On 16 September 2016, the World Health Organization confirmed a Rift Valley fever (RVF) outbreak in Niger. Epidemiological surveillance was reinforced among the French Armed Forces deployed in Niger and bordering countries: Chad, Mali and Burkina Faso. On 26 October, a probable case of RVF was reported in a service member sampled in Mali 3 weeks earlier. At the time the result was reported, the patient was on vacation on Martinique. An epidemiological investigation was conducted to confirm this case and identify other cases. Finally, the case was not confirmed, but three suspected cases of RVF were confirmed using serological and molecular testing. RVF viral RNA was detectable in whole blood for 57 and 67 days after onset of symptoms for two cases, although it was absent from plasma and serum. At the time of diagnosis, these cases had already returned from Mali to Europe. The infectivity of other arboviruses in whole blood has already been highlighted. That RVF virus has been detected in whole blood that long after the onset of symptoms (67 days) raises the question of its potential prolonged infectivity. Because of exposure to tropical infectious diseases during deployment, military populations could import emerging pathogens to Europe.

Background

Rift Valley fever (RVF) is an arbovirosis affecting both domestic and wild ruminants, especially sheep, cattle and goats, as well as humans (zoonosis) [1]. RVF is caused by a mosquito-borne virus of the family Phenuiviridae and genus Phlebovirus. Its impact can be considerable, with large outbreaks reported in the past: 200,000 human cases and 600 deaths in Egypt in 1976, and 230 deaths among 747 human cases in Sudan in 2007 and 2008 [2,3]. RVF virus (RVFV) is widespread in Africa, with spillover to the Comoros Archipelago (including Mayotte), Madagascar, Saudi Arabia and Yemen. So far, Europe has been free from active viral circulation. However, competent vectors have been identified in several European countries and RVFV introduction is a real concern [4].

Humans are mainly infected by contact with body fluids and tissues of infected animals (live or dead); dietary exposure is also suspected (e.g. raw or unpasteurised milk). They can also be infected by mosquito bites. Mosquitoes of the genus Aedes and Culex are considered to be the most competent vectors to transmit RVFV. The primary foci of RVF epidemics are mainly triggered by heavy rainfall episodes, when vectors are abundant [4-6]. RVF infection in humans is generally asymptomatic [7]. Symptomatic forms are mostly benign (dengue-like illness), occur after a 2–6-day incubation period and last less than a week [8,9]. However, severe forms may be observed, with complications such as encephalitis (up to 5% of cases, up to 60 days after the onset of symptoms), haemorrhagic fever (less than 1%) or retinitis (up to 20%) [10-12]. Viraemia of RVFV spans the acute febrile phase of the disease, i.e. 3 or 4 days [7].
The French Armed Forces (FAF) have been deployed in Africa’s Sahel region, including Niger, Mali, Chad and Burkina Faso, since 2014. Considering the risk of arboviral infections during such deployment, unexplained fever and dengue-like syndrome have been under mandatory epidemiological surveillance in the FAF since 2004. In addition, since January 2016, dried blood spot samples have been routinely collected on blotting paper for any service member presenting an undiagnosed fever. Collected samples are sent to the French National Reference Centre (CNR) for Arboviruses in Marseille, France, for serological testing and viral RNA detection.
On 16 September 2016, the World Health Organization confirmed an RVF epidemic on the Western Niger border with Mali, in the Tahoua region [13]. The outbreak occurred in the population of transhumant livestock farmers, with 399 human cases and 33 reported deaths [14]. An epizootic RVF outbreak was also reported among livestock during the same period [13]. This worrisome RVF epidemic in Niger led the French Military Health Service to enhance RVF prevention starting on 23 September 2016. Information about the disease was communicated to field military medical staff and service members. Contact with local animals and consumption of local animal products were strictly forbidden. A reminder of the epidemiological and microbiological surveillance procedures was given.

On 26 October 2016, the CNR for Arboviruses reported to the French Armed Forces Centre for Epidemiology and Public Health (CESPA) in Marseille the detection of RVFV RNA in one blotting paper blood sample using reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) [15]. The patient was a French service member deployed from June to October 2016 in a small village called Abeïbara, in the Kidal region in north-eastern Mali. Three weeks before the alert, on 6 October, during a short stay in Gao, Mali, he had presented a dengue-like illness that lasted 48 hours, without complications. A blood sample was taken on blotting paper on 7 October. He returned to France on 14 October after a 3-day stay on Crete, Greece, and went on leave on Martinique, French West Indies, on 22 October. By the time the alert was issued, most of the service members deployed in Abeïbara had returned to France and were on leave.

We report here the epidemiological and biological investigations conducted in order to confirm the described case, search for additional suspected cases and identify the RVFV exposure factors.

**Outbreak detection**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure during incubation period</th>
<th>Case 1</th>
<th>Case 2</th>
<th>Case 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Abeïbara</td>
<td>Abeïbara</td>
<td>Gao</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact with ruminants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct/touching</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect/proximity</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosquito bites</td>
<td>&gt;10 bites/day</td>
<td>1–2 bites/day</td>
<td>Not observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever&gt;38.5°C</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retro-orbital pain</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photophobia</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myalgia</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthralgia</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhoea</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of onset</td>
<td>12 Sep 2016</td>
<td>13 Sep 2016</td>
<td>05 Oct 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Abeïbara</td>
<td>Abeïbara</td>
<td>Gao</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>2 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locations after febrile stage</td>
<td>Crete, France, Ukraine</td>
<td>Crete, South of France</td>
<td>Mali</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVFV serology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample date</td>
<td>18 Nov 2016</td>
<td>03 Nov 2016</td>
<td>22 Mar 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results</td>
<td>IgM- and IgG-positive</td>
<td>IgM- and IgG-positive</td>
<td>IgM- and IgG-positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole blood</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day after onset</td>
<td>67 days</td>
<td>57 days</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semen</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viral sequence</td>
<td>683 nt</td>
<td>683 nt</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA: not available; RVFV: Rift Valley fever virus.

---

**Table 1**

Timeframe of confirmed Rift Valley fever cases after exposure in Mali, French Armed Forces, 2016–2017 (n = 3)
CHIKV: chikungunya virus; RVFV: Rift Valley fever virus; WNV: West Nile virus; ZKV: Zika virus.

A confirmed case was defined as a patient who had laboratory confirmation of a recent RVF infection.

Case finding

The second step was to identify suspected cases. They were defined as any French service member presenting fever ≥38.5°C (the threshold defined for fever in the FAF Epidemiological surveillance system, considering hot climate in tropical areas and physical activities during deployment), associated with headache or retro-orbital pains or arthralgia or myalgia or rash, between 1 September and 15 October 2016 in Mali. An extended search of suspected cases was initiated using data from various sources: epidemiological surveillance data focusing on dengue-like syndrome and unexplained fever, samples received by the CNR for Arboviruses, and the list of patients seen for fever provided by military medical staff deployed in Mali. For each suspected case, a medical doctor administered a face-to-face questionnaire and a venous blood sample was collected. The questionnaire included items about health events and exposure to RVF during military deployment and more precisely during the 10 days (enlarged incubation period) preceding any symptoms that could be related to RVF (isolated fever or dengue-like syndrome) (Supplement).

Cross-sectional study

The purpose of the third and last step was to identify cases of RVF who did not seek medical care and/or cases of asymptomatic RVF infection, and to estimate exposures to known RVF risk factors. A cross-sectional study was conducted among the 187 service members of the military contingent deployed in Abeïbara. This investigation took place in France on 16–17 November 2016, after the return of military units. The inclusion criterion was being a member of the contingent who was present in Abeïbara during September or October 2016 (end of the deployment of this contingent in Mali). For each subject included, the same anonymous questionnaire used for suspected cases was self-administered during a collective meeting, and whole blood and plasma were collected for viral diagnosis.

Microbiological investigations

All the microbiological investigations were performed by the French CNR for Arboviruses in Marseille, France. The criterion for a laboratory confirmation of a recent RVF infection was the detection of RVF RNA in any specimen and/or presence of RVFV IgM antibodies. Serological investigations were performed using MAC-ELISA as previously described [18] and indirect ELISA for IgG detection. Plasma from suspected cases and from subjects included in the cross-sectional survey were analysed with the following IgG/IgM serological tests:

### Table 2

Seroprevalence of arboviruses, symptoms and exposure to Rift Valley fever virus reported by the military contingent deployed in Abeïbara, Mali, in September and October 2016, French Armed Forces (n = 99)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant characteristics</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feeling feverish</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retro-orbital pain</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vision disorders</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthralgia</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myalgia</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhoea</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrospectively classified as suspected cases</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeking care for fever</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Methods

#### Epidemiological investigations

**Probable case confirmation**

Laboratory diagnosis confirmation of the initial reported case was the first step of the investigation, as the alert relied on a single RT-PCR assay performed on a dried blood sample. The patient was defined as a probable case. Considering that RVFV RNA has previously been detected up to 20 days after onset of illness in whole blood and up to 4 months after onset in semen [16,17], the patient, who was on leave in the French West Indies (Martinique) 20 days after onset of illness, was presumed to still be viraemic. The probability of RVFV being imported to Martinique, where competent vectors are abundantly present, was considered non-negligible. Therefore, the patient was urgently addressed to a local military health centre for clinical evaluation. Individual protection measures against mosquito bites were implemented. Blood samples were taken and sent to continental France for analysis.

A confirmed case was defined as a patient who had laboratory confirmation of a recent RVF infection.

**Cross-sectional study**

The purpose of the third and last step was to identify cases of RVF who did not seek medical care and/or cases of asymptomatic RVF infection, and to estimate exposures to known RVF risk factors. A cross-sectional study was conducted among the 187 service members of the military contingent deployed in Abeïbara. This investigation took place in France on 16–17 November 2016, after the return of military units. The inclusion criterion was being a member of the contingent who was present in Abeïbara during September or October 2016 (end of the deployment of this contingent in Mali). For each subject included, the same anonymous questionnaire used for suspected cases was self-administered during a collective meeting, and whole blood and plasma were collected for viral diagnosis.

**Microbiological investigations**

All the microbiological investigations were performed by the French CNR for Arboviruses in Marseille, France. The criterion for a laboratory confirmation of a recent RVF infection was the detection of RVF RNA in any specimen and/or presence of RVFV IgM antibodies. Serological investigations were performed using MAC-ELISA as previously described [18] and indirect ELISA for IgG detection. Plasma from suspected cases and from subjects included in the cross-sectional survey were analysed with the following IgG/IgM serological tests:

*CHIKV: chikungunya virus; RVFV: Rift Valley fever virus; WNV: West Nile virus; ZKV: Zika virus.*

* According to the definition of suspected cases: French service member who presented fever >38.5°C associated with headache or retro-orbital pains or arthralgia or myalgia or rash between 1 September and 15 October 2016.

* The implicated ruminants were goats, zebu cattle and, in rare cases, camels.
RVFV, dengue virus (DENV), West Nile virus (WNV), Zika virus (ZIKV) and chikungunya virus (CHIKV).

We screened for RVFV RNA in plasma, whole blood and semen when available, by RT-PCR using two different TaqMan assays targeting distinct viral genome sequences [15,19]. Sequencing and viral isolation on Vero and C6/36 cell lines were attempted for all PCR-positive blood samples.

**Ethical statement**

All participants of the cross-sectional study were volunteers and received information about the study and the disease. Each confirmed case received care adapted to their state of health provided by the French military health service. Informed and signed consent for the use of their data were obtained from each confirmed case. Considering the possibility of late complications for RVF (encephalitis) cases and according to French regulation in case of an outbreak with immediate public health threat (RVFV importation), no ethical approval was required.

**Results**

**Probable case investigation**

On 28 October, 2 days after the alert, the probable case was examined at a French military health centre on Martinique and was asymptomatic. In the interview, he did not recall any contact with live or dead animals but mentioned nocturnal mosquito bites. Temporary individual protection measures against mosquito bites were implemented during his stay in Martinique. Another blood sample collected one week later was PCR-negative as well as IgM- and IgG-negative for RVFV, therefore invalidating the case. The false positivity of this case can be attributed to a lack of PCR specificity carried out on a dried blood sample [15]. A second RT-PCR assay targeting a distinct RVFV sequence [19] was retrospectively performed on the first blotting paper and was negative. This RT-PCR was then implemented in the CNR laboratory in a systematic way.

According to the patient, several other military personnel of the Abeîbara contingent had presented fever and myalgia during the same period in Mali. A search of suspected cases was conducted based on his statements.

**Investigation of suspected cases**

No case of unexplained fever or dengue-like syndrome had been reported to the epidemiological surveillance by the FAF in Mali from September to early October 2016 (end of mission for the Abeîbara contingent). Active research identified six suspected RVF cases in Mali from September to October 2016. At the time of the alert, one was still deployed in Mali and five had completed their mission and returned to France after a 3-day stay in Crete, Greece. Of the latter, two stayed in France, and the three others were on leave in Ukraine, Ireland and Nepal (Figure). Finally, three of the six suspected cases were confirmed as RVF cases several weeks after the onset of symptoms (Figure).

**Biological and clinical features of the confirmed cases**

The first confirmed case (Case 1, Figure and Table 1) was a 28-year-old man, deployed in Mali from June to early October 2016. During the RVF incubation period, he stayed in Abeîbara, had several direct contacts with live ruminants (camels and goats), consumed goat meat purchased from a livestock farmer, and reported between one to two mosquito bites per day. On 12 September, he presented fever (39°C), headache with retro-orbital pain, myalgia, and photophobia. The symptoms resolved within 24–48 hours, without complications. The patient left Mali 24 days after the onset of symptoms, spent 3 days in Crete, several days in the south of France, then several weeks in Ukraine and returned to France in mid-November. On his return, he was hospitalised due to the persistence of intermittent headaches with photophobia. Meningoencephalitis was excluded in normal clinical examinations, cerebral magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with no signal abnormality and cerebrospinal fluid parameters. Ophthalmological examinations revealed normal visual acuity and two old peripheral lesions from a chorioretinal focus to the right eye, without any evolution 3 months later. Laboratory testing revealed the presence of IgM and IgG against RVFV. RT-PCRs were negative in serum, plasma and semen at 67 days after the onset of symptoms, but viral RNA was still detected in whole blood, which was confirmed by partial viral sequencing (derived 683 nt sequence GenBank: BankIt2148117 RVF41318 MH880842). It was not possible to obtain a larger sequence of viral RNA. In addition, viral isolation attempts on VERO and C6/36 cell lines remained unsuccessful. The patient also had positive *Toxoplasma gondii* serology (IgG without IgM), which may explain the chorioretinal scars.

The second case (Case 2, Figure, Table 1) was a 38-year-old man. He was deployed in Mali from June to the end of September 2016. During the incubation period, he stayed in Abeîbara and reported proximity with goats and camels without direct contact and more than 10 mosquito bites per day, occurring night and day. On 13 September, he presented fever (39°C) associated with headache, myalgia and arthralgia. He was hospitalised for 4 days and received symptomatic treatment. The symptoms lasted 10 days, without complications. He left Mali 15 days after the onset of symptoms, spent 3 days in Crete and stayed in the south of France. Normal clinical examination, including ophthalmological examination, was reported on 2 November 2016. Semen and blood samples were collected 57 days after the onset of symptoms (9 November). Plasma was positive for anti-RVF IgM and IgG, PCRs were negative in semen and plasma, while viral RNA was still detected in whole blood as was confirmed by partial viral sequencing. The derived 683 nt sequence (GenBank BankIt2148117 RVF41125 MH880841) differed by only 1 nt from the
sequence obtained from Case 1. As for Case 1, viral isolation attempts remained unsuccessful.

The third case (Case 5, Figure, Table 1) was a 54-year-old man. He was deployed in Mali from August to December 2016 and returned to France in January 2017. During the incubation period, he stayed in Gao, had no contact with ruminants and did not report mosquito bites. On 5 October, he presented fever (>39°C), headache, photophobia, arthralgia and myalgia for 2 days. Two dried blood samples on blotting papers were collected during the symptomatic phase. Serologic tests and RT-PCR were negative for the first sample and insufficient blood quantity led to uninterpretable laboratory results for the second sample. A late sample collected in March 2017 was positive for anti-RVFV IgM and IgG, but PCRs remained negative. The patient also complained of long-lasting headaches with normal cerebral and ophthalmological investigations.

Cases 1 and 2 were kept under personal anti-vector protective measures and were excluded from blood donation until clearance of their viraemia, 100 days after the onset of symptoms.

Cross-sectional study
Of the 187 service members, 99 (53%) were included in the cross-sectional study. Fifteen participants reported a fever episode that had led 12 of them to seek medical care (Table 2). None of these fever cases was reported to the epidemiological surveillance system. Retrospectively, 14 could be considered suspected RVF cases. Among those, six reported being symptomatic. Among the included subjects, 39% encountered goats, zebu cattle and donkeys inside the military camp, 35% reported the consumption of raw animal slaughter (mostly goats or zebu cattle), while 28% had participated in animal slaughter (mostly goats or zebu cattle). Three service members reported the consumption of raw goat or camel milk. In addition, 85% reported mosquito bites, of whom 17% declared more than five bites per day. Bites were predominantly nocturnal in 81% of cases, but could also occur during the day. During September and October 2016, most of the interviewed service members (72%) consumed local meat, mainly zebu and goat meat.

Control measures
Contact with local animals and consumption of local animal products were strictly forbidden for French service members. Individual protection measures against mosquito bites were reinforced. No other cases of RVF have been reported since this outbreak in the FAF deployed in Mali.

Discussion

Rift Valley fever in Mali
RVF virus has probably been circulating in Mali for a very long time [20]. Sporadic human cases have been observed [17,21]. A recent serological study conducted among cattle in Mali revealed RVFV circulation among ruminants, with a seropositivity prevalence for RVF of 10.0% among bovines in Kidal and 15.8% in Gao [22]. In March 2017, considering the RVF outbreak in Niger and the transhumance patterns of livestock farmers, experts from the Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations took the view that RVF was likely/very likely (a 66–99% chance range) to occur in Mali during the vector season [23]. In our study, we confirmed three human cases of RVF in French service members who occurred in Mali at the end of the rainy season in 2016. No sequelae were observed except persistent headaches for months in two of them. These findings were made by chance, thanks to a non-confirmed false-positive test.

The small number of confirmed cases in our study did not allow us to identify risk factors for RVF through statistical analyses. In addition, face-to-face questionnaires may have introduced a bias in the responses on risky behaviours service members did not admit to. The case in Gao (Case 3) was probably infected by a mosquito bite. The other two cases were exposed in Abeibara, an isolated location between the city of Kidal and the border with Algeria with a hot desert climate and less than 50 mm of rainfall per year. The presence of wells in the village allows the local population to raise ruminants (mainly goats, zebu cattle and camels). Moderate rainfall from mid-July to mid-August probably promoted the emergence of Aedes mosquitoes, which explains the widespread exposure to mosquito bites reported by service members in our study [24]. RVFV exposure for Cases 1 and 2 could be linked to direct contact with ruminants, particularly during the slaughter of infected goats, or to mosquito bites.

Because of insecure conditions and repeated attacks around the military camp, entomological investigations and biological analyses on local livestock could not be performed. Regarding RVF in West Africa, this local transmission in a desert area may be the result of either the introduction of sick animals by nomadic herders or transovarian transmission of the virus in some mosquito species, such as Aedes vexans, whose infected eggs survive desiccation during the dry season and hatch infected imagos when temporary ponds are flooded by rainfall [25,26].
Service members: travellers exposed to a specific infectious risk

During field operations, service members are deployed in rural, remote, and non-tourist areas, close to the local populations they need to protect, and are therefore exposed to the same health risks. Scarcity of water resources in the Sahel desert explains the proximity of service members to ruminants because both use the same water sources (35% had direct contact with ruminants) and the increased risk of exposure to zoonoses. The large proportion (28%) of service members involved in the slaughter of goats was more surprising. Although slaughter and consumption of local meat is forbidden in the FAF, it has been observed in isolated military units with strong logistic constraints [27]. In addition, slaughtering occurred before the implementation of RVF preventive measures and the risk of RVFV exposure could have been underestimated at that time. Exposure to vector-borne diseases, especially arboviruses, appears to be high in the military population. Among the subjects included in the cross-sectional study, 20% and 26% presented serological evidence of exposure to, respectively, alphaviruses and flaviviruses. In addition, 84% reported mosquito bites during deployment in Aribarab. Even if vector control measures are acknowledged and enforced by service members, extreme climatic conditions in the field (temperature > 40 °C) and around-the-clock camp watches may be obstacles to a total adherence to those measures, thus increasing the risk of exposure [27]. Military units are also a mobile population. At the end of their mission, they tend to go on leave abroad, including in countries that are home to competent vectors, leading to a risk of importing arboviruses [4]. In its risk assessment published in October 2016, the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) concluded that the RVF outbreak in Niger did not pose a new risk to the European Union [28]. One of their conclusive points was that rural areas should not be considered tourist areas and were unlikely to be visited by ordinary travellers. Considering that more than 10,000 service members from 50 countries, including 19 European countries, are deployed in Mali and neighbouring countries, we recommend that future assessments take account of the specific risk presented by deployed European service members [29].

Risk of Rift Valley fever virus importation to Europe by travellers

In this study, we illustrate, based on real cases, how RVFV could spread from an endemic area to RVF-free areas. Golnar et al. recently underlined that the highest risk of importing RVFV into the United States was associated with infected humans travelling by plane, a risk that could be similar for Europe [30]. International air travel was also implicated in the spread of CHIKV and ZIKV, leading to emerging global health issues. Two of the RVF cases described here were confirmed after their stay in Mali and had travelled, several days after the febrile stage, throughout areas in Europe (Greece, France, Ireland, Ukraine) where competent vectors are present, especially Aedes albopictus, Ae. vexans and Culex pipiens [4,31]. In the 2006 to 2007 outbreak of RVF in Kenya, Njenga et al. estimated RVFV viraemia at $10^{1.1–10^{7.6}}$ TCID₅₀ per mL (i.e. $10^{1–10^{7.6}}$ plaque-forming units (PFU)/mL) [32,33]. Experimental studies to infect mosquitoes and estimate vector competence exposed mosquitoes to a viraemia threshold $10^{6–7}$PFU/mL [33]. Considering these different points, the risk of RVFV importation to Europe by travellers should be considered as significant.

To our knowledge, this is the first time that the RVFV has been detected in whole blood so long after the onset of symptoms (67 days). In our investigation, RVF RNA was detected in whole blood up to 67 days after the onset of symptoms, but not in serum or plasma. This suggests RVFV RNA compartmentalisation in red blood cells, as already observed for WNV and ZIKV [34-37]. This finding enlarges the window for the use of molecular tools for RVF diagnosis, but it also raises the question of the duration of human viraemia and infectiousness. The maximal extent of human infectiousness for RVFV is unknown and because attempts of viral isolation on cell cultures remained unsuccessful, the infectivity of the virus could not be confirmed in our study. However, hosts with low viraemia could also infect vectors and play a role in the spread of arboviruses [38]. The question remains whether our patients were still infectious when they returned to Europe.

Conclusion

Our article reports a cluster of human cases of RVF in Mali. Despite an efficient active search that confirmed two of four suspected cases, the alert was issued too late to avoid the risk of RVFV being spread. The confirmed cases had already returned from Mali to Europe. Persistence of detection of RVFV in whole blood until 67 days from symptom onset raises the question of its potential prolonged infectiousness. Further studies are needed to explore the duration of human infectiousness and the relevance of PCR on whole blood. As a specific population of travellers, service members should be included in future risk assessments by international and European organisations.

*Erratum

In the original publication, the sentence referring to the RVF outbreak in Niger erroneously referred to Nigeria. This was corrected on 25 February 2019. We apologise for the mistake.
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