Adverse events following school-based vaccination of girls with quadrivalent human papillomavirus vaccine in Slovenia, 2009 to 2013
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Adverse events following immunisation (AEFIs) with qHPV reported to the Slovenian AEFI Registry for the first four school years of the vaccination programme were analysed. We calculated annual reporting rates for 11–14 year-old vaccinees with AEFIs, using the number of qHPV doses distributed within the school-based vaccination programme as the denominator. Between September 2009 and August 2013, 211 AEFIs that occurred in 89 vaccinees were reported, a rate of 149.5 vaccinees with AEFI per 100,000 qHPV doses distributed. For five vaccinees, serious AEFIs (8.4 per 100,000 doses distributed) were reported. The highest reporting rates were for fatigue, headache, and fever (≥ 38.0⁰) (53.8, 40.3, and 35.3 per 100,000 qHPV doses distributed, respectively). As no AEFI resulted in permanent sequelae and they all were categorised as serious only due to the criterion of a minimum of one day of hospitalisation, this provides reassurance for the safety of our school-based HPV vaccination programme. Further AEFI surveillance is warranted to provide data for HPV vaccination programme monitoring and evaluation of its safety.

Introduction

Two vaccines against human papillomavirus (HPV) infection are currently licensed in Europe. In September 2006: the quadrivalent HPV vaccine (qHPV) (Silgard/Gardasil), containing virus-like particles (VLPs) of the recombinant major capsid L1 protein of HPV types 6, 11, 16 and 18, was licensed for the prevention of cervical, vaginal, and vulvar precancerous lesions, cervical cancer and genital warts (condyloma acuminata). The bivalent vaccine (Cervarix), containing VLP antigens for HPV types 16 and 18, was licensed for preventing precancerous cervical lesions and cervical cancer [1,2] in September 2007. In February 2015, the nine-valent HPV vaccine (Gardasil 9), containing four HPV VLPs that are in the qHPV (6, 11, 16, and 18) plus five additional HPV VLP types (31, 33, 45, 52, and 58), was recommended for approval in Europe for use in the prevention of cervical, vulvar, vaginal, and anal cancer, genital warts and precancerous lesions of the cervix, vulva, vagina, and anus [3]. Neither of the vaccines protect against HPV types for which the individual is already seropositive at the time of vaccination [4]. HPV vaccination programmes in 25 European countries are currently being conducted for adolescent girls with full or partial funding [5].

Within the Slovenian national immunisation programme, a three-dose intramuscular vaccination with single qHPV vaccine vials at 0, 2, and 6 months interval has been subsidised for adolescent girls aged 11–12 years since September 2009. The qHPV vaccination, financed through mandatory health insurance, was offered via the school-based vaccination programme, performed by school physicians. Vaccination coverage, measured as the ratio between the number of girls aged 11–12 years in the 6th grade who received all three doses of qHPV and the number of eligible girls in the 6th grade (birth cohort of ca 10,000 girls) as reported by school physicians, was 48.7% and 55.2% in school years 2009/10 and 2010/11, respectively. In order to increase the vaccination coverage, in September 2011, vaccination with qHPV has been offered also to girls aged 13–14 years, if they have not been vaccinated previously.

Pre-licensure clinical trials of qHPV showed that most adverse events following immunisation (AEFIs) with qHPV have been temporary and mild or moderate in intensity [6,7]. The most common AEFI was injection-related local reaction [8,9]. Fever, nausea, vomiting, dizziness, myalgia and diarrhoea were the most commonly reported systemic symptoms [8,10,11]. Severe
AEFs, such as severe headache with hypertension and bronchospasm were described in 0.5% [8]. Pooled analyses of clinical trials involving almost 12,000 participants exposed to the qHPV vaccine did not identify an increased risk of chronic or autoimmune diseases overall [12]. However, these studies were not large enough to study individual conditions and that is why post-licensure monitoring of AEFIs using large population-based cohorts is necessary to develop evidence for overall safety assessment of any vaccine in order to ensure the safety of the vaccination programme and to maintain public confidence in the vaccine and its uptake [13-15].

In Slovenia, physicians are obliged to report all recognised AEFIs according to the Law governing the infectious diseases to the AEFI Registry at the National Institute of Public Health (NIPH).

Our objective was to summarise AEFIs with qHPV passive surveillance data for the first four years of the school–based vaccination programme targeting girls aged 11–14 years in order to evaluate the safety of our vaccination programme.

**Methods**

**Design and study population**

We conducted a retrospective observational study of all AEFIs reported to the AEFI Registry at the NIPH from September 2009 to August 2013 that were associated with qHPV vaccination of all Slovenian adolescent girls aged 11–14 years. AEFI was regarded as any untoward medical event temporally associated with vaccination (vaccine itself, its handling or its administration) regardless of whether causal association was suspected or not [16].

Because the AEFI Registry at the NIPH is a legally mandated surveillance system, institutional review board approval and informed consent were not required.

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School year</th>
<th>Number of qHPV doses distributed</th>
<th>All AEFI reports</th>
<th>AEFI reports with serious AEFI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Numbera</td>
<td>Rate per 100,000 qHPV doses</td>
<td>Numbera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009/10</td>
<td>14,601</td>
<td>137.0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010/11</td>
<td>14,640</td>
<td>150.3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011/12</td>
<td>15,945</td>
<td>119.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012/13</td>
<td>14,334</td>
<td>195.3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AEFI: adverse events following immunisation; qHPV: quadrivalent human papillomavirus vaccine.
a An individual with a single AEFI report may have more than one adverse event.

**Data collection**

We collected individual level information, using AEFI reporting forms, on AEFI predefined signs and/or symptoms such as injection site pain, erythema, oedema, fever (≥38°C), fatigue, nausea, diarrhoea, headache, sleep disorders, maculopapular rash, anaphylaxis, meningitis, and any other signs, symptoms or laboratory results the reporting physician may think relevant. The forms also include information on the date of vaccination, time of AEFI occurrence, AEFI start/end date, treatment, outcome and possible sequelae, vaccinee (name, age, sex, address), the vaccine (brand name, batch number, manufacturer), date of report, and the reporting physician's identity. One of the authors (MS) coded all reported AEFIs according to the system organ class, using the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) used by the European Medicines Agency (EMA) and assessed reported AEFIs for seriousness using the World Health Organization (WHO) surveillance definitions [16,17].

**Outcome definitions and ascertainment**

Serious AEFI was defined as any untoward event that resulted in death, was life-threatening, required inpatient hospitalisation or prolongation of existing hospitalisation, resulted in persistent or significant disability or incapacity, was a congenital anomaly or birth defect, or required intervention to prevent permanent impairment or damage. The single case causality assessment of all serious AEFIs was performed according to the new criteria published by WHO in 2013. Causality was categorised as consistent, indeterminate, inconsistent, and unclassifiable [16]. For causality assessment, additional clinical information was obtained on vaccination history (previous vaccination, prior AEFI), relevant medical and treatment history (e.g. underlying disease, known allergies, concomitant medication), and associated event(s) (e.g. exposure to environmental toxins). The timing of the onset of symptoms, consistency or plausibility of symptoms with the known pharmacology and toxicology of the qHPV, and whether or not an alternative trigger was present were all considered [18-20]. Finally, all serious AEFIs were
assessed for unexpectedness. An unexpected /unusual AEFI was defined as any event that in its nature, severity, outcome, or frequency was not consistent with the AEFIs pre-specified in the summary of product characteristics for qHPV [16]. Reporting rates of vaccinees with AEFI (AEFI reports), using as the denominator the number of qHPV doses distributed to the school physicians conducting the vaccination programme for eligible girls provided by the vaccine supply division at the NIPH were calculated for the first four school–years after the qHPV vaccine was marketed.

Results
Between September 2009 and August 2013, the AEFI Registry at the NIPH received 89 reports of AEFIs with qHPV within vaccination programme, with a total of 211 AEFIs that occurred in girls aged 11–14 years. Overall, 59,520 qHPV doses were distributed. The overall reporting rate was 149.5 AEFI reports per 100,000 qHPV doses distributed and varied from the lowest 119.2 per 100,000 in the school year 2011/12 to the highest 195.3 per 100,000 in the school year 2012/13 (Table 1).

More than half of AEFIs (51.1%) occurred after the administration of the first qHPV dose, 27.3% after the second, and 21.6% after the third qHPV dose. On average there were two adverse events per one AEFI report (range 1–5). Among all AEFI reports, 6.8% included only injection site reactions, 61.4% only systemic AEFIs, and 31.8% a combination of local and systemic AEFIs. Of the 211 AEFIs reported, all were completely resolved.

The most frequently reported AEFIs among 165 (78.2%) systemic events were malaise (15.2% of all AEFIs reported), followed by headache (11.4%) and fever (10.0%). Among 46 (21.8%) local events, injection site pain (10.0%) and swelling (5.7%) were the most frequently reported AEFIs (Table 2). Post-vaccination syncope, and seizures (associated with syncope), were reported in eight (9.1%) and two (2.3%) vaccinees, respectively.

According to system organ class classification of AEFIs with qHPV, general disorders and injection site reactions were the most frequent (68.7%), followed by nervous system disorders (10.4%) and gastrointestinal disorders (7.1%).

Five vaccinees had a serious adverse event, corresponding to the overall reporting rate of 8.4 per 100,000 qHPV doses distributed. Annual reporting rates of serious adverse events varied from 0 to 14.0 per 100,000 qHPV doses distributed (Table 3). All vaccinees with serious AEFI were hospitalised for 1–3 days, and all of them stayed in hospital only for observation, thus fulfilling one of the criteria for serious AEFIs (Table 3). One of the serious AEFIs, a severe headache preceded by blurred vision that was diagnosed as migraine episode by the attending physician,
was classified as unexpected/unusual, since migraine is not listed among expected AEFIs with qHPV. This AEFI was classified as adverse event with indeterminate causal relation with qHPV. In the remaining four vaccinees, serious adverse events were classified as expected and to be consistently causally related to vaccination with qHPV.

### Discussion

In the first four school years after the school-based qHPV vaccination of 11–14 year-old girls in Slovenia, nearly 57,000 qHPV doses were distributed. Although the observed overall reporting rate of AEFIs with qHPV was relatively high, the proportion of reported serious AEFIs was similar to those from other passive AEFI surveillance systems. All AEFIs categorised as serious (only due to the criterion of hospitalisation for at least one day) were transient and resolved completely 1–3 days after receiving a vaccine. No cases of anaphylaxis and autoimmune disorders were reported. Among the reported AEFIs, we observed few cases of syncope that were occasionally accompanied by a brief seizure-like event, relatively frequent headaches and fever, in contrast to relatively few injection-site conditions. A migraine episode was recorded, an unexpected AEFI with qHPV.

The relatively high overall reporting rate of individuals with AEFIs (149.5 per 100,000 qHPV doses distributed) during the first four years of the Slovenian school-based vaccination programme in comparison to overall reporting rates published by the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) in the United States of America (US), from June 2006 to December 2008; Ontario’s female school-based HPV programme, Canada, from September 2007 to December 2011; and the Pharmacovigilance Centre in the Valencian Community, Spain, from September 2007 to December 2011 of 53.9 per 100,000, 19.2 per 100,000, and 103 per 100,000, respectively, might at least in part be explained by the fact that in Slovenia, AEFIs are mandatorily reportable by all physicians, while in the above-mentioned countries the reporting of AEFIs is voluntary [20-22].

The reporting rate of serious AEFIs per 100,000 doses distributed in Slovenia was higher in comparison to the reporting rates from the US and Canada (8.4 vs 3.3 and 1.5, respectively) [20,21]. The lack of serious reports with sequelae, which are usually very rare, may simply be related to the relatively low absolute exposure.

Syncope, which may be considered a procedure- or anxiety-related AEFI, was reported at similar reporting rates of ca 8–10 per 100,000 vaccine doses distributed as reported from the US, and Australia, but at a somewhat lower rate in comparison to the reporting rate from Spain (13.4 vs 17 per 100,000 qHPV doses distributed) [22-26].

Brief seizure-like events that can accompany syncopal episodes, secondary to transient hypoxia, with stiffening (tonic) movements and autonomic instability after vaccination with qHPV have been reported previously through VAERS and described in international case reports [25,27]. Reporting rate of seizures accompanying syncope after vaccination with qHPV in Slovenia was similar to the rates reported from Spain and Australia (3.4 vs 3.2 and 2.6 per 100,000 qHPV doses distributed, respectively) [22,25]. However, monitoring of qHPV occurred between 2006 and 2009, during which a total of 600,558 doses were administered in the Vaccine Safety Database (VSD) population, and no association between qHPV and seizures, whether recurrent or new onset was observed [14].

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School year</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>AEFI following dose number</th>
<th>Time to onset of AEFI after vaccination</th>
<th>AEFI symptoms and/or signs</th>
<th>Hospitalisation (days)</th>
<th>Expected AEFI</th>
<th>Causality assessment b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009/10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0 min</td>
<td>Seizures, syncope</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Consistent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010/11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Several minutes</td>
<td>Nausea, fatigue, headache, pallor, palm oedema, tonsillitis c</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Consistent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010/11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Several hours</td>
<td>Migraine episode</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Indeterminate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012/13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45 min</td>
<td>Nausea, fatigue, somnolence, dizziness</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Consistent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012/14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>Syncope</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Consistent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AEFI: adverse effects following immunisation; min: minutes; qHPV: quadrivalent human papillomavirus vaccine.

a Recommended schedule is a three 0.5 mL dose series with second and third doses administered 2 and 6 months after the first dose.
b The single-case causality assessment according to the World Health Organization criteria (consistent, indeterminate, inconsistent, and unclassifiable).
c Tonsillitis was also reported but with no temporal relation to a vaccination (onset 3 days before vaccination).
A relatively higher reporting rate of headache was reported in Slovenia in comparison to the US and Spain (40.3 per 100,000 qHPV doses distributed vs 4.1 and 23.5, respectively), and relatively higher reporting rate of fever in comparison to the US (35.3 vs 0.4 per 100,000 qHPV doses distributed) [20,22,27]. In contrast, although local reactions are usually frequently reported AEFIs with qHPV that are generally of short duration and resolve spontaneously, in our analyses only one fifth of reports with AEFIs with qHPV involved local reactions, mainly pain and swelling [28]. Varying frequencies may be due to a presumably much lower probability that a vaccinee with mild AEFIs seeks medical care and the fact that in Slovenia AEFIs are reportable only by physicians.

With respect to the unexpected/unusual AEFI after the vaccination with qHPV, a migraine episode possibly related to qHPV, migraine has, to the best of our knowledge, been so far reported as a possible AEFI only after the vaccination with the Ann Arbor strain live–attenuated influenza vaccine [29]. Moreover, it is well recognised that reporting of neuropathic pain syndromes such as migraine headaches as an AEFI with its uncertain aetiology and/or pathogenesis can be expected when a new vaccine is introduced into a population [28,30].

The major limitation of our passive surveillance system is that it can only identify early warning signals, and can neither estimate the risk relative to an unexposed population nor exclude risks with certainty [13]. Since the vast majority of vaccinees with mild AEFIs are not likely to seek medical care and AEFIs are reportable only by physicians, under-reporting of non-serious adverse events is expected [31]. The under-reporting of certain AEFIs in our surveillance system in comparison to the results from clinical trials is to be expected, as in our system only AEFIs presented to physicians are reported, in comparison to the clinical trials which report on the entire study population. The frequencies observed in the clinical trial programme of qHPV were highest for injection-related local reactions, but the systemic AEFIs, such as headache, were observed in only 0.5% in comparison to our results, where the most commonly reported AEFIs were systemic (malaise and headache) [8,9].

Generally, AEFI rates calculated using as the denominator the number of qHPV doses distributed to the school physicians conducting the vaccination programme for grade 6 and grade 8 girls need to be interpreted with caution, since vaccine distribution data do not provide accurate information about the numbers of vaccine doses actually administered [21]. However, we believe that the qHPV distribution data are a fairly good approximation of the number of qHPV doses actually administered, since the Unit for vaccine distribution at the NIPH issues qHPV vaccine to the school physicians in response to actual usage. Because only serious AEFIs were reported to the EudraVigilance database by EMA and due to resource constraints in Slovenia, causality assessment was performed only for serious AEFIs. Moreover, we have applied no specific case definitions for AEFIs. In parts of the US there is also the Vaccine Safety Datalink project, where vaccine registers are linked with data from, for example, VAERS and evaluations of safety concerns are made [31]. Data on notification rates for other vaccines for which there are solid estimates of rates of AEFIs in the literature allow us to be reassured about the satisfactory level of exhaustiveness of our passive vaccine-vigilance surveillance. Thus, in the period 2005–2014, the reporting rate of vaccine-related thrombocytopenia after the administration of measles-mumps-rubella (MMR) vaccine reported to our surveillance system was 2.5 per 100,000 doses of MMR vaccine distributed. Our findings correspond with the results from the study done in the US where MMR vaccine caused 2.5 cases of immune thrombocytopenia per 100,000 doses distributed [32]. However, linkage of hospital data to vaccine data is not possible in Slovenia as there is no vaccination registry. A capture–recapture study is also not possible as there is no alternative system for recording AEFIs. However, our passive AEFI surveillance system has the important strength of being universal and covers the whole target population [31].

**Conclusions**

Although our reporting rate of serious AEFIs was relatively high, none of the serious AEFIs resulted in any residual disability or incapacity. In fact, all serious AEFIs were categorised as such only due to the criterion of hospitalisation for at least one day, were transient and resolved 1–3 days after exposure to qHPV vaccine. Further post-licensure AEFI surveillance is necessary for continuous provision of reassurance for qHPV safety and to maintain confidence in the HPV vaccination programme.
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