally exclude cases that may have occurred before January 2015.

The ad hoc collaboration between the FOPH, Swissmedic and Swissnoso enabled a swift outbreak investigation. Eventually, a specific infection control unit at Bern University Hospital and a single clinical microbiology laboratory at Basel University Hospital were mandated, although not financially compensated, to conduct this investigation. A framework and reimbursement mechanism would have further streamlined the investigation and as a direct consequence of this outbreak, the FOPH mandated and funded Swissnoso to create and maintain a national outbreak investigation entity.

Swissmedic and its European counterparts occurred according to the regulatory framework [2,46]. Although Swissmedic announced the product withdrawal on their website and informed the EU’s national competent authorities for medical devices, these public withdrawal alerts may have been poorly visible to the end consumer. For this reason, we directly informed the ECDC on 22 September 2016, which, in response, posted an urgent inquiry on EPIS AMR-HAI. Although no cases were reported to the ECDC to date, we cannot exclude the possibility of additional cases linked to this product having occurred in other countries.

There were several limitations to this study. First, not all institutions identified via the distributor’s list responded to the inquiry. We noted that the nine responding institutions were rather large hospitals with a dedicated infectious diseases service. Second, contact with the washing glove was self-reported and therefore not necessarily accurate. Furthermore, not all isolates were genotyped, especially not those from non-sterile sites, and final proof that they all belong to the outbreak is thus lacking. The extent of the outbreak may also have been underestimated as gloves could have been used in other institutions or in the care of patients where no microbiological sampling was performed. For the outbreak investigation, we did not track infections due to S. marcescens, which were sporadically found in the contaminated washing gloves. Finally, because the microbiological evaluation of the washing gloves was sometimes performed on gloves from packages that were already opened or from packages where the status was not available, secondary contamination of the gloves could have been possible. However, the WGS results argue against multiple sources of contamination.

According to the regulatory framework in Switzerland and the EU, only the manufacturing company itself is responsible for taking internal corrective measures for medical Class I devices in response to notifications [2,3,47]. Current legislation does not require a control mechanism of the corrections by an independent regulatory body [2,3,47]. In the authors’ opinion, the processes and legal frameworks for recalling and re-introducing contaminated medical Class I devices should be reviewed in order to further ensure patient safety.
In conclusion, the outbreak was rapidly identified, investigated, communicated and terminated because of an ad hoc, interdisciplinary collaboration between partners at different levels. WGS was a helpful method to describe clonality of the outbreak. For the future, having a national outbreak investigation committee with different stakeholders promises to allow for a rapid outbreak response and independent quality control regulations for contaminated medical devices should be established.
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