Detection of plasmid-mediated tigecycline-resistant gene tet(X4) in *Escherichia coli* from pork, Sichuan and Shandong Provinces, China, February 2019
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The plasmid-mediated high-level tigecycline resistance gene, *tet*(X4), was detected in seven *Escherichia coli* isolates from pork in two Chinese provinces. Two isolates belonged to the epidemic spreading sequence type ST101. *Tet*(X4) was adjacent to ISVsa3 and concurrent with *floR* in all seven isolates. In addition to IncFIB, the replicon IncFII was found to be linked to *tet*(X4). This report follows a recent detection of *tet*(X3)/(X4) in *E. coli* from animals and humans in China.

It has been speculated that one in five resistant human infections are caused by antibiotic resistant bacteria originating from food and animals [1]. China is the world's biggest producer and consumer of pork and has trade links with many countries [2]. In May 2019, He et al. reported the finding of two transferable plasmid-mediated tigecycline resistance genes, *tet*(X3) and *tet*(X4) [3]. These genes were detected in numerous Enterobacteriaceae and *Acinetobacter* from animals and meat for consumption (chicken and pork) in three representative provinces of China located in different geographical areas, as well as from patients originating from 20 hospitals in 20 different cities of the country [3]. Both genes conferred clinically-significant levels of tigecycline resistance (minimum inhibitory concentration, MIC ≥ 32 mg/L) [3]; the tigecycline breakpoints for Enterobacteriaceae and *A. baumannii* are MIC ≥ 0.5 mg/L according to the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) [4]. The newly emerging, rapid and widespread dissemination of *tet*(X3) and *tet*(X4) illustrated a flux mediated by horizontal gene transfer representing a paradigm shift in tigecycline resistance, which until now had only been found to be spread by vertical transmission mechanisms [3]. This currently poses a further threat to public health, as the emergence of these transferable tigecycline resistance genes in food-producing animals could potentially lead to an increased risk of infection by strains harbouring these genes and treatment failure in humans [3].

*Escherichia coli* harbouring transferable *tet*(X4) obtained in this study

In this study, we sought bacteria harbouring the newly reported tigecycline resistance genes in two provinces in China using the method reported by He’s study [3]. Seven *tet*(X4) positive isolates (20.6%, 95% confidence interval (CI): 8.7–37.9), were recovered from 34 retail pork samples taken in Sichuan (8.7%, 2/23, 95%CI: 1.1–28.0) and Shandong (45.5%, 5/11, 95%CI: 16.7–76.6) Provinces in February, 2019. The *tet*(X4) sequences in all seven isolates were identical to those reported by He et al. [3]. No *tet*(X3) was detected. All isolates were identified as *Escherichia coli* by VITEK 2 and 16S rDNA-based sequencing. The MICs against tigecycline ranged from 16 to 32 mg/L, with all isolates expressing resistance to the majority of antimicrobial agents tested for in this study except meropenem (Table). All isolates were multidrug-resistant (MDR), in that they were resistant to three or more different classes of antimicrobials and two were confirmed as extended spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing (denoted as 2019XSD9 and 2019XSD11). S1-pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) profiling showed that...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolates</th>
<th>Serotypes</th>
<th>Sequence types</th>
<th>Antimicrobial resistance profiles</th>
<th>Tigecycline MIC (mg/L)</th>
<th>Additional resistance determinants identified by WGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019XSD6</td>
<td>O126:H2</td>
<td>ST101</td>
<td>AMP-CHL-SXT-TET-TGC</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>qnrS1, aadA2, bla*SIM, dfrA14, floR, inu(F), tet(X4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019XSD8</td>
<td>O5:H32</td>
<td>ST761</td>
<td>AMP-CHL-SXT-TET-TGC</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>qnrS1, bla*SIM, dfrA5, floR, mef(B), sul3, tet(A), tet(M), tet(X4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019XSD9</td>
<td>O109:H40</td>
<td>ST101</td>
<td>AMP-CAZ-CHL-CTX-SXT-TET-TGC</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>aadA2, bla<em>SIM, floR, inu(F), strA, strB, sul2, bla</em>SIM, tet(X4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019XSD10</td>
<td>O126:H2</td>
<td>ST10</td>
<td>AMP-CHL-GEN-SXT-TET-TGC</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>qnrS1, aac(3)-IId, aadA2, aadA22, bla*SIM, dfrA12, erm(42), floR, mef(B), mph(A), sul3, tet(A), tet(X4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019XSD11</td>
<td>ONT:H25</td>
<td>ST847_similar</td>
<td>AMP-CAZ-CHL-CTX-TET-TGC</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>qnrS1, aadA22, bla*SIM, floR, fosA, strA, strB, sul2, tet(A), tet(X4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019XSC8</td>
<td>O5:H11</td>
<td>ST48</td>
<td>AMP-CHL-CIP-SXT-TET-TGC</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>qnrS2, aph(3’)-Ia, bla*SIM, floR, sul2, sul3, tet(A), tet(M), tet(X4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019XSC9</td>
<td>O5:H11</td>
<td>ST48</td>
<td>AMP-CHL-CIP-SXT-TET-TGC</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>qnrS2, aph(3’)-Ia, bla*SIM, floR, sul2, sul3, tet(A), tet(M), tet(X4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMP: ampicillin; CAZ: ceftazidime; CHL: chloramphenicol; CIP: ciprofloxacin; CTX: cefotaxime; GEN: gentamicin; MIC: minimum inhibitory concentration; SXT: trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole; TET: tetracycline; TGC: tigecycline; WGS: whole genome sequencing.

**Figure 1**
A heat-map showing the comparison of the *Escherichia coli* donors and the resultant transconjugants, characterised on the basis of their origins, plasmid profiles; ESBL-types; replicon types; susceptibility profiles and MIC of tigecycline, China, February 2019.
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AMP: ampicillin; CAZ: ceftazidime; CHL: chloramphenicol; CIP: ciprofloxacin; CTX: cefotaxime; ESBL: extended spectrum beta-lactamase; GEN: gentamicin; IMP: imipenem; MIC: minimum inhibitory concentration; SXT: trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole; TET: tetracycline; TGC: tigecycline.

The MIC for tigecycline in each group combination is also shown. In the first column, the letters ‘TC’ typify the transconjugants. Black and white squares denote the presence and absence, respectively of a particular feature.

\(^a\) The size of the plasmids was determined according to the S1-pulsed-field gel electrophoresis results.

\(^b\) The plasmid profile of 2019XSD11-TC2 is due to a fusion in the transconjugant of two plasmids originating from the donor strain (data not shown).
all seven isolates possessed multiple plasmids of differing sizes (Figure 1). Of the seven isolates, five successfully transferred the tet(X4)-mediated resistance phenotype via conjugation to E. coli J53 with transfer frequencies from $3.5 \times 10^{-6}$ to $2.7 \times 10^{-1}$ being recorded. The tet(X4) gene in transconjugants was confirmed by PCR. Each of the transconjugants had one or two plasmids ranging in size from ca 50- to 280-kbp (Figure 1). The MICs against tigecycline were re-assessed in these results suggest that tet(X4) could be captured by a range of mobile genetic elements circulating among bacterial strains (Figure 1), a scenario reminiscent of mcr-1 [6].}

**Discussion**

In this study, we detected tigecycline resistant E. coli (20.6%), positive for tet(X4), from pork in two provinces in China 2019. Most tet(X3) or tet(X4)-positive strains reported by He et al. also originated from pigs, and among bacteria positive for such genes, E. coli was the predominant species [3]. Taken together, the results suggest that E. coli strains positive for tet(X3) and tet(X4) might exhibit a broad geographical distribution having already spread in some areas of China. Interestingly, tet(X4) was located on various conjugative plasmids of diverse replicon types. These observations suggested that tet(X4) could be captured by a range of mobile genetic elements circulating among bacterial strains (Figure 1), a scenario reminiscent of mcr-1 [6].

In silico MLST analysis enabled us to identify two isolates belonging to ST101. This ST was reported earlier to be common among ESBL-producing E. coli recovered from meat products imported into the European Union (EU) from non-EU countries [7], a finding potentially suggesting that the international trade of food products, which is expanding, could present a route for dissemination of antibiotic-resistant food-borne pathogens. ST101 has been reported previously in 15 countries, and is also frequently associated with NDM-1 [8,9]. In this context, and given the finding of tet(X4)-positive ST101 E. coli in the current study, it is interesting to speculate that ST101 might represent a convenient and efficient way of spread for the tet(X4)-mediated resistance mechanism, thereby posing a serious challenge to public health.

The other two tet(X4)-positive isolates reported here (2019XSC8 and 2019XSC9) belonged to ST48. These
were cultured from two samples obtained at the same retail outlet on the same day in Sichuan province and had 20 hqSNPs difference. Given this difference, it is unlikely that the isolates originated from cross-contamination of meat products at the outlet. This could rather point to a possible origin by clone-like transmission either within a given live animal (if samples originated from the same pig) or among different pigs following cross-colonisation. Under the scenario of transmission within one single animal, the SNP-based genomic differences identified would suggest that intra-host variation [10] was stable. Colonisation/adaptation in the animal host, however, remains to be confirmed.

Tigecycline is not licensed for veterinary use. However, the production and use of tetracyclines are highest among all of the antimicrobial compounds in China [11,12]. It has been predicted that Tet(X) might become the most problematic future Tet determinant given its weak intrinsic tigecycline-resistance activity [13] concomitant with the historical selective pressure exerted by large scale use of earlier generations of this class of antibiotics.

Our findings demonstrate that high-level tigecycline resistant E. coli from pork harbouring the tet(X4) gene were associated with promiscuous plasmid types, resulting in a diverse range of clones. With international trade of food-producing animals and products derived from them, along with travel, tet(X4)-positive tigecycline resistant E. coli could represent the emergence of an additional antimicrobial mechanism of concern. Understanding the transmission mode of these genes allowed to active surveillance of plasmid-mediated tet(X3)/(X4) variants in bacteria based on a one health approach is urgently recommended to support improvements in infection control practices to limit its further dissemination.
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