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Ongoing increasing temporal and geographical trends of the incidence of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae infections in France, 2009 to 2013
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Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae (ESBL-E) are a major focus of multidrug-resistant organisms (MRO) surveillance programmes in France. To describe the temporal and geographical trends of these pathogens, we conducted an epidemiological study based on data extracted from the nationwide MRO surveillance network from 2009 to 2013. During this time, the incidence of ESBL-E infections in French hospitals increased by 73%, from 0.35 to 0.60 per 1,000 patient days (PD) (p < 0.001) and ESBL-E bacteraemia by 77%, from 0.03 to 0.05 per 1,000 PD (p < 0.001). The incidence of ESBL-E infections was higher in intensive care units (1.62 to 2.44 per 1,000 PD (p < 0.001)) than in recovery and long-term care facilities (0.20 to 0.31 per 1,000 PD (p < 0.001)). Escherichia coli was the most frequent extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing (ESBL) pathogen, representing 59% (26,238/44,425) of all ESBL isolates, followed by Klebsiella pneumoniae (20%; 8,856/44,425) in 2013. The most frequent infection was urinary tract infection, for all species. The incidence of ESBL-E varied by region but showed an upward trend overall. Reinforcement of control measures for halting the spread of such MRO is crucial.

Introduction

In recent decades, the spread of multidrug-resistant organisms (MRO) has had a profound impact on healthcare facilities (HCF), combining a high mortality rate (16%) and financial burden per patient (5,000–10,000 Euros per episode of bacteraemia due to extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae (ESBL-E) [1,2]. Multidrug resistance is a step towards a therapeutic dead-end and involves bacteria responsible for both healthcare-associated and community-acquired infections. MRO account for an important part of healthcare-associated infections, as shown in the national prevalence survey in France and in Europe in 2012 [3,4]. That year, the prevalence of ESBL-E in French hospitals was found to be 13.6% [5].

ESBL-E are challenging because of their pathogenicity, dissemination within hospitals and their potential reach into the community [6]. In addition, some extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing (ESBL) organisms such as Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae can colonise a patient long after hospital discharge, especially in the digestive tract [7], which may facilitate their spread in the general population [8].

In France, until 2009, MRO control programmes focused on meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), with a successful decrease in incidence (a significant reduction was seen from 2006) [9,10]. In contrast, however, incidence of ESBL-E increased during the same period, suggesting poor effectiveness of control measures [11-13]. ESBL-E surveillance has been carried out since 2002 by the French surveillance network for healthcare-associated infections (MRO RAISIN [9]) and has been a major focus of MRO surveillance programmes in France since 2010.

Here we present the 2009–13 ESBL-E surveillance data from the French MRO network, showing regional variation and temporal trends.
Methods

ESBL-E surveillance has been implemented by RAISIN using standardised methods described elsewhere [9]. Every year, all HCF with 24 hour patient-day hospitalisation in France are invited to participate in a three-month survey on a voluntary basis. Online software was created by the Regional Coordinating Centre for Healthcare-Associated Infections Control in Paris to facilitate the hospitals’ participation, with a user-friendly interface for entering data and controlling for errors (e.g. to check if the hospital unit from which the sample was taken is still in existence, if there is data variation of ±20%, when compared with administrative data of the previous year).

A case of ESBL-E infection was defined as a patient with at least one ESBL-E-positive diagnostic sample. ESBL-E strains were isolated from samples collected during the survey period for infection diagnosis purposes from patients who had been hospitalised for at least 24 hours (excluding dialysis and ambulatory care units, and the time for dialysis and ambulatory care). When multiple strains of the same species were isolated from the same patient, only the first strain was included in the surveillance network for healthcare-associated infections database, in order to avoid duplication of data.

Antibiotic susceptibility tests were performed according to the guidelines of the Committee for Antimicrobial Testing of the French Society of Microbiology [14,15]. Detection of ESBL production was based on synergy between third-generation cephalosporins and clavulanic acid [16]. Cases who were colonised or found to have community-acquired ESBL-E infections were not included in the study.

We analysed data collected in HCF that participated every year during the 2009–13 period (referred to as the HCF cohort), except for type of pathogen, for which we analysed data from all participating HCF. Incidence of ESBL-E infection was calculated per 1,000 in-hospital patient days (PD). Temporal linear trends were estimated using univariate Poisson regression analysis. Pooled incidence rates of ESBL-E infection were also represented on maps using 0.2 incidence gradient categories. We used SAS software release 9.2 (SAS, Cary, NC, United States) for all analyses. P values were significant at 0.05.
**Results**

From 2009 to 2013, a cohort of 577 HCF participated each year in the survey, collecting 32,201 ESBL-E strains for diagnostic purposes. The incidence of ESBL-E-positive samples increased overall from 0.35 to 0.60 per 1,000 PD (p < 0.001) from 2009 to 2013 respectively, corresponding to a 73% increase over the period. The incidence of ESBL-E infections varied according to type of care facility from 0.43 to 0.72 per 1,000 PD (p < 0.001) in acute-care facilities, from 0.20 to 0.31 per 1,000 PD (p < 0.001) in recovery and long-term care facilities, with a higher incidence in intensive-care units (from 1.62 to 2.44 per 1,000 PD; p < 0.001) (Figure 1). Incidence of ESBL-E bacteraemia increased from 0.03 to 0.05 per 1,000 PD (number of bacteraemia events: 425 in 2009, 704 in 2013), representing a 77% increase (p < 0.001).

The incidence of ESBL-E infections increased nationwide but varied across regions (median p value of Poisson regression test: 0.001; range: 0.001–0.37). The highest incidences were observed in the eastern regions (+233% increase; 0.18 to 0.59 per 1,000 PD in 2009 and 2013, respectively), in Guadeloupe, Martinique and Réunion (French overseas department and region): +229% increase; from 0.57 to 0.92 per 1,000 PD) and in the northern regions, with the highest in 2013 being in the Paris area (+71% increase; from 0.51 to 0.88 per 1,000 PD), whereas the lowest values were seen in western regions (+28% increase; from 0.53 to 0.67 per 1,000 PD) (Figure 2).

The number of participating HCF each year was respectively 929, 933, 974, 1,181 and 1,347 for respectively 5,946, 6,992, 8,475, 10,778 and 12,171 ESBL-E strains collected for three months per year between 2009 and 2013. Of the 44,362 infections, 26,195 (59%) were due to *E. coli*, 8,844 (20%) to *K. pneumoniae* and 5,006...
Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* infections by pathogen, surveillance network for healthcare associated infections database, France, 2013 (n = 12,234)

ESBLE: Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae*.

* Citrobacter spp, Enterobacter aerogenes, Klebsiella oxytoca, Proteus mirabilis and Serratia spp.

Incidence of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* infections by species, surveillance network for healthcare associated infections database, France, 2009–13 (n = 44,362)

ESBLE: Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae*; HCF: healthcare facilities.

* Citrobacter spp, Enterobacter aerogenes, Klebsiella oxytoca, Proteus mirabilis and Serratia spp.
Since 2009, the proportion of ESBL-E urinary tract infections increased by 8% (3,826/5,946 in 2009 to 8,478/12,234 in 2013). The incidence of *E. coli* infections increased from 0.19 to 0.32 per 1,000 PD. The same upward trend was observed for *K. pneumoniae* (from 0.05 to 0.13 per 1,000 PD) and *E. cloacae* (from 0.04 to 0.06 per 1,000 PD) (Figure 4). Conversely, the incidence of other ESBL species including *E. aerogenes* tended to decrease (from 0.03 to 0.01 per 1,000 PD for *E. aerogenes* and from 0.05 to 0.03 per 1,000 PD for the other bacteria including *Citrobacter* spp., *Klebsiella oxytoca* and *Proteus mirabilis*).

Discussion
Our study provides additional epidemiological data surveying ESBL-E in France and could help in promoting infection control policies against MRO in France. The important increase in the incidence of ESBL-E infections observed during the study period is worrisome.

In the mid-1980s, clusters of infections due to ESBL-K. *pneumoniae* were observed in French hospitals, predominantly in the Paris area [16]. After an effective campaign of infection control measures, including reinforcement of barrier precautions and early detection of carriers, incidence of ESBL-E infections began to decrease in 1993, suggesting that these pathogens could be brought under control. During the following 15 years, control efforts focused on the control of MRSA cross-infection and obtained significant curbing of incidence, with a regular decrease in the number of infections [10]. Surprisingly, however, this control programme had no impact on the incidence of ESBL-E infection, raising the question of whether extended or more appropriate control measures should be implemented, including management of excreta in healthcare settings. Indeed, the measures for controlling MRSA are different from those for *Enterobacteriaceae*, related to the route of transmission of those two types of pathogens [17], and could partly explain the lack of effectiveness of such programmes. The frequent transfer of ESBL-encoding genes among *Enterobacteriaceae* species present in the flora of humans’ and animals’ digestive tract, combined with the faecal–oral route of transmission via the food chain [18,19], could partly explain the rapid dissemination of ESBL-E in the community and as a result, in the healthcare setting [13,20].

A slow increase in incidence of ESBL-E infections was seen in France from 2003 to 2006 (0.17 to 0.20 per 1,000 PD, respectively, for a 175 HCF cohort) [9]. The substantial increase of ESBL-E infections observed since 2006, with an incidence increasing from 0.30 per 1,000 PD in 2007 to 0.35 per 1,000 PD in 2008 [9], has proved a challenge in France because of their wider spread in hospitals and their potential for favouring emergence of very highly resistant pathogens such as carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae*, due to antibiotic selection pressure [21]. The incidence of these infections continues to increase in France despite official guidelines and there is an urgent need to reinforce control measures based on early detection of cases, management of excreta and improvement of antibiotic use, including in extra-hospital settings such as nursing homes and home-based hospital care.

A similar increase in the number of ESBL-E infections, particularly *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*, was observed in other European countries in 2005–06 [22]. Data from the European Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance Network (EARS-Net) showed an average of a 20–25% increase of third-generation cephalosporin resistance in every country in the network between 2007 and 2010, with between 85% and 100% ESBL-positive isolates among third-generation cephalosporin-resistant strains [23,24]. However, the incidence of ESBL-E infections seen in France in 2013 was one of the highest in Europe [22].

The increased number of ESBL-E infections in France is unlikely to be explained by an increase in sample collection over the years due to better awareness or systematic screening by the participating centres, as only samples collected for diagnostic purposes were included. However, it is possible that clinicians’ awareness increased during the study period. In addition, clusters of ESBL-E infection were not observed more frequently through the French mandatory reporting system (e-SIN) during the study period [25] so local outbreaks would not explain this increase in ESBL-E infections.

In France, the incidence of ESBL-*E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* infections increased steadily from 2006 to 2013, whereas the incidence of infections due to ESBL-*E. aerogenes* and other species decreased steadily (the percentage distribution of the main species of ESBL-E in 2002–10 can be found in [9]). During this time, *E. coli* was the major pathogen among ESBL-E, especially in intensive-care units, where the incidence was double that seen in all other settings. Indeed, ESBL-*E. coli* poses a potential threat of high burden to HCF and related facilities (such as nursing homes and home-based hospital care) [2,26]. This pathogen is the one of the most frequently isolated in both community and hospital-acquired urinary tract infections, and could be the cause of severe or fatal outcomes associated with bacteraemia, which has been shown to be increasing in France [27], with a significant increase in incidence of ESBL-E bacteraemia between 2009 and 2013 from 0.021 to 0.044 per 1,000 PD, respectively. The burden of ESBL-E bacteraemia, including *E. coli*, was reported...
in studies in several European counties (Austria, Belgium, Croatia, England, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Malta, Romania, Scotland and Slovenia) in 2008 [26] and, more specifically, in Switzerland in 2009 to be five to seven excess days in hospital per hospital stay, at a cost of about 8,000 Euros per bact-eraemia episode [2].

Our study showed a statistically significant geographical variation in France, with incidence being two to fourfold higher in some regions. These results are based on actual estimates of incidence rates, not the proportion of ESBL among Enterobacteriaceae, which is a strength of this study. Few other studies, notably in the United States in 2012 and Portugal in 2010, have compared the geographical distribution of the incidence of ESBL-E infection, showing substantial differences according to the species, with predominance of E. coli and K. pneumoniae [28,29], as in our study.

The incidence of ESBL-E infections was particularly high in Paris (northern region), Marseille and Lyon (south-east region), where there are the largest university hospitals in the country. Because of their proximity to national borders and airports, for example, these tertiary hospitals receive many foreigners and also repatriated French individuals with severe diseases or multiple wounds who had previous carriage of MRO [30]. Additionally, southern France is close to countries that are highly endemic for MRO such as Italy, Spain, Greece and northern African countries [19,31].

The high incidence rate observed in Guadeloupe, Martinique and Réunion (French overseas department and region) should be interpreted with caution because of the small size of the study population and the small number of HCF participating in the surveillance (two for each Island). Therefore, the incidence of ESBL-E infections could not be precisely estimated in these regions, generating potential classification bias. Regional incidence variations could be influenced by the relative burden placed on public HCF with a higher incidence. Indeed, public HCF are generally larger and receive high-risk patients (those who are elderly, in intensive care and those transferred from other HCF) more frequently than private HCF do. Additional epidemiological information is needed to better explore the factors influencing these trends.
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